

VOLUME II,
COMMUNICATION SENT TO THE DIRECTOR OF U.S. PRESIDENTIAL
PERSONNEL DURING 2018 INTO AND THROUGH 2019

By: Joseph Mallon

Year of 2018 into and through 2019:

More than a Year of Further Continuance

Table of Contents

SEPTEMBER 2018 – Reporting from Director.....	1
Official Communication in September 2018 - September 7, 2018.....	1
September 26, 2018: Communicated to the University and to the White House.....	4
A Few Emails concerning the University in the end of September 2018.....	6
Letter to President of University	11
High Universal Court - Judgment.....	13
View of and Responses to Reviewer Comments for Manuscript.....	16
University Staff Questionable Results Reported to Office of Dispute Management.....	17
Conclusions for Office of Dispute Management.....	25
More Sent to Office of Dispute Management.....	26
From August 2016 - Dispute and Request for Office of Dispute Management Authority.....	27
Formal Grievance Made to ODM - November 2, 2017.....	29
Formal Grievance to Office of Dispute Management - October 23, 2017.....	30
Request to Review Again - August 24, 2017.....	32
Responses to Office of Dispute Management - Requesting Corrections.....	34
Report on a Denial still not Dealing with Facts or People Involved.....	38
Receipt of and Response to Office of Dispute Management Letter of May 9, 2016.....	40
Previous Documentation.....	47

Addressing University Committee Determination Ignoring Complaint and Appeal Substance.....	49
Some Relevant Points.....	51
Certification of Communication.....	52
Appeal to University Decision Letter.....	53
Formal Complaint Concerning the University of Phoenix.....	56
Background of Complaint.....	56
First Dissertation Committee.....	57
Second Dissertation Committee and IRB Re-approval.....	57
Summary.....	58
Continuance of Same Occurrences.....	59
Two Years of Doctoral Program Backend.....	60
IRB Itself Not an Issue.....	61
More than Two (and-a-half) Years of Doctoral Program Backend.....	62
Very Important.....	63
Reaching a Three-year Point in the QRF.....	65
Next Months.....	68
February 5, 2016 Addition to Formal Complaint.....	70
General and Direct Complaint.....	72
Additional Considerations.....	73

Charges Against the University.....	73
Further Aspects.....	74
OCTOBER 2018 - Reporting from Director	76
October 10, 2018.....	76
NOVEMBER 2018 - Reporting from Director	81
November 1, 2018.....	81
November 6, 2018.....	85
November 14, 2018.....	87
November 20, 2018.....	88
November 22, 2018.....	89
November 28, 2018.....	91
DECEMBER 2018 - Reporting from Director.....	92
December 3, 2018.....	92
December 10, 2018.....	95
December 18, 2018.....	97
December 24, 2018.....	100
December 25, 2018.....	101
JANUARY 2019 - Reporting from Director.....	102
1-7-2019 and 1-23-2019.....	102

Auto Reply Received.....	105
FEBRUARY 2019 – Reporting from Director.....	106
February 14, 2019.....	106
February 21, 2019 to into March 2019 - State of Education in United States.....	110
MARCH 2019 - Reporting from Director.....	113
March 7, 2019.....	113
APRIL 2019 - Reporting from Director.....	116
April 3, 2019.....	116
MAY 2019 - Reporting from Director.....	120
May 3, 2019.....	120
JUNE 2019 - Reporting from Director.....	128
June 3, 2019.....	128
JULY 2019 - Reporting from Director.....	134
July 3, 2019.....	134
CLAIM and OFFER.....	134
Invoice.....	138
DAY FIVE.....	143
DAY SEVEN.....	145
DAY NINE.....	146
DAY ELEVEN (DAY 12 to DAY 22)	148

DAY FOURTEEN.....	150
SOVEREIGN SERVICE.....	151
DAY EIGHTEEN.....	155
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF SOVEREIGNTY with Two Arms.....	156
AUGUST 2019 - Reporting from Director.....	160
August 15, 2019.....	160
August 28, 2019.....	165
Working Out the Country’s National Issues, Including Student Loans and the Newly Established Department of Sovereignty.....	165
United States Department of Sovereignty.....	165
United States Economy.....	167
Education in the United States.....	168
Conclusion.....	169
SEPTEMBER 2019 - Reporting from Director.....	171
September 12, 2019.....	171
OCTOBER 2019 - Reporting from Director.....	174
October 1, 2019.....	174
NOVEMBER 2019 - Reporting from Director.....	177
November 1, 2019.....	177
November 5, 2019 concerning US - Director - b.....	186

November 13, 2019 concerning US - Director - c.....	187
November 20, 2019 concerning US - Director - d.....	190
November 25, 2019 concerning US - Director - e.....	190
November 26, 2019 concerning US - Director - f.....	190
November 27, 2019a concerning US - Director - g.....	191
November 27, 2019b concerning US - Director - h.....	191
DECEMBER 2019 - Reporting from Director.....	193
December 1, 2019 concerning US - Director - a.....	193
December 6, 2019 concerning US - Director - b.....	197
December 10, 2019 concerning US - Director - c.....	200
December 12, 2019 concerning US - Director - d.....	201
December 16, 2019 concerning US - Director - e.....	203
December 18, 2019 concerning US - Director - f.....	207
December 19, 2019 concerning US - Director - g.....	208
December 20, 2019 concerning US - Director - h.....	210
December 23, 2019 concerning US - Director - I.....	210
December 24, 2019 concerning US - Director - j.....	211
December 24, 2019 concerning US - Director - k.....	214

Appendix

Appendix A: Claim and Offer.....215

Appendix B: Invoice.....217

Appendix C: Records of Emails Sent to the Director of U.S. Presidential Personnel....226

**Volume II,
Communication Sent to the Director of Presidential Personnel through 2018 into 2019**

SEPTEMBER 2018 – Reporting from Director

Official Communication in September 2018 - September 7, 2018

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

The first area here is that, as I am sure is known and understood, nothing additional has been worked out with me yet concerning anything involved, including especially concerning my professional position of director with the United States Government. Something additional should be worked out with me, though, and the ongoing situation remains like that. Even something progressive would be constructive because everything will not be instant and much requires building and advancing. I will remain successful and will keep looking for the relevant communication and offers of opportunities.

Academically, the situation continues in two directions in ways similar to those previously described. The university itself had my presented dispute left continuing through the entire summer to now in September 2018. The dispute is not settled or worked out adequately yet. I received a response on September 5, 2018, but the response merely negated every point expressed in the dispute and left the same situation continuing. I responded to that response on September 6, 2018 and expressed about the negation leaving everything involved still requiring, just like the dispute said about. I actually finished the real doctoral program in 2012 and then was not let to finish there at the university from then to now, which is more than six years later, in 2018. I say the university should immediately set the doctoral program on a secure short-term path to finish its part of the doctoral program concerning me successfully receiving my doctorate.

The second academic area involves the student loans. I want the student loans eliminated and for many good reasons. The justifications for eliminating the student loans were described in many places through the past years, and one such place was when many of these types of disputes were presented to the U.S. Department of Education. The student loans have been with a legal type of presentation made to the U.S. Department of Education for more than a year. The latest word is that the student loans situation is being worked on and can be finished at any time within the next year, although until in 2028 is allocated for just in case full resolution cannot be made before then. The U.S. Department of Education placed the student loan situation on hold from the present to indefinitely up to 2028.

I, of course, hope that sometime soon I receive word back expressing that the student loans have been eliminated. The full situation with the student loans involving me is that I want a relinquishment honor that completely eliminates all of the student loans. I should receive a

relinquishment of the student loans because that is what is right based on my merits and the academic situation of the past years. Some relevant aspects here are such as

- (1) the university prolonging the completion of the doctoral program through six years (following the first five years of the actual doctoral program, which totals 11 years);
 - (2) my continuing to do well and make good faith effort concerning academics, the doctoral program, and the university specifically;
 - (3) my continued significant involvement and success with the United States, its government, people, and society through the years;
 - (4) keeping the student loan situation in good status and successful with all the involved aspects considered;
- and
- (5) continuing to steadily provide vital information to U.S. Government associates, such as here at the White House, about the nationally effectual situations, such as concerning student loans, going on in this country.

The other situation important for me to briefly express about here is concerning the masked relational letter. There has not yet been any change of the situation described. There is still no relevant communication, and nothing mutual has been worked out with me. The letter still continues as active with the time span stated on it still increasing. A necessity for the time accumulation to stop is for relevant communication to be made to me and to continue with me through a reasonable amount of time.

I added a warning on to the letter. I added the warning for here and there. I added the warning for here because I included this letter in communication to here at the White House for more than a year, and no one from here on any level should do anything funny or with a conflict of interests to the rights involving the letter. The warning was added for there to increase awareness of anything suspicious but also to add alert as to this letter being active and officially communicated.

In addition, this letter is put in a masked form for here in this direction of the White House, but the letter communicated in the other direction is not in a masked form. The letter is the same, but the one to here is masked, and the one to there is not masked.

My intent is, as it always was, to do what is good and right to do, and including concerning all involved with this mentioned letter. I hope to sometime soon be able to stop the time accumulation on the letter. As said, I only need to receive relevant communication that will continue through a reasonable amount of time.

I am presently continuing as I have been. Constructive progression concerning the professional level would be advancement of my professional position of director with the United States Government being worked out. My professional position remains valid, active, and important, but it has to be formally recognized, rewarded, and honored on levels appropriate. I can work progressively, but that progressive situation has to be more set as actively continuing. I will presently keep looking for appropriate presentations to be made to me.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, MBA, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -\-

09-07-2018

September 26, 2018: Communicated to the University and to the White House in Washington, D.C.

Hello [REDACTED] the Trump administration,

I was more so aggravated by the university involved and that I have previously said about. Nothing additional was mutually worked out with me in regard of the vital aspects involved, and the situation presently only appears to be drifting off in an abandoned way. As I said on a previous occasion, I made a dispute, but in September, after the whole summer, I received an email message that did not resolve the situation reasonably. I responded to the email response and eventually received another response, and in summation, I was just told that the dispute area does not have the capability of resolving the dispute because of the situation being out of their bounds.

I then questioned in other directions. I said that the Office of Dispute Management said that the dispute is out of their bounds, so I want to know whose bounds the dispute is in so that I can send my material there for the dispute to be resolved. I only received a response saying of the same situation continuing and did not receive a clear answer as to where to correspond to next.

I thought that I would send this message to here to further provide information of some of what has been going on relevantly. Take a look at how the situation has been academically. These areas are what I have been saying about the situation of education in this country. I wanted to let you here know about what has been going on. I wanted you to see that very much has been involved and has been going on in these education directions through the past years. Take a look at how extensive some of the material is, and look at the time involved.

I have been wondering about who the universities are pushing through or favoring with their programs and who they are excessively hindering.

I was not sure if you here at the White House were adequately aware of these educational areas.

The student loans situation was also as I previously communicated, and the rest of this information just shows further about what has been going on in these educational areas that justifies the student loans being relinquished, and with me also, my doctorate being formally issued to me as I earned by back in 2012.

For now, I am just sending you this email for information purposes. Please see the attachment. The attachment includes documents showing the educational situation I have been saying about.

I did not want to bother you here at the White House with too much of this educational situation. The university just sparked me more, though, so I had to do something additional. I had to go somewhere outside of the bounds of the university, especially because the university said that the situation is outside of their bounds.

I may do a little more work with this material later, but for now, I am just sending this material—the attachment—to you for information purposes.

Thank you,

Joseph Mallon

Joseph Mallon, MBA, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -^-

09-26-2018

A Few Emails concerning the University in the end of September 2018

The situation of making changes does no good when all changes are negated.

I worked further on my dissertation through the summer to the present and finished up everything of my dissertation again. I have my dissertation completed again. I want my completed dissertation to be worked with to complete the doctoral program on the university's part.

Nothing more has to be done with my dissertation itself. All that is needed is for the university to work with my already completed dissertation.

Where do I go next for this purpose? The Office of Dispute Management (ODM) should have worked this situation out, but did not. The ODM expressed that the situation went out of their bounds. With the situation going out of the bounds of the ODM, where does the handling the situation go to next. I have material ready immediately for there.

Right now, we cannot set a start date for a next course. The next course if needed should be a model type of course that the university sets to finish up this doctoral program, and also, because of the university responsibility for this delayed doctoral program situation, the university should take care of any expenses involved.

I am not saying anything inappropriate here, and I should not be viewed in any way like I am. I have been through an extra six years beyond the first five years of this doctoral program. I truly finished the doctoral program in 2012, and that should have been enough for finishing the doctoral program way back then in 2012. That is 11 years, and I am someone who did well in the doctoral program and had, and still have, an excellent dissertation. I just went through another summer season with the dispute made, and that dispute could have been worked out through that time instead of leaving all these said about aspects still lingering.

I will get back to you again another time. I will either send you an email, call on the phone, or both.

Thanks for responding to my email.

Joseph Mallon, DBA-c

Where can we go with the School of Advanced Studies? How can we forward the information over to someone from the SAS?

Thanks

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [UofPhoenix](#)

Sent: Monday, September 24, 2018 6:28 PM

To:

Subject: RE: Following up on Dispute of discrediting and delaying

This is the reply I received from the ODM.

Hello, Mr. Mallon.

Thank you for contacting the Office of Dispute Management. The academic issues which you raise are outside the purview of this office and must be addressed directly with the School of Advanced Studies.

If you have program questions regarding course scheduling or faculty concerns, please reach out to your Academic Counselor. For issues regarding Chair or Committee Member composition, please contact the DissertationServices@phoenix.edu.

Thank you,

Office of Dispute Management

University of Phoenix | Office of The President

phone: 602-557-5566 | fax: 602-626-2038 | email: UofPhoenix@phoenix.edu

From: Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, MBA, DBA-c, FFSI, FLMI [<mailto:>]

Sent: Monday, September 24, 2018 11:36 AM

To: UofPhoenix <UofPhoenix@apollo.edu>

Subject: RE: Following up on Dispute of discrediting and delaying

The doctoral program is not working out in the way previously set. The very ending of the doctoral program is not getting done with the program set as though the entire program needs to be done rather than me being at the end of the doctoral program as I am.

I actually completed the doctoral program and had an A level GPA average. I had a very good dissertation. I was ready to finish up the program fully as of in 2012, but the university faculty (some of the faculty working in an effectual capacity) did not enable the program to get done. This situation happened repeatedly in more than 5 years, which went to being more than double the length of the program (5 years and then the second set of 5 years, 10 years total). That time involved was not caused by me.

I then was told I had to go into another edition of the program to finish up the program. I was told I would have three courses to do that I did not have before. The mutually agreed intent was to finish up the doctoral program and was in no way to do the doctoral program over again.

I went through the three courses, did well, and proved myself with good faith effort, but then the university faculty treated my work and myself as though I was in the beginning of the doctoral program and, again, caused a needless and inaccurate impediment. This situation has happened consistently and cannot keep happening. That is why I made the dispute, following faculty discussion of the dispute being appropriate to make. The doctoral program is not completing correctly in this way of being inaccurately set and administered. Some kind of adjustment needs to be made, and some secure advisory of the faculty has to be done, including with actions available for me to take if I see my work treated in any lesser way than being at the end of the doctoral program.

Remember that what you said here to do is what was already done. That is what was already being done, and it has not been working. However, I did very well through the doctoral program and have a very good dissertation. My dissertation is done and does not need anything else being done with it specifically in order for it to be done and more than acceptable for the doctoral program.

Can you work on this situation further and do anything additional? Something additional is needed. I would have to be set as securely finishing the doctoral program as the situation actually is, and I would have to have some follow-up capability for if needed.

Thank you,

Joseph Mallon, DBA-c

Original program –

Welcome Joseph Mallon (IRN:3102941191) | In

Home Classroom Library **Program** Account PhoenixConnect

Degree Progress Program: DBA-001 (Primary) ▾

100% completed	Total Credits Required:	62
	Completed Credits:	62
Current GPA: 3.66	Transfer, Waived & Assessed Credits	0
	Remaining Credits:	0

The information displayed in this tracker is only an estimate. Please refer to your [program credits](#) for a detailed view of your degree progress.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [UofPhoenix](#)

Sent: Monday, September 24, 2018 1:23 PM

To:

Subject: FW: Following up on Dispute of discrediting and delaying

Hello, Mr. Mallon.

We have received your correspondence and are responding on the behalf of the University. We appreciate your detailed response and the time you have taken to provide your complete student experience.

The information you have provided is directly related your degree program and requires the cooperation of the School of Advanced Studies as it is purely academically related. At this time you are required to work directly with the School of Advanced Studies to render the required steps and actions mandatory to complete the Doctor of Business Administration program. As stated previously we encourage you to work with you Academic Counselor, Chair/Committee Members, course faculty, and SAS academic staff as you move forward in your degree program completion. The Office of Dispute Management does not have authority over the School of Advanced Studies in regards to curriculum requirements.

Please note, while we are sympathetic to your situation, this is the University's final response on this matter. If you have additional questions or would like additional guidance, please contact the School of Advanced Studies and your supporting graduation team members to address any additional concerns or questions.

On behalf of the University, we wish you much success in your academic endeavors in the future.

Sincerely,

Office of Dispute Management

University of Phoenix | Office of The President

phone: 602-557-5566 | fax: 602-626-2038 | email: UofPhoenix@phoenix.edu

Letter to President of University

President of the University of Phoenix,

There was a break in the contractual agreement between this University (the University of Phoenix) and myself. I was in the very ending times of the doctoral program (Doctor of Business Administration program), and this university caused me to not finish the doctoral program in this ending point for more than five years. In 2016, the University had already caused more than 10 years to be involved with this doctoral program continuance, and absolutely by no fault or delay on my part and fully only fault and delay on the part of the University. I was caused to have to go into a second edition of the doctoral program or not be issued my doctorate that I already earned and should have been issued five years earlier in 2012. I went into this next edition of the doctoral program with the mutual understanding that I am only endeavoring to finish-up the very end of the doctoral program.

I was told that the first edition of the doctoral program did not include three courses that the second program included. I would have to take those courses. There would be another course that I could request to waive, and there should be no problem, because I previously took an equivalent of that course and received an A grade upon completion. I went through the three courses to further prove myself, do my part, and make good faith efforts, and I did well and achieved A grades in those three courses. I then made the request to waive the fourth course that I was told and agreed that I should not need, and I had included everything that was supposed to be there for my formal request, but the request was unjustifiably, inaccurately, and vaguely denied. My formal request honorably made was dishonored, even though my formal request had everything included that was supposed to be included and that was above and beyond for the granting of the request. A formal request made with everything included that is supposed to be included and with more than enough for the request to be granted is not supposed to be dishonored and denied.

More efforts were made to have this situation further worked on and worked out. Everything done was made to be to no avail. The inappropriate course was automatically set for in September 2017. I pushed that course back to December 2017 because of it being inappropriate and because of continued efforts I made to have the situation corrected. Mainly, no one could or would do anything, and this situation was not correct, meritorious, or successful on the part of the University.

I next made a dispute in the Office of Dispute Management (ODM). There was a legitimate dispute I had with the University because of some of its faculty, and I had already made abundant attempts to resolve the dispute and had full justification and supporting materials. Some time went by with my dispute in the ODM. I called the University and determined to move the inappropriate course back further to February 2018, not because I wanted to delay a course but because that was an inappropriate course. The ODM then sent me a message back saying that everything was good on the part of the University since way back in 2011 and continued being so good and right through the following years to the present, which had a correct situation set for the continuance.

The message, though, was missing empathy and understanding of the emphasis made, was missing and negating the substance, the spirit, and the essence of the expressions, and was not impartial or mutual with me. The message left the same disputed situation without doing anything about it to resolve the dispute. The message did not respect, honor, or acknowledge the dispute and its seriousness and legitimacy, and the ODM message did not regard the importance of the disputed situation being resolved in a timely manner, especially with so much time already being involved, years of time. The ODM thus caused further delay and not only more legitimated the inappropriate course being pushed back to February 2018 but did nothing to speed up resolving the disputed situation.

I made comments on the ODM message. My comments have to be addressed, which has not been done yet and which will not be done if only the current ODM tactics continue. Better than a continuance of the same ODM tactics is necessary. I should not have to further explain. The situation should be worked out with me in ways mutual, appealing, rewarding, and honoring to me because I am the one who did well and am supposed to be rewarded and honored.

I recommend that the University look at this situation in a right way. The University should make a proper presentation to me and include a few offers made to me. I suggest to include a fully paid-for by the University plan of action to complete its end of the doctoral program since 2012, contribute to postdoctoral work done from 2012 to 2017, and perhaps, award an honorary Chairman of the Board position to me, in respect and honor of my credentials and merits that meet and exceed all University requirements. If the University goes in these positive directions, as is appropriate, I will deal with that, and if the University does not go in these positive and appropriate directions, I will not think much of anything involving the University and will continue as I have been and report accordingly.

I am a person who did very well. I was supposed to be treated well and nothing other than that. The University should not make a person who did well look and seem like the person did not do well. I have now already been through entirely too long of this situation, so I should not be caused to go through any longer at all without the University going in right and appropriate ways here. I should not have to exhaustingly explain about anything further here in these directions, and I should be seeing right occurrences and outcomes. The University has to do its job of getting the doctoral program done on its end in 2012 and advancing beyond to worthiness involving completed post-doctoral work in 2017.

I request that the issues involved here be worked out realistically and with adequate mutual agreement with me. I anticipate a favorable response and am eager to commence advancement of a resolved situation involving this University and myself.

High Universal Court

Judgment

Mallon, Honorable Dissertation Author, J. Mallon

Judgment

Case number: 20122016

v.

University of Phoenix (Apollo Group)

The University of Phoenix (university) is guilty of *Negligence* and *Faculty Code of Conduct Violations*.

The university is guilty through vicarious liability for its employed associates.

The university committed **Negligence** and **Faculty Code of Conduct Violations** in 2012, 2014, and 2015. The occurrences were multiple and spread out over time.

The results of the **Negligence** and **Faculty Code of Conduct Violations** consistently were delays, abridgements, dishonors, and discouragements, and additionally, the doctoral program not getting done. The university violations caused damages and injustices monetarily, personally, socially, professionally, and academically.

The university will not admit, regard, or deal with its violations. The university will not regard the facts involved or give the other party any realistic, serious, or effectual say. The university will not accurately perceive or acknowledge the excessive time involved. The university will not regard or work out its insensitive, unfair, and defamatory treatment of people truly worthy of better.

The university pushed improprieties too far and intends to continue in same ways carelessly.

I, myself making my own personal testimony in this judgment, was done the doctoral program. I did well. I was on an A grade level and had a grade point average (GPA) of 3.66. I only had to finish up. The university would not let the work and doctoral program get done. I have a top-quality dissertation that is more than passable. Everything is stuck on the part of the university doing its real work and real job of finishing the doctoral program successfully.

Another university cannot be gone to because this university took all the time and money. In addition, the doctoral program is already done, and no other university can be gone to at a finished point for completion that another university was supposed to do. The circumstances create an exclusivity situation. The nonperformance of duties is a problem and a detriment to any success possible in any direction.

If proceeding without any of the stated information being regarded or worked out, everything remains involved and requiring. Very much is left out and continuously burdens the situation. The situation is not fair and causes additional factors to be involved. Anything additional done is also not accurately perceived or valued. Waiting for the university to do better is not possible because the university takes far too long by not even trying or making any reasonable efforts in a right way concerning the various important and serious aspects involved.

The university must honorably regard the relevant information presented herein. The university must work mutually with me, honorably issue my doctorate to me, and take on and advance progressive practices concerning myself, the issues presented, and the university's interests and responsibilities. The university is hereby ordered to improve, as the university owes, among other things, to all stakeholders.

So ORDERED this day of August 14, 2016

Honorable Dissertation Author, J. Mallon, -/-

I dispute the practices of discrediting and delaying. I request that some secure adjustments be made to ensure the timely end of the successful completion of the doctoral program.

I recommend to waive everything that was already successfully completed in the past and to put the dissertation back into the Quality Review Final (QRF) with the intent of completing the dissertation and doctoral program. I already met and exceeded all doctoral program standards and requirements, and issuing my doctorate to me is far overdue. I request that this situation be worked out mutually with me. I would like to be issued my doctorate in the immediate times.

View of and Responses to Reviewer Comments for Manuscript

Appropriate University Officials,

I request that my entire dissertation be rereviewed in the Quality Review Final (QRF) area and that I be issued my doctorate that I already earned and deserved since in 2012, more than 5 years ago.

I do hereby again express disappointment about the tactics of the university faculty involved with passing a dissertation work. The experience again was obstructive and discrediting. There were some unfounded negative expressions made about the dissertation material that did not justify the negative expressions. The negative or degrading expressions were made and acted upon without giving the dissertation material its fair chance or the other party involved a chance to say anything. There was no acting on, advancing, and relying on the good features of the dissertation material, which on this occasion, was the first two chapters of the dissertation.

Corrective action is necessary. An emphasis has to be made to express that there has to be right results. The faculty cannot be let to produce wrong results. Incorrect results are unacceptable. There cannot be unethical practices, such as pushing an active work and person negatively instead of positively.

Please review this situation and make appropriate reprimands. There should be some fitting guidelines for the reviews of the scholarly work done to achieve a passing grade or status. Those guidelines have to focus on the results that the reviews produce.

With me myself and my dissertation and work in the doctoral program, I request my doctorate be issued to me immediately because I have already done so well and for so long in the doctoral program and including with authoring an excellent dissertation.

Joseph Mallon, DBA-c

06/01/2018

University Staff Questionable Results Reported to Office of Dispute Management

SASDOCManager-D-14-01069R6

**"STAKEHOLDERS INCREASING OPPORTUNITIES WITH ESSENTIAL HONORS
BY AND FOR STAKEHOLDERS: A QUALITATIVE CASE STUDY"**

Jne Bhd, Ed. D. (Reviewer 7)

Reviewer Recommendation Term: Revision and resubmission required

Joseph Mallon, DBA-c (2012-2018) Doctoral Candidate Response: The result of “Revision and resubmission required” is not appropriate or accurate and does not align with so much being done well. The findings cannot and should not be both appearing a revision is needed and not needed at the same time. Acting on only negative assertions and not acting on the positive assertions is not appropriate. The negative assertions should not be exaggerated while the positive assertions are downgraded. The negative assertions are not only not as weighty or significant as the positive assertions, but also, the negative assertions are not corroborated and have rebuttals appropriate concerning them.

In the review report, there are 49 positive responses that indicate no further revision is needed. These positive responses include 36 responses of “somewhat” and 14 responses of “well.” There are only 4 negative responses. The review report looks a bit strange in that the four negative responses follow only positive responses in each of the four sections involved. The review report seems as though two different people did the review reporting, and one did the preliminary sections with positive responses, and the other person did the comments with the four negative responses. The real problem here, though, is that the four negative responses are acted upon by saying that the dissertation work needs further revision instead of passing through because of the 50 positive responses.

Review Report Received - May 2018

Custom Review Question(s) Response

Domain I: Problem Formulation

The introduction establishes topic and context that encompasses the problem (CR1.1.A1).

Somewhat

The problem statement clearly describes and documents the issue the study will address (CR1.1.B).

Somewhat

The significance of the question, problem, or issue of the study is demonstrated (CR1.1.C).

Somewhat

The research question and hypotheses or sub questions arise from the problem and purpose of the study (CR1.1.D).

Somewhat

The study problem, purpose, research questions, and design are aligned with the student's degree program and with the appropriate research methodology (CR1.1.E).

Well

The theoretical or conceptual framework is presented and expanded upon in the literature review. The scope of the study and limitations/delimitations are presented (CR1.1.F).

Somewhat

Subdomain: Contribution to Knowledge

An explanation of how the study is likely to contribute to the field of study is presented (CR1.2.A).

Somewhat

The study is motivated by a lack of information about a problem or issue, and includes an explicit discussion of what is lacking, why it is important, and how this investigation will address the need for information (CR1.2.B).

Well

Subdomain: Review of the Relevant Scholarship

The criteria for selecting relevant scholarship to include in the study are clear (CR1.3.A1).

Well

The discussion is supported by relevant, scholarly, and peer-reviewed sources that demonstrate cogency, comprehensiveness, significance, and coherence in the review of literature. Any ambiguities or shortcomings in the literature are noted and discussed (CR1.3.B). Somewhat

The study contributes to challenges and/or extends theory, practice, methodology, research results, knowledge, and/or understanding within specific arena of inquiry (CR1.3.C).

Somewhat

Rationale for the problem formulation as it relates to the groups studied—such as historical, linguistic, social, and cultural backgrounds features—is presented (CR1.3.D). Well

The review of literature includes how previous researchers' methodological choices affected research findings and considered the strengths and weaknesses of those research methods and designs in relation to the state of the field of study (CR1.3.E). Well

The review of literature includes how common research methodologies and research techniques have been used to study the problem in the past and identifies the advantages and disadvantages of prior methods and techniques used to study the problem (CR1.3.F). Well

Relevant citations grounded in scholarly literature are given for each assertion. An appropriate demonstration of summary, analysis, and synthesis that is relevant to the topic is present. Depth and comprehensiveness of the literature is emerging by including alternative and conflicting perspectives. Presentation of the appropriate thought leaders in research methodology and design have been presented in the literature review (CR1.3.G). Well

Overall Observations of Problem Formulation (CR) The problem is not clearly articulated. The purpose of the study is challenging to understand. The research question should be the interrogative form of the purpose. There is an extensive amount of information presented, much of which is redundant and repetitive. Only material relevant to the section should be presented. It is unclear who would compromise the sample.

Doctoral Candidate Response: The problem statement is intricately presented and has received many good words and passing grades already. The problem—stakeholders disengaging to the disadvantage of all stakeholders—at issue is further elaborated on throughout the work. The purpose of the study—to explore the business problem of stakeholders disengaging—is presented multiple times in the material and is fully explained in deep and thorough descriptions for understanding after enough study, thinking, and perhaps, respectful questioning. An extensive amount of information is presented as normal in such a large work as a dissertation,

and only reiteration is done to ensure elimination of redundancy and heightening of comprehension. A thorough amount of relevant material is presented in the first two chapters, and again, like previously expressed, I already received many good words and grades for the first two chapters, and even first three chapters, of my dissertation. The sample is described as stated for many reasons, and while including a common business way to express a name, which is with the name said, initial in parentheses, and then use of only initial following.

Domain II: Literature Review

Coverage: Search criteria and scope are explicit and justified (LR2.1.A). Well

Criteria for material inclusion and exclusion are clear and justified (LR2.1.B). Somewhat

The review demonstrates a purposeful and thorough inclusion of material (LR2.1.C).
Somewhat

The review demonstrates comprehensiveness: breadth, relevance, currency, availability, and authority (LR2.1.D). Somewhat

The review demonstrates comprehensiveness: depth, including alternative and conflicting perspectives (LR2.1.E). Somewhat

The discussion is supported by relevant, scholarly, and peer-reviewed sources (LR2.1.F).
Somewhat

Sub domain: Synthesis

The review demonstrates appropriate summation, analysis, and synthesis (LR2.2.A).
Somewhat

The review distinguishes what has been done in the field of study from what needs to be done (LR2.2.B). Somewhat

The review demonstrates a purposeful and thorough inclusion of material (LR2.2.C).
Somewhat

The review places the research in the historical context of the field (LR2.2.D). Well

The review demonstrates acquisition and enhancement of the subject vocabulary (LR2.2.E).
Somewhat

The review articulates important variables and phenomena relevant to the topic (LR2.2.F).
Somewhat

The review synthesizes and demonstrates a new perspective on the literature (LR2.2.G).
Somewhat

The review addresses inconsistencies and tensions in the literature (LR2.2.H). Somewhat

The review clarifies of the scope and limitations of existing literature (LR2.2.I). Somewhat

The review makes a genuine contribution to the knowledge in the field (LR2.2.J). Somewhat

The review demonstrates a critical analysis of literature (LR2.2.K). Somewhat

Subdomain: Method

The review identifies the common methods and research techniques that have been used in the field and their advantages and disadvantages (LR2.3.A). Well

The review demonstrates recognition of how previous researchers' methodological choices affected the research findings and considerations of the strengths and weaknesses of those research methods in relation to the state of the field (LR2.3.B). Well

Subdomain: Significance

Rationale for the practical importance of the research problem, within the discipline of study, is clear (LR2.4.A). Somewhat

Rationale for the scholarly significance of the research problem is clear (LR2.4.B). Somewhat

The description includes the implications of the existing research on the topic, noting any ambiguities or shortcomings in the literature (LR2.4.C). Somewhat

Subdomain: Rhetoric

The review demonstrates a coherent, organized structure that supports the review (LR2.5.A). Somewhat

The review articulates clear claims based on an analysis and synthesis of the literature, and supports those claims through purposeful organization and cogent writing (LR2.5.B). Somewhat

Overall Observations of Literature Review (LR) The literature review is not based on primary sources related to the concepts of interest. The focus of the literature review is a number of theories, however it is unclear how they relate to the study. The sources cited in the review are older and outdated.

Doctoral Candidate Response: The literature focuses extensively on the areas of interest. The literature includes much advised for a literature inclusion, which is an extensive literature inclusion involving many relevant areas to the topic. The theories primarily relevant to the study are included and elaborated for formal inclusion of a required area, and fully explained is how one of the theories, stakeholder theory, is the main theory used for the dissertation. The sources cited were all scholarly peer-reviewed journal articles on doctoral levels and do not just easily go out of date, such as with dissertations done to earn doctorates for people in previous years. In addition, many current citations were made and which will also stand the test of time.

Domain IX: Level of Rhetoric and Presentation

Title conveys the dissertation focus (WSR 9.1.A). Somewhat

Abstract provides a summary of the dissertation (WSR 9.1.B). N/A

Abstract describes the research question or problem; descriptions of the research sites, objects, or participants; the conceptual orientation of the study; the methods used for collecting sources of evidence or data; procedures used for analyzing the evidence; and the main conclusions and implications (WSR 9.1.C). N/A

Headings and subheadings clearly illustrate the logic of inquiry underlying the study and follow current APA guidelines. (WSR 9.1.D). Well

All front matter follows current APA guidelines and SAS formatting in terms of length, content, and grammar or mechanics (WSR 9.1.E). Well

Writing is clear, concise, precise, and reflects a level of sophistication adequate for doctoral level publication in the social sciences (WSR 9.1.F). Somewhat

Tables, graphs, data visualization, conceptual models, and/or data models follow current APA guidelines WSR 9.1.G). Well

Citations used in text and references listed follow current APA guidelines (WSR 9.1.H). Somewhat

Overall Observations of Rhetoric and Presentation (WSR IX) The title does not reflect the intent of the study. The writing tends to ramble and is challenging to follow. Information is repeated in sections where it is redundant and not relevant. The chapter titles do not use the SAS Dissertation Manual format. Text citations so jot follow APA format.

Doctoral Candidate Response: The title fully reflects the content of the study and some factual proof would have to be presented to competently express otherwise. Why would an expression be made that the title does not reflect the content of the study when the title very well reflects the content of the study? Why does there appear to be an improper intent or a skewing to make an appearance of something other than what is present? To express that writing tends to *ramble* is a matter of opinion and is something that is not very nice to say when functioning professionally with an effect. If considering the expression of *challenging*, the only consideration is to attempt to read any doctoral or advanced level work for a first time. The chapter title is precisely in the correct format, and the text citations are all in APA format, and any contrary

statement needs to include examples that will hold up to following rebuttal.

Domain X: Writing

The writing is clear and precise (WSR 10.1.A). Somewhat

The writing demonstrates appropriate scholarly tone (i.e., tentative, void of hyperbole, avoids pronouns and colloquialisms) (WSR 10.1.B). Somewhat

The writing is concise (WSR 10.1.C). Somewhat

The writing is original and direct quotes are used sparingly (WSR 10.1.D). Somewhat

The writing is error free (WSR 10.1.E). Somewhat

Overall Observations of Writing (WSR X) The writing lacks clarity and does not meet the criteria of a scholarly Concept Review. The writing needs to be objective and avoid extraneous word. Recommend consulting and working with a writing coach.

Doctoral Candidate Response: There should be no such expression as “lacking clarity” made on a scholarly, doctoral, or advanced level. Lacking clarity is opinion and means that the reader does not study the material enough to gain an understanding on the content. The writer with the doctoral status is already well-proven beyond such an opinionated expression. The reader would have to reread and, perhaps, ask questions sincerely. I am sorry to say that again the reviewer(s) expressing further revision is needed is incorrect and, instead, the included two chapters met and exceeded the doctoral Concept Review criteria. The writing was clear and concise and without any extraneous words. The strong recommendation is to follow more ethical policies and practices so that more accurate and mutually beneficial results are produced.

Conclusions for Office of Dispute Management

I request that my entire dissertation be rereviewed in the Quality Review Final (QRF) area and that I be issued my doctorate that I already earned and deserved since in 2012, more than 5 years ago.

I do hereby again express disappointment about the tactics of the university faculty involved with passing a dissertation work. The experience again was obstructive and discrediting. There were some unfounded negative expressions made about the dissertation material that did not justify the negative expressions. The negative or degrading expressions were made and acted upon without giving the dissertation material its fair chance or the other party involved a chance to say anything. There was no acting on, advancing, and relying on the good features of the dissertation material, which on this occasion, was the first two chapters of the dissertation.

Corrective action is necessary. An emphasis has to be made to express that there has to be right results. The faculty cannot be let to produce wrong results. Incorrect results are unacceptable. There cannot be unethical practices, such as pushing an active work and person negatively instead of positively.

Please review this situation and make appropriate reprimands. There should be some fitting guidelines for the reviews of the scholarly work done to achieve a passing grade or status. Those guidelines have to focus on the results that the reviews produce.

With me myself and my dissertation and work in the doctoral program, I request my doctorate be issued to me immediately because I have already done so well and for so long in the doctoral program and including with authoring an excellent dissertation.

Joseph Mallon, DBA-c

jmallon@email.pheonix.edu

More Sent to Office of Dispute Management

Office of Dispute Management,

I already received acknowledgement from staff of the university that my dispute documents have been received into a management area of the university. I am anticipating word back soon and would like to see that I was regarded and catered to adequately. I truly finished the doctoral program in 2012. I would like the university to respect that and work out the conclusions of me finishing the doctoral program in 2012.

I included another document here because this document expresses concerns I had before going into a next edition of the doctoral program in August 2016. I requested authority from the Office of Dispute Management to handle these concerns and especially if I would see a relevant incident occur. The mentioned document is included herein.

I need to hear back as soon as possible at this point. There is a course scheduled for December 12, 2017, but the course is not a right course. I pushed the course back to this point and will have to push it back further if I do not hear from this office. I am not settled with that course because the mutual agreement was only for me to finish up the end of the doctoral program and was not for me to be discredited and set back to an early point in the program.

Please respond back to me as soon as having something worked out for me to review. I favor good word and positive movement that is mutual with me, and I would prefer not being disappointed. I anticipate a constructive determination by the Office of Dispute Management and am eager to receive such a response.

From August 2016 -

Dispute and Request for Office of Dispute Management Authority

I am working on finishing the doctoral program. There were excessive delays the university caused through the past. I finished the standard doctoral program in March 2012 and was successful along with my more than passable dissertation. I was unjustifiably caused to remain in these ending times of the doctoral program through the next 4 years to now in 2016 and still without having any realistic end in sight. I am presently making additional good faith efforts, but I request that this Office of Dispute Management authorize me with some authority to make sure that the program continues moving positively.

Already at the start of this phase, I worked through another summer season (summer of 2016), and I made an appeal to reenter the same program version I was previously in. My appeal was (hastily) denied. I started to make a second level appeal, but the dissertation chairperson swayed and dropped out. I am now left again with a broken dissertation committee. I do not want these kinds of things to keep happening here at the university as they have been. I do not want to go another year or two with my time wasted and I really already earned and deserve my doctorate, so I want and am requesting some backing or authority to ensure consistent positive movement.

Because the dissertation chairperson dropped out instead of contributing to the second level appeal, I could not make the second level appeal. I am submitting the documents that I would have submitted for the appeal. Making this dispute is now necessary for me to make good faith effort to fulfill my responsibility to report this matter and to successfully finish the doctoral program.

Welcome Joseph Mallon (IRN)

Home Classroom

Library Account PhoenixConnect

Degree Progress

Program: osA-001 (Primary)

100% completed



Current GPA: 3.66

Total Credits Required : 62

Completed Credits: 62

Transfer, Waived & Assessed Credits 0

Remaining Credits: 0

The information displayed in this tracker is only an estimate. Please refer to your program credits for a detailed view of your degree progress.-----

Formal Grievance Made to ODM - November 2, 2017

Original Message -----

From: JOSEPH MALLON <jmallon@comcast.net> To:
formalgrievanceodm@phoenix.edu
Date: November 2, 2017 at 12:36 AM
Subject: Dispute sent last week

Office of Dispute Management,

I recently sent a dispute into this Office of Dispute Management. Some people of this office may know that I previously made a dispute here in 2015, and as can be seen, the better situation is for my dispute to be taken seriously and worked out correctly.

I request that no one of this Office of Dispute Management takes anything here in a wrong way. I am being truthful and am stating a little of what is involved. Some of my expressions have to be seriously understood and others have to be recognized as having merit and potential.

I am a good person and a successful person. I did well through the doctoral program and completed the program truthfully in 2012 and with an A level grade point average. I was supposed to be treated well and was supposed to only finish-up the doctoral program in 2012 and go on to being a doctor in my field of business (business administration). I worked through 5 more years (2012 to 2017) in this way of being successful, and the university should not still be contrasting me, fighting against me, or being an enemy to me. There should not in any way be an enemy perspective that the university exhibits concerning my materials. There should not and cannot be let to be actions or results that are not truly in my best interests.

I want the status of the doctoral program to be immediately set as "In Final Completion." My dissertation has to be sent back into the QRF to be finished there correctly. My oral defense has to be covered so that it can be successful, too, as it has been for a long time. The finalities of the doctoral program have to be finished on the part of the university. The true end of the doctoral program has to be set as in 2012. The rest of the work done has to be recognized as post-doctoral work.

This university does not have to do the work of the post-doctoral program but has to recognize it and complete the university's doctoral program level work. Going in right ways, such as these, would be good for all involved with the university and would be among the few ways left for the university to do anything of any value involving me.

I have to sometime soon know at least that these issues are being worked out. Everything does not have to be done all at once, but a securing of right directions being gone is needed. Everything has to be at least going the right way.

You can communicate to me by email. I will watch for your communication to come back to me, and I will efficiently evaluate your communication and respond accordingly.

Thank you, Joseph Mallon

Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI

jmallon@email.phoenix.edu

Formal Grievance to Office of Dispute Management - October 23, 2017

----- Original Message -----

From: JOSEPH MALLON <jmallon@comcast.net> To:
FormalGrievanceODM@phoenix.edu
Date: October 23, 2017 at 1:39 AM Subject: Fwd:
Request to review again

An assistant dean of the university made an effectual determination that was not based on the best interests of the doctoral student/candidate or completing the doctoral program successfully.

The result of the determination in bad faith was to set back the doctoral candidate to a beginning point in the doctoral program and to discredit and dishonor the involved dissertation, the doctoral candidate, and all the honorable work done through the doctoral program.

The non-mutuality is evidenced with the assistant dean's expressly stated emphasis that "I do not see that this research project will ever meet the standards in the DCRS."

My material was not submitted for the purpose the assertions expressed. I did not request such an opinion, and there was not a fully completed formal request made for such a skewed emphasis.

I just finished three additional doctoral courses successfully and should have been respected for that and should not have been subject to a faculty statement such as "I definitely recommend that Mr. Mallon return to 723. I would not support waiving the Concept Review requirement." After proving myself further through the year and doing well in the three additional courses, there was no justification for a faculty staff member acting in an effectual capacity to state "This paper is a jumble of ideas that do not seem clearly connected. Most of the sentences do not make sense." When going through so long previously and including the recent year with additional courses to be able to resubmit my dissertation into the Quality Review Final (QRF), a totally inappropriate expression was "I do not see that this research project will ever meet the standards in the DCRS. Mr. Mallon would have to start over with a different research project." When a person/doctoral student/doctoral candidate did well and is supposed to be honored at the very end of a doctoral program, discrediting, demeaning, and delaying are not appropriate occurrences.

The contractual terms agreed to did not include being set back to the beginning of the doctoral program and only included finishing up the ending of the doctoral program in a mutually understood successful way.

Being that the review was done in bad faith, the review determination must be reversed, and the formal request made appropriately by the doctoral candidate must be granted, as should have been done originally, and the doctoral candidate must not be put through such an ordeal again.

Additional information is available upon request. Reviewing the university doctoral program practices and policies relevant is recommended.

Please provide confirmation of removal of the obstruction impeding progress of the doctoral program so that forward movement can be further commenced.

Thank you, Joseph

Mallon

Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI

jmallon@email.phoenix.edu

Request to Review Again - August 24, 2017

I request that you relook at my materials for waiving the second Content Review course – DOCTORAL SEMINAR II. I previously took and successfully completed the Content Review course - DOCTORAL SEMINAR II (Graduate, A final grade, 3 credits). Perhaps, my materials were not perceived correctly for some reason.

I said in my materials that I just finished three courses and have my dissertation committee, my dissertation, and myself set and ready to finish up the very end of the doctoral program without repeating a course already successfully completed. My dissertation is excellent and is about a significant area of stakeholder theory. My dissertation is specialized in the field of business and is a qualitative case study. The methodology includes a review of three domains and a data source triangulation. All parts of the dissertation have already undergone extensive scrutiny and review and have been repeatedly confirmed as being acceptable for more than passing through the ending of the doctoral program.

I request that you reconsider the facts involved, along with the more-than-passable quality of my dissertation, and honor my request to waive the Content Review course that I already successfully completed.

Thank you, Joseph

Mallon

Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI

jmallon@email.phoenix.edu

Please consider redirecting toward finishing the end of the doctoral program successfully.

I definitely recommend that Mr. Mallon return to 723. I would not support waiving the Concept Review requirement.

This paper is a jumble of ideas that do not seem clearly connected. Most of the sentences do not make sense.

There is no coherence between paragraphs, no relationship between identified research design and data collection and analysis, and dreams or dream-related articles are not acceptable sources of data for a case study.

I do not see that this research project will ever meet the standards in the DCRS. Mr. Mallon would have to start over with a different research project.

Pla Wle

Assistant Program Dean for Research

The screenshot shows a university website header with a red background and a white navigation menu. The navigation menu includes links for Home, Classroom, Library, Program, Account, and PhoenixConnect. The 'Program' link is highlighted. Below the navigation menu, there is a 'Degree Progress' section for program DBA-001 (Primary). The section displays a green progress bar at 100% completed, a current GPA of 3.66, and a table of credit requirements. The table shows that all 62 total credits required have been completed, with 0 remaining credits. A disclaimer at the bottom states that the information is an estimate and refers to program credits for a detailed view.

Degree Progress		Program: DBA-001 (Primary)	
100% completed		Total Credits Required:	62
		Completed Credits:	62
Current GPA: 3.66		Transfer, Waived & Assessed Credits:	0
		Remaining Credits:	0

The information displayed in this tracker is only an estimate. Please refer to your [program credits](#) for a detailed view of your degree progress.

Responses to Office of Dispute Management - Requesting Corrections

The following is my response to the message I received from the Office of Dispute Management (ODM). The present necessity is for the situation to be worked out as being on track to successfully complete the doctoral program and with my doctorate being issued to me.

Dear Mr. Mallon:

We are in receipt of your inquiry and would like to address the documented concerns in the Doctor of Business Administration (DBA) degree program in which you are enrolled with the University.

The concerns should be addressed but seriously and realistically. The issues should be recognized and worked out in a factual way. The university has to have securely set a positive path for me to complete the doctoral program successfully and to receive my doctorate.

Your grievance states that you are requesting your entire dissertation to be reviewed and be issued your diploma.

Yes, I am stating that I want my doctorate that I already earned and am owed to be issued to me. I have an entire dissertation that is beyond passable for the doctoral program completion. I achieved this completed dissertation, which includes five chapters, while I went through the entire doctoral program and achieved an A level grade point average (GPA). The matters involved with the doctoral program completing have to be worked out successfully and not in any other way.

Upon a thorough review of your record, we have found that you have been provided the changes and feedback required within your dissertation to meet the mandatory expectations.

I responded to the changes expressed about and the feedback provided by making a very appropriate dispute, which was presented to here, the ODM. When a required academically professional task—a doctoral course instructor informing of changes and providing feedback for a dissertation to meet standard criteria—results in a dispute, the correct inclusions were not in the task done, and the right results were not produced.

Such work is not adequate to reply on for meeting the standards of doing the academically professional task required. Wrong work done that produces wrong results does not count as appropriate professional work done.

Our records reflect that the feedback provided to you regarding your dissertation has been substantial and well within University standards.

Again, as said, much done in a wrong way with undermining intent does not have validity to stand as substantive, meeting acceptable standards, or even as being ethical. In addition, I met and exceeded

all the expressions made concerning my first two chapters of my dissertation for the report that is supposed to come back from a Concept Review. Also, the Concept Review was not truly needed because of it already being done successfully on a previous occasion, and the Concept Review should not have been caused to seem to be needed again and in a way just to discredit all the work that was previously done.

At this time we are unable to grant your request as you have not satisfied all of the DBA requirements.

You did not have to dishonor me. You did not have to discredit my merits and treat me like my request did not have the merits justifying the university issuing my long-overdue doctorate to me. You were supposed to honor, regard, and respect the merits involved. I made a formal request that was supposed to be honored and not dishonored. You here, again, produced wrong results, and the right results are still needed and requiring.

Doctoral candidates must successfully complete a dissertation project as part of their degree requirements. This includes the student being successful in achieving Concept Review, Quality Review Methods, Institutional Review Board, and Quality Review Final approval, as well as successfully passing Oral Defense.

I have a completed dissertation. I have more than one completed dissertation. One dissertation that is completed with the university doing its work involving that dissertation remains still excessively requiring to be completed. I want that done immediately or, at least, set on track with good faith effort being made on the part of the university and its faculty.

The first two chapters of my dissertation have already passed successfully through the Concept Review. If the university wants or requires that being done in recent times, then the university has to arrange for the formalities to be completed without any other intent or results.

The same situation goes for the Quality Review Methods. The first three chapters of my dissertation were previously often said to be good and more than sufficient for the dissertation and its successful completion. People of this university should not distort successful doctoral level work already done just to make the situation seem as though the beginning of the program is involved, if even that. I should not have to keep on redoing and reexplaining the methodology of my dissertation. Because of all that already went into my dissertation and its achieving A grades through the entire doctoral program, just saying my dissertation is a qualitative case study should be enough.

My dissertation has gone through the Institutional Review Board (IRB) three times. To cause my dissertation to go through the IRB more times was nothing but abuse and the results of the past years clearly show the abuse. My dissertation has no problem going through the IRB, but the problems cannot and should not be caused to make the IRB nothing but another blockage and only involved with abuse. If the university needs or requires the IRB to be gone through again, then the university has to be responsible for ensuring that my dissertation goes through the IRB again in an efficient and successful manner. I cannot be burdened or bothered by the IRB resubmittal because my dissertation has already successfully gone through the IRB three times.

Further, remember that the excessive time involved is not because of me and is because of the university. The university is at fault. The university owes me my doctorate and the successful completion of the doctoral program, and I do not owe the university anything.

My dissertation went through the Quality Review Final (QRF) on five occasions. The five occasions should not have been needed, and my dissertation should have passed successfully through the QRF within the bounds of the five times of going through the QRF. Then when my dissertation only had to go back into the QRF to be completed, my dissertation was not let to go back into the QRF since back then in the beginning of January 2015 through to now in September 2018. My dissertation should be immediately set on course to go back into the QRF efficiently and for successful completion. Also, as I was saying back in 2012, and as was true and right, the university should apologize to me and put my dissertation back into the QRF to be done right. That was truly the situation in September and October 2012.

Because I was already advanced in the doctoral program back in the summer of 2012 and ready to finish up the program successfully, I had my oral defense prepared. I had this oral defense done on numerous occasions in the following years, and that formality just needs to be finished up successfully on the part of the university.

The School of Advanced Studies has determined this is the appropriate academic progression and no entity or person within the University can override the academic decisions of the college in satisfaction of degree program requirements.

We are not disputing the requirements that were set to complete the doctoral program successfully. We are disputing the mishandling of the work done in the doctoral program and causing the university to not complete its end of successfully completing the doctoral program, which made it so that the doctoral program did not successfully complete for more than 10 years, which is more than three times the length of the original doctoral program.

Records indicate you were sent correspondence on November 29, 2017 in regards to the same grievance addressing the required step to proceed within the DBA program.

The dispute made back in 2017 was not the same dispute. That dispute was not settled mutually, and I made good faith efforts by going through another course. I saw that the occurrences I disputed about were still happening despite the previous dispute outcome, which meant that the situation was not settled and another dispute was appropriate and necessary. I did not just make this next dispute right away, either. I conversed about it first with some of the faculty members, and we all reached the conclusion that the dispute was appropriate and necessary. This next dispute was all that there was to do and was what was right to do. I then thus made the dispute.

We have attached the correspondence for your records. At this time there remain outstanding requirements for your successful completion of the DBA v003, and we encourage you to work with you Academic Counselor, Chair/Committee Members, course faculty, and SAS academic staff as you move forward in your degree program completion.

I already replied to correspondence that you attached. I acted on that correspondence a long time ago. The situation became blatant that the attached correspondence solutions did not work. Another dispute had to be made to point out that the previous guidance was inadequate and a more requested solution was needed.

The university, by way of its faculty, has to be accountable and responsible for any and all doctoral program completion requirements that the university has not yet showing as completed. The university is responsible and accountable for ensuring its faculty members are informed with the correct policies and guidance to be going in right ways and fulfilling their roles in the efficient and successful completion of the doctoral program. These faculty members include academic advisors, Chair and committee members, any course faculty, and any School of Advanced Studies (SAS) staff. No additional course may be required, and if any additional course is needed on the part of the university to fulfill the university doctoral program completion requirements, the university has to arrange fulfilling the formalities. The university or its faculty may seek my consultation in any of these areas involved.

Mr. Mallon, we regret that you have had a dissatisfying experience with the University; specifically, the dissertation process and associated requirements. However, we hope you have found the information in this letter to be informative. If you have additional questions, please contact your graduation team.

I understand that you regret the unsettling experiences that the university caused me to go through. I also want better than that, though. I want the university to make up for those past problem experiences and work out the situation to being the way it is supposed to be, including with me successfully completing the doctoral program efficiently and successfully.

I expect to be pleased and honored because of my merits. I do not want to have to call the university in any kind of harsh way, and I want to be able to call the university and be and remain in a pleasant way. I expect, as I earned, for the university to regard me and treat me as being the scholar, the teacher, the expert, the authority, and the doctor in my field. I am not saying anything here in an inappropriate or unworthy way, and I am just saying what is right and needed for correct interaction.

Now, I have to be issued my doctorate. All involved with the doctoral program on the university's part involving me has to be successfully completed. The path has to be securely set so that the formalities of the university's requirements show as being met for successfully completing the doctoral program, as I did.

Thank you. Sincerely, **Office of Dispute Management**

University of Phoenix | Office of Dispute Management
phone: 602-557-5566 | fax: 602-626-2038 | email: UofPhoenix@phoenix.edu

Joseph Mallon, DBA-c
06/27/2018

Report on a Denial still not Dealing with Facts or People Involved

The particular people acting in effectual capacities while in positions of responsibility (hereinafter “university”) at the university caused additional delay until June 2016 without a regard of anything that was emphasized or presented through the previous year of time. The university itself took up all the time and not any other party, such as myself. The university should be accountable and should take on its responsibility when incorrect results are directly caused by the university. The university, though, causes the people causing the problems to be hidden behind innocent people and to be left unaccountable for bad results caused. This university should be held accounting for its real results concerning the real people affected through the past years and should adequately make up to (compensate) those people, academics, and the society.

The university caused an appeal to be necessary to me (the author of this material) to reenter the same program that was still going and that never really stopped. The university caused two months to be involved with that process. The process was started on June 1st, 2016 and took until August 12th, 2016 to complete and with only a mere denial. Out of two months, the reentry appeal material was fully pending since July 5th, 2016. The situation appears to be that the university reviewed the appeal material within an hour and then just hastily denied everything.

How much work was involved with only denying everything and leaving there be nothing involved regarded or worked out concerning the attendant circumstances. None of the emphasis made in the appeal was regarded. All significant points were nullified. No rebuttal, defense, or guiding or righteous view was enabled. The university heavily manipulates its associated people in this way and does not perform ethically with this practice.

The university mistreated a successful doctoral program. The university mistreated a successful doctoral student and person who did well with the person’s own work that the person was supposed to do. The university mistreated a more than acceptable dissertation that should have been more than sufficient to serve the doctoral program purposes. The university mistreated the previous instructors of the doctoral program courses by causing all the work done previously to be dishonored and to be not enough. The university mistreated all the people involved with academics and the society by not performing ethically and responsibly within a reasonable amount of time.

The university caused more than 4 years of totally wasted and needless time to be on the backend of an already successfully completed doctoral program. The more than passable dissertation was first submitted into the QRF (Quality Review Final) area in March 2012, and there is no competent way on the part of the university that the doctoral program should not have been fully completed on the university’s part by the end of the year of 2012, December 31st, 2012. The program truly should have been finished up in the summer of 2012, and there is no valid reason the university has for why that did not happen. The university has not been taking facts or people seriously or treating them as being what they are in effectual times. The university needs to be responsible for treating people ethically with real facts and results taken into consideration and with any stakeholder having a true and respected say.

The university has committed gross negligence, malpractice, misrepresentation of facts, and

Faculty Code of Conduct violations. The university owes every stakeholder improvement, among other things. Nothing here with this proclamation's emphasis is in question, and the only questions remain with the university's actions, results, and improvements.

Joseph Mallon, Honorable Dissertation Author

Dated: 08/12/2016

Receipt of and Response to Office of Dispute Management Letter of May 9, 2016

There are issues that I have ethical responsibilities to report. The occurrences are summed up as negligence and Faculty Code of Conduct violations. I feel that this situation is so serious that I have a duty to make this report and have it worked on either here by you or externally whenever the issues involved will be accurately addressed. The true university violation occurred in 2012 when I should have completed the doctoral program after I submitted my dissertation into the QRF (Quality Review Final) area. Everything following, especially negative, resulted proximately from this inappropriate cause, summed up as negligence and Faculty Code of Conduct Violations.

Commonly described legally, negligence has four components. When each of the four components are met, the indication is that negligence occurred. Negligence is (1) having a legal duty to perform certain actions, (2) breaching that duty, (3) directly causing the following results, which are (4) damages to the other party.

Considering 2012, the university had (1) a legal duty to perform producing within a reasonable time a successful final review of a completed dissertation to finish up a doctoral program, (2) the university breached that duty by unjustifiably taking six months (March to September 2012) to present a completed final review and having included in the final review nothing accurate, constructive, facilitative, meritorious, or honorable to any parties involved, including myself (the doctoral student, candidate, and dissertation author) or the dissertation committee members or any of the past faculty members—instructors. The university breaching its legal duty (3) directly caused the doctoral program to not finish in the year of 2012 and directly caused any and all of the following negative occurrences in 2013 through to into 2016, including the doctoral program not completing again in December 2014 and September 2015. The (4) damages can be summed up as not having the doctoral program finished, not receiving any benefits of a completed doctoral program, additional time being involved without progress that already should have happened, extensive aggravation and concern beyond reasonability, and any—many—monetary amounts that could be attached to occurrences and the general occurrence referred to as

negligence.

Description of other violations that the university was caused to be involved with is not appropriate here. Please see relevant descriptions. Other such violations were previously expressed as Faculty Code of Conduct violations, Malpractice, Defamation, and Misrepresentation. I described negligence to express what happened, and I did not describe negligence for me to be considered as a bad person, a retaliating person, an unsuccessful person, or someone who does not know what is being talked about. Improvements for much better than negligence, or any other such negative occurrence, would be best.

Back in 2012, my dissertation was submitted into the QRF in March. I intended to finish the dissertation, QRF, oral defense, and doctoral program in the summer of 2012. The situation ended up being that I did not receive my dissertation back or any work back until after the summer of 2012. The time was six months later, and there was absolutely no justification whatsoever for the QRF area taking six months to report back to me about my dissertation. The situation was additionally so negatively oriented that the dissertation committee members never worked further on my dissertation or the doctoral program completion through the remainder of 2012 or following.

I made good faith effort through 2013 and 2014 and did everything that was supposed to be done. I again had my dissertation ready to complete the QRF so that I could finish up the doctoral program. I submitted my dissertation into the QRF since in June 2014. I ended up submitting my dissertation into the QRF through the rest of the year, and everything was caused to be not enough, no matter what it was. The dissertation committee members were in the QRF saying to pass my dissertation, but the anonymous reviewer kept rejecting my dissertation, including without regarding the work done following the dissertation previously being returned unpassed. That was unjustifiably not passing my dissertation at the end of 2014 and beginning of 2015 and led to the following in 2015.

In 2015, I had to obtain two additional dissertation committee members because two left the committee because of not wanting to or being able to go longer working on the dissertation and dissertation committee. I reached the beginning of the summer and was ready to get my dissertation back into the QRF, but my dissertation had to be approved again by the IRB because of another year of time passing by. I obtained the approval, but I was then told more had to be done with my dissertation and another IRB approval had to be obtained. I got everything into the IRB again for the second approval and was also told the work is good to do. As everything sat in the IRB area ready to be submitted and only needing the dissertation chairperson to electronically sign, the dissertation committee chairperson left the committee and, again, mainly because of too much time going by without a perfectly good quality dissertation passing through the QRF. Then I had to go through the next month getting another dissertation committee chairperson. I did that and was going well, but this dissertation committee chairperson was compromised by university administration members and, as a result, did not provide adequate support to me, my dissertation, or the dissertation committee, so I was caused to have to request for this dissertation committee chairperson to resign, which she did.

At this point, I had just worked through another entire summer season, and no one of this university regarded that or even reasonably took that fact into consideration. I should not have had to just do more work and go longer. In the meantime, the university administrative staff members just arbitrarily made negative accusations to me and immediately acted on them and manipulated the university systems and staff to do likewise. The university administrators involved made their assertions, and I responded. My response was not regarded effectively. The university administrators said their letters without the effective regard, and I again responded and made an appeal. The appeal was not taken seriously enough for any effectiveness. This process continued to points where I was not given any say at all, and the situation was me against five or more other parties, and while those five or more other parties were using the resources of the university as I was deprived of the university resources.

This situation continued. I contacted other people of the university, but not one there would do anything in my interests. I had to move on and do other things at this point because the university situation was caused to be totally senseless. I kept working professionally and doing well. I added on another line of authority to my licensing. I also took an additional professional course and completed that. The time reached up to the present time of my receipt of an Office of Dispute Management letter and my then making this document.

There are a few aspects involved here presently. This situation was so extreme and major that (1) I feel obligated to further report about the past activity and express the charges—negative occurrences—I emphasized: Negligence and Faculty Code of Conduct Violations (three substantial occasions – 2012, 2014, and 2015 stemming from 2012). I want to express to you that (2) when you are writing a dissertation and are at the ending of the dissertation, you predominantly put everything of the dissertation into past tense, so that having mostly everything in the past tense is not a justification or basis for negative assertions or actions.

Another question is (3) where does six months of time come in for a suspension based on a hasty determination of something minor, petty, and that can be easily adjusted or worked out instead; what precedent or authority was there for that? I further have to emphatically emphasize that (4) I have gone through more than eight years in this doctoral program when I achieved A grades through the program and an A grade point average by the core doctoral program end in 2012. This doctoral program was only a three-year program, and more than double the program time is not appropriate for someone who did well through the program and has a more than perfectly passable dissertation. (5) For many reasons, I have to receive the doctorate I already earned. I also want to express that (6) I will present my report, but I will not wait for it to be worked through. I will stay in action to complete the doctoral program as efficiently as possible. I did well in the doctoral program, and I am supposed to be treated well, and that is in addition to the formal cultivation of being treated as being a person who is a teacher, expert, authority, and doctor in my field. Lastly, I additionally have to express that (7) how this university continues and finishes my involvement in

the doctoral program is how I will remember this university and is what goes into this university's prestige and reputation.

Joseph Mallon

Joseph Mallon, DBA-c

jmallon@email.phoenix.edu

Dated: 05/19/2016

List form –

(1) I feel obligated to further report about the past activity and express the charges—negative occurrences—I emphasized: Negligence and Faculty Code of Conduct Violations (three substantial occasions – 2012, 2014, and 2015 stemming from 2012).

(2) When you are writing a dissertation and are at the ending of the dissertation, you predominantly put everything of the dissertation into past tense, so that having mostly everything in the past tense is not a justification or basis for negative assertions or actions.

(3) Where does six months of time come in for a suspension based on a hasty determination of something minor, petty, and that can be easily adjusted or worked out instead; what precedent or authority was there for that?

(4) I have gone through more than eight years in this doctoral program when I achieved A grades through the program and an A grade point average by the core doctoral program end in 2012. This doctoral program was only a three-year program, and more than double the program time is not appropriate for someone who did well through the program and has a more than perfectly passable dissertation.

(5) For many reasons, I have to receive the doctorate I already earned.

(6) I will present my report, but I will not wait for it to be worked through. I will stay in

action to complete the doctoral program as efficiently as possible. I did well in the doctoral program, and I am supposed to be treated well, and that is in addition to the formal cultivation of being treated as being a person who is a teacher, expert, authority, and doctor in my field.

(7) how this university continues and finishes my involvement in the doctoral program is how I will remember this university and is what goes into this university's prestige and reputation.

Previous Documentation

Director of Academic Affairs / Office of Dispute Management,

I request that my complaint be seriously addressed. The University violations charged are those specified in the Faculty Code of Conduct, and are expressed to serve as adequate representation of other such violations. The faculty staff charged are all those involved with the three major negative occurrences on the part of the University involving myself and the doctoral program successful continuance and completion in 2012, 2014, and 2015.

I anticipate the Director of Academic Affairs / Office of Dispute Management conducting satisfactory investigation and reaching acceptable resolution.

From the University of Phoenix, Faculty Handbook, 2009-2010 Faculty

Code of Conduct

University of Phoenix faculty accept an obligation to conduct themselves in a manner that creates a positive learning environment compatible with the University's policies and philosophies as an institution of higher education. Conduct that is determined to be a misuse of academic freedom, or where the actions or behaviors of a member or members of the University community impair the opportunities of others to teach or learn, or disrupt the orderly functions of the University, will be deemed misconduct and will be subject to appropriate disciplinary action as prescribed in the Faculty Handbook (University of Phoenix, Faculty Handbook, 2009-2010, p. 23).

Note: Full-time employees of the University are also subject to appropriate disciplinary action as prescribed in the Apollo Group, Inc. Employee Handbook.

The major categories of misconduct for which corrective or disciplinary action may be taken include the following:

....

3. Violation of applicable professional codes of ethics and behavior...

4. Obstruction or willful disruption of University activities, including teaching, administration, disciplinary procedures, or public service functions.

5. Physical abuse, mental abuse, or conduct that threatens or endangers the health or safety of any member of the University community, or any person on University property or at a University sponsored or University-supervised activity or function (University of Phoenix, Faculty Handbook, 2009-2010, p. 23).

Charges – Violations of the Faculty Code of Conduct

1. The university associates involved violated applicable professional codes of ethics and behavior.
2. The university associates involved engaged in obstruction or willful disruption of University activities, including teaching, administration, disciplinary procedures, or public service functions.
3. The university associates involved unethically and carelessly caused physical abuse, mental abuse, or conduct that threatens or endangers the health or safety of any member of the University community, or any person on University property or at a University sponsored or University-supervised activity or function.

(University of Phoenix, Faculty Handbook, 2009-2010, p. 23).

Addressing University Committee Determination Ignoring Complaint and Appeal Substance

I complain that the university had been involved with numerous violations of rights, ethics, and University Codes of Conduct. In March 2012, I finished the doctoral program with an A grade level grade point average (GPA), and I efficiently submitted my dissertation into the Quality Review Final area (QRF) to complete the doctoral program final stages. My dissertation was kept in the QRF for six (6) months with no justification. I should have been able to finish up everything involved with the doctoral program in the summer of 2012. Instead, I did not receive my dissertation back until in October 2012 and with the whole dissertation merely mistreated in a totally opinionated rhetorical way. This point was a substantial violation on the part of the University, which has responsibility for its staff and results they produce. A similar occurrence happened at the end of 2014 and now again in 2015. That was three times the University committed violations.

You of this area of the University have acted arbitrarily concerning my dissertation, my work, and myself. In 2015, I was about to finish up the doctoral program and was at an 8-year academic point (and 9-year real time point), and you people refused to regard these facts or take anything seriously. I explained my side of things, and you proceeded negatively. I presented a complaint, and you ignored it and caused me to have to appeal your proclamation in disregard of my complaint.

During the appeal, you of this university took more than 6 weeks (45 days) to have your committee meeting on January 22, 2016, and you then took another approximately 2 weeks to get the letter to me on February 4, 2016 (13 days) and total number of days (58 days). I waited just to get back a small letter denying honor to anything I expressed in my complaint or appeal, reasserting a previously made inaccurate negative allegation, and expressing a rationalization of further wasting an additional amount of time of another 6 months.

I pointed out many reasons for approving my appeal and for overturning the emphasis of a few hastily acting university staff members connected to the IRB area (and possibly also the QRF area). I expressed many facts to add to understanding of the situation involving no violation at all and only justified, legitimate, honorable, and worthy actions made on my part and concerning everything involved with my meritorious dissertation. I question what manipulation the committee is involved with to make people associated to find it appropriate to waste an additional six months of time after 8 years of a 3-year doctoral program.

I will work further on everything involved and in all ways appropriate. I will not waste any time as I work internally and externally concerning everything involved here. I am again presenting my complaint alone by itself without any negative assertion made by any biased staff member. My complaint was around first. My complaint was not addressed in the university (1) negative assertion alleging a violation – “Academic Affairs Charge Letter,” (2) disregard of my complaint to further push negative assertion alleging a violation – “Academic Affairs Decision Letter,” or (3) disregard of substance of complaint and appeal and other documentation to further push negative assertion alleging a violation – “Academic Affairs Letter.”

My complaint was made before the biased or skewed staff members pushed any alleged violation on my innocent and honorable part. In the continuing time passage, I am expecting the university staff associated in this Dispute Management area to work on my seriously made

complaint and to take it seriously. I want to see what I should see, and whatever the results are, I will be regarding them as being exactly what they are, dealing with them as is right, and reporting on them accordingly.

A final decision that is unreasonable will never truly be final, and to say there is no further appeal does not end further rightness.

Truly,

Joseph Mallon, DBA-c

Honorable Dissertation Author -/\-

Some Relevant Points

- a 8 years in a 3-year doctoral program = incorrect determination to waste another 6 months of time

- b 8 years in a 3-year doctoral program = incorrect to push the active person negatively instead of working mutually with the person

- c 8 years in a 3-year doctoral program = incorrect situation when the active person achieved an A level GPA at the doctoral program standard completion and maintained an A quality dissertation continuously

- d 8 years in a 3-year doctoral program = incorrect to not regard the active person supposed to be respected and honored as the doctor, teacher, researcher, expert, and authority

- e 8 years in a 3-year doctoral program = incorrect to not apologize for the university work not getting done and the doctorate not yet being issued

Certification of Communication

This complaint concerning the University of Phoenix was communicated to the specified party, Director of Academic Affairs, Office of Dispute Management, on 02-24-2016 by means of email sent to the party's designated email address, UofPhoenix@phoenix.edu.

Joseph Mallon

Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, Honorable Author of Dissertation

Dated: February 24, 2016

Appeal to University Decision Letter

I, Joseph Mallon (Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, Honorable Author of Dissertation), hereby appeal the university administration decision letter and findings described.

Findings:

The charge is upheld and these actions were found to be in violation of the Student Code of Academic Integrity Article(s):

6. Misrepresentation - Falsely representing the student's situation to faculty when (1) justifying an absence or the need for an incomplete grade; or (2) requesting a makeup exam, a special due date, or extension of a syllabus or class deadline for submitting a course requirement.

17. Violation of federal, state, or local laws or regulations that impacts the University's educational environment.

I reverse the charges and uphold the university management—administration as having committed the stated charges. I hereby appeal the university Decision Letter and make a cross claim against the university for the university violating Articles 6 and 17 of the Student Code of Conduct as applicable to not only students but to the university staff. (I expand the code to a form such as Professional and Academic Code of Conduct and Integrity).

A false charge is declared as having been made by the university. The university actions are declared as being in violation of the Student Code of Conduct expanded to a Professional and Academic Code of Conduct and Integrity.

Professional and Academic Code of Conduct and Integrity Articles that the university violated include:

6. Misrepresentation – Falsely representing the student’s (staff’s) situation to faculty when (1) justifying an absence or the need for an incomplete grade; or (2) requesting a makeup exam, a special due date, or extension of a syllabus or class deadline for submitting a course requirement.

17. Violation of federal, state, or local laws or regulations that impacts the university’s educational environment.

I additionally hereby declare IMMUNITY to any allegation the university presents. I claim any and all whistleblower rights against retaliation by the university or any of its staff in any way.

Assignment Details:

The dissertation titled, Stakeholders Disengaging to the Disadvantage of all Stakeholders: A Qualitative Case Study, contains an acceptable data collection instrument comparable to any commonly available to the public and with mutually good will participant data not needing new IRB approval beyond the three IRB approvals already obtained for the study ongoing through the eight years to date.

Findings Sections:

I am sorry to say that the university administration's findings are completely inaccurate and false. The university is now insisting on wasting an additional six months of time.

Inaccuracy

False accusations

Needless delay

Malpractice (misuse of rules and codes in uncaring, unconstructive, and unethical ways)

The university states that the grade of an F will be forced as a final grade for the course, regardless of the facts. There was no course. There is, therefore, no grade appropriate. There is no course to grade or to apply an F grade. If the university makes up a course or applies an arbitrary F grade to a course not in existence, the university is further falsifying facts and misrepresenting the circumstances, rights, and reputation involved.

Sanction:

University must complete six months of direct work on finishing the doctoral program and awarding the due doctorate

Additional Sanction information:

Because of the seriousness of the issues, the university administration must immediately and continuing uphold and adhere to the required terms of activity.

Required Terms of Activity:

The university administration is required to treat or arrange for the student/doctoral candidate/doctor as having –

Status of honorability – For example, appropriateness includes referring to the person—myself—as Your Honor and/or as being in a role of honor

Status of Immunity – No negative or derogatory assertion may be made or advanced

Whistleblower rights – Restrictions to retaliation

Representation – Some form of Advocate necessary for the university to set and maintain

Additional Information:

Because of the seriousness of the issues, no information of the previous data collected will be destroyed or may be mistreated, devalued, or dishonored.

Time limitations of six months and 10 days are hereby placed on the University. The university has six months to complete the ending times of the doctoral program, followed by a final 10 business days to award the doctorate to the doctor of business administration—myself.

Joseph Mallon –

Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, Honorable Author of Dissertation

Dated: December 8, 2015

Formal Complaint Concerning the University of Phoenix

I hereby do formally complain about improprieties on the part of the University of Phoenix as a result of its directing members. (The improprieties are left open-ended here to be labeled to enforceable charges applicable. The same applies to any monetary amounts). I expect my doctorate to be turned over to me based on my merits and for me to be referred to in an honoring way, such as with an honorable title formally practiced. Monetary penalties against the University of Phoenix are applicable and can be addressed when the issues are seriously being worked through. The following complaint is the University of Phoenix result of the past years and not only of the current times, although inclusive of the current times to in the end of 2015.

Background of Complaint

The university has done nothing but waste time since I first submitted my dissertation for final review and completed 97% of the doctoral program. The past two years (currently as of 11-07-2015, more than three years) have to be accounted for in this direction. The issues involved have to be worked out. My doctorate has to be turned over to me.

This excessive time passage caused me needless aggravation and opportunity costs. I had to be concerned about finishing the doctoral program when truthfully, I already finished. Some people at least seemingly got ahead of me although I was really ahead of them. Awkward, insulting, and discouraging situations were caused or further caused. The excessive time passage devalued the attributes of the graduate program and added additional concerns about the future.

In addition, I finished the full load of the doctoral program courses with A grades and an ending A grade level Grade Point Average (GPA) of 3.66 (see Exhibit). Finishing with A grades and an ending A grade level GPA should have been enough to pass the program and finish it on an A grade level. There should not have been anything else.

First Dissertation Committee

I do not feel this dissertation situation was fair to myself, as I was in the role of a student, and I do not feel the situation was fair for the instructors, either. First of all, by not passing my A quality dissertation in the first place in the final review, the work and grading of the instructors was discredited and made to seem as though they did not do any constructive work or accurate grading in the courses through the years. I suspect that the original dissertation committee members were treated by the university directing management like they did not do their work or the right work. They were put at issue as a long time was wasted during the final review, and this occurrence led to more significant time going by. This situation led to the original dissertation committee members dropping out of working in their roles with my dissertation to the completion of the almost completed doctoral program.

Second Dissertation Committee and IRB Re-approval

I had to set new dissertation committee members. After the new dissertation committee members started, everything was going forward, but a substantial amount of university procedural work had to be done because of the excessive time passage of more than a year being involved. More time went by to get everything done, and all was good and successful on the part of the new dissertation committee members and my dissertation. The procedures of my dissertation needing an IRB re-approval and the IRB resubmittal method

were the next time wasting impediments. My dissertation was done, all IRB forms were completed, and the submittal for the IRB re-approval was made.

Next, everything got stuck here in the IRB re-approval submittal process. My dissertation did not even get submitted yet, or at least that was the emphasis, and three additional months, which was the entire 2013 summer, went by. The IRB submittal for re-approval was still being worked on in October 2013. The additional dissertation committee member aspect here was the reasonable expectation that the actual work on the dissertation completion would be done in the summer. I could not and would not expect anything additional on the part of the dissertation committee members because since they started before the 2013 summer through to the then present time of in October 2013, they were not paid anything. They were not paid any money because in all that time, no course actually started or could be because everything involved, and that was causing delays, had to do with the university's procedures.

Summary

The work to finish the last 3% of the doctoral program still had to be done as of in October 2013. I had no intent to ask for or expect anything additional on the part of the dissertation committee members who had not been paid anything at all yet. This whole doctoral program already should have been completed. I felt the situation was not fair to anyone involved, and I was limited with what I could do. I was stuck with still needing to complete the last 3% of the doctoral program and with a situation that was difficult to impossible to explain about, especially conveniently. The university should realistically review this specific doctoral program situation and take some constructive actions.

The present time of the origination of this document is after a year-and-a-half

additional time. My dissertation is still not through the final 3% of the doctoral program. The fault or deficiency is not on my part. I made my first submittal for my dissertation's final review in a timely manner back in March 2012. The present time is October 2013. While going on with the continuance, the end of the program still remains a substantial amount of time away. The time is in no way needed or caused by me and is purely a result of the university procedures.

Continuance of Same Occurrences

After October 2013, I did more work for my dissertation to be re-submitted into the IRB for re-approval with exempt status. I continued checking in with the dissertation committee members through the entire time of October 2013 through to into January 2014. My dissertation ended up being submitted to the IRB for re- approval a few times, but no response came back. The situation appeared as though the dissertation did not get through, but after more than one resubmittal, the situation seemed more that the dissertation delay resulted after the dissertation submittal for the IRB re-approval. I continuously kept up with everything involved and exhausted all possibilities as the time remained going by in these terms to into the middle of February 2014.

The IRB's non-performance caused a next problem in the form of the doctoral program's time limitation to be exceeded. The IRB pushed the dissertation re- approval process right passed the ending time limits of the entire doctoral program. Of course, how could anything additional be done when the IRB took up all the time? I did not take up all that time; the IRB and the university took up all that time. I next had to submit documents to appeal to extend the doctoral program, but that made me feel bad and seemed like something I should not have had to do. (The IRB repeated this exact same occurrence at the end of

eight years of this doctoral program).

Two Years of Doctoral Program Backend

As of in March 2014, two years passed by since my dissertation's final review submittal to finish the doctoral program after completing the doctoral program's content courses and dissertation courses with A grades and ending the doctoral program with an A grade level 3.66 GPA. All on my part remained successful. My dissertation held up as absolutely excellent and on high A grade levels.

I gave the university full-faith chances through the entire two years and did everything I had responsibility for accomplishing. I further worked on my dissertation and continued achieving top quality A grade level work. I worked out and had set all finances involved with the university and the doctoral program. Out of everything done successfully on my part, not one course resulted in the two years of time the university caused to add onto the backend of the doctoral program. The IRB re-approval could not even get done, especially in a timely manner.

No pays or lucrative facilitations resulted from this situation the university caused. No learning or teaching happened in these directions during this two-year time period. The university served absolutely no constructive purpose by not passing my dissertation in the final review in the first place and causing only unsubstantiated negative directions on the doctoral program's backend for two years.

The IRB re-approval was one of the easiest kinds of IRB approvals possible. This kind of IRB approval is the most convenient there can be. My dissertation already received the IRB approval previously and with exempt status granted. Nothing changed

with the dissertation since its original approval. No people or groups of people, especially any protected groups of people, were involved as participants. No consent was needed. No people's or groups of people's rights were at issue. All materials used were research materials. This IRB re-approval should have been one of the easiest and quickest kinds but, instead, took more than six months and pushed the time right out of the entire dissertation program time limitation.

After the previously described situation, the IRB approval did result. The IRB granted exempt status. I continued successfully with the doctoral program. My dissertation remained high quality and going forward. The main point is that the university has to look at its processes because the excessive time delays should not have happened in the first place, and all that should have happened was the successful continuance.

IRB Itself Not an Issue

The IRB was not the real problem and turned out to not be the real problem. The QRF caused the real delay, which caused the IRB re-approval to be necessary after more than a year passed by. (Later though, the situation appeared that the same person may have been effectually involved with and caused needless delays in the IRB and QRF). The IRB ended up going through approved and with me continuing with Exempt status for my dissertation work. The IRB process could and should be looked at to make it more efficient and smoother, but the QRF still presently remains the biggest problem and the primary cause of other problems.

The QRF caused the doctoral program backend to continue excessively from March 2012 to September 2012 and then into the next year of 2013, which put the IRB at issue, and the QRF kept this same situation continuing outrageously excessively through 2013, 2014, and into 2015—three years later.

More than Two (and-a-half) Years of Doctoral Program Backend

Presently, the doctoral program time situation is in December 2014 and after another course, extensive work on the dissertation, two more baseless QRF rejections, more needless delay, and much undeserved aggravation. I remained successful, and so did my dissertation, but the QRF area has still not treated my dissertation right or respectfully. I had to take another course just to resubmit my dissertation, and I did that, resubmitted a quality dissertation, and achieved an A grade in the course. Instead of my dissertation receiving approval in the QRF, I received two rejections despite extensive revisions made. Because the revisions and merits were ignored and a derogatory emphasis was made with no recognizable good intent or constructive purpose, furthering this complaint justified as necessary and appropriate action to take.

Before the DOC-734A course started, I worked diligently on my dissertation and prepared it for the QRF resubmittal. The first thing in the morning on the first day of the course, I resubmitted my dissertation to the QRF. I went through the course, did well and with good intent, and I achieved an A final grade for the course. Instead of enough being enough with my dissertation in the QRF, I received a rejection notice, which expressed numerous revisions were needed.

The standard procedure for working with reviewer comments is to make revisions or present rebuttals. I made some rebuttals to the reviewers' comments that did not justify revision, and I made revisions in consideration of the reviewers' comments that were straightforward and had constructive utility. Mainly, I made rebuttals to reviewer comments that were negatively slanted in a broadly encompassing way, and I further explained about anything that was obviously misunderstood or not known. I made extensive revisions,

acquired dissertation committee approval, and resubmitted my dissertation to the QRF. I again received a rejection but one that was merely a few sentences, was negatively slanted in a broadly inclusive way, repeated the previous comments that were already addressed, and ignored all the revisions already made.

The rejection had no constructive purpose. The revisions already made were ignored and did not receive any specific attention or consideration. The revisions were treated as though they were not there. The review was conducted like nothing additional was done on my dissertation following the previous rejection and its reviewer comments. A negative, skewing emphasis was made by stating that the writing was incoherent, but if that poor writing capability was true, my dissertation would not have gone successfully through the entire doctoral program and with me receiving many A grades.

Very Important

A generalized negative expression should not be made and acted upon concerning a dissertation that is already established as successful, is in its ending times of the doctoral program, and only needs to be finished up. A dissertation in the QRF should not be subject to being redone. Anything of the necessities for a dissertation should have been covered during the program itself. All the past student's and instructors' work should not have to be redone in the QRF and should not be subject to any such thing. The QRF is not supposed to be a course or a doctoral program in itself, and furthermore, the QRF is not supposed to be used to merely cause extra courses to be necessary on the backend of an already otherwise successfully completed doctoral program.

I should have received my dissertation back approved in the QRF on this round. The

QRF review was the third QRF review. More than enough was already done. More even further should not be necessary or be caused to seem necessary. At the worst, I should have received my dissertation back from the QRF approved with changes. The changes were only some minor typos that I already found and corrected during another full proofreading of my dissertation, and these typos were only there because I previously did so much additional work on my dissertation following the previous set of reviewers' comments.

Working unconstructively on my dissertation is not appropriate. Taking additional courses for no real or constructive purpose is not right to do. Working more on areas, such as methodology already covered in the core doctoral program, and with A grades received, makes no sense. Working on basic components of writing does not apply when the textual expressions would not have reached the doctoral program, achieved numerous A grades, and amounted to an A grade level GPA, if the writing was truly the negative assertions such as lacking clarity, incoherent, or grammatically incorrect. Nothing additional should be done to cater to a further unprogressive continuance of a 97% completed doctoral program only needing to be finished up in its very ending times.

An additional point to note is that a dissertation does not have to be everything in every way to pass a final quality review (QRF). Only a passing grade or score should be needed. My dissertation was A quality level, achieved many A grades, and contributed to earning me an ending A level GPA in the doctoral program. An A grade level should be enough to pass. The reviewers in the QRF made an A grade level seem like it was below a passing level, and by acting upon that downgrade, thus caused excessive and uncalled for delays along with other problems and difficulties in the ending times of the doctoral program.

The QRF methods are inappropriate for modern or current dean's office functions and standards concerning dissertations. The QRF reviewers implement a power structure of the university's dean without being the university's dean and while being without identity and unaccountable for inaccuracies and wrongful results. The QRF reviewers use loaded words that have negative connotations and do not correctly connect with or treat the subject matter. The QRF reviewers are pushing unreasonable expectations on everyone. The QRF is administering abusive practices and continues to function irresponsibly and unconstructively, but should cease from such continuance and should commence at operationalizing more mutual and reputable policies.

The QRF reviewers caused this damaged situation with the university. The QRF reviewers caused 2½ years additional to be gone through beyond the appropriate times for finishing the doctoral program. The university is vicariously liable for the QRF reviewers. The QRF reviewers, along with the university vicariously, put in jeopardy the entire doctoral program since its primary completion in March 2012 with an ending 3.66 A level GPA. The QRF reviewers along with the university kept in jeopardy through more than 2½ years the doctoral program and tens of thousands of dollars invested, including more than \$200,000 in student loans (see Exhibit B). The reviewers, along with the university, should not have put the doctoral program and hundreds of thousands of dollars in jeopardy for 2½ years and with an ongoing situation of having no end in sight (and which continued up to this next reporting point of November 2015).

Reaching a Three-year Point in the QRF

The Quality Review Final (QRF) area of the University of Phoenix did nothing but cause further delay through the 2014 holiday season and into the next year of 2015. I

resubmitted my dissertation four times in this time period, and the QRF reviewer(s) (the letter “s” in parentheses because only one reviewer may have represented them all) rejected my dissertation each time, regardless of anything involving my dissertation and including recent work done. (I found out later that only one reviewer kept on rejecting my dissertation and while the other three reviewers kept saying to approve my dissertation; see Exhibit C). There was no regard or honor to the work done, the work itself, or the work author, even though all the work on the part of the dissertation was A grade quality and the seasonal time was the holiday season (2014 holiday season). My dissertation should have been finished in the QRF before the end of 2014.

The QRF reviewer(s) rejected my dissertation before Christmas 2014 after I did extensive work on my dissertation. The main concern that stood out was that my work done on the dissertation was not regarded. I did more work very efficiently on my dissertation and resubmitted my dissertation just before Christmas. My dissertation continued in this way until after New Year’s Day 2015. Right after the new year of 2015 started, the QRF reviewer(s) rejected my dissertation again without regarding the dissertation work done and used the Change Matrix as a rationalization.

I next did work on the Change Matrix. I again prepared my dissertation materials to be resubmitted. When I was resubmitting my dissertation, I noticed that the Change Matrix was not converting over well from an Excel sheet to a PDF document for the submittal. I made some additional modifications to the Change Matrix and put it on a Word sheet, which did convert over well to a PDF document. I then resubmitted my dissertation documents. After a little more than a week, the QRF reviewer(s) rejected my dissertation again and only said the same justifications and including about the Change Matrix. No

matter what I did, the work done was not regarded, and the QRF reviewer(s) just continued repeated expressions along with a slippery slope kind of further overreaching QRF reviewer bounds of propriety.

The change matrix is a document that is supposed to list dissertation changes made. The changes follow reviewer comments. The change matrix is supposed to list reviewer comments, changes made, page numbers, and rebuttals. The QRF reviewers disallowed the rebuttals. Even with the rebuttals next removed, the change matrix was still not considered sufficient.

I had to point out all the facts involved here. I had to make serious statements about this situation. I had to communicate about these issues to parties relevant to the doctoral program's current continuance so far. I had to continue with the successful doctoral program damaged and limping at this point following the QRF reviewer(s) careless results.

The dissertation committee members were already on the verge of dropping out because of this doctoral program taking too long to finish up in its very ending times. The program was supposed to be finished up and not just be going on and on endlessly. This group of dissertation committee members was the second set. There should not have been further excessive delay caused by the QRF reviewer(s), and with the QRF reviewer(s) (using the color of the office and) seemingly educating and even scolding the doctoral student and dissertation committee members, too.

The latest (at the time of the original writing of this document) QRF reviewer(s) rejection is at the time of the Super Bowl football game. The relevancy here includes a few aspects. The times should include an adequacy of positive reality, which includes good will,

making good faith efforts, acting ethically, being respectful, and doing right things. The Super Bowl itself in this year of 2015 is in the University of Phoenix stadium in Glendale, Arizona (near Phoenix, Arizona, February 1, 2015). With so much present, the QRF reviewer(s) of the University of Phoenix should not be so much in contrast and should be more in a positive way, including in regard to how other people, such as myself, are treated.

I had to request that the Dissertation Services division conduct an investigation (necessarily made another request for an investigation at the end of year eight). This step was the appropriate next step to take. I should not have had to go through any of this negative reality when the situation should have been positive and with me treated well because of the good work I did. I mitigated as much and as best as I could. A next step at this point is corresponding to the university's Office of Dispute Management, which I should not have to do, do not want to do, and would only do if absolutely appropriate and necessary.

I only want to finish up this doctoral program. I was in the very ending times of the program since March 2012 (to the present in February 2015 – 02/01/2015 and following to in November 2015). I finished the core doctoral program with an A grade point average of 3.66, which consisted of numerous A grades and including for my work on my dissertation. The QRF itself has taken three years so far and not because of anything on my part. This QRF situation is an issue requiring to be addressed correctly and including respectfully and satisfactorily to me— the doctoral student—doctoral candidate who earned a status of a doctor—a leadership, expert, and authority role.

Next Months

Through the next months, the university continued in the same ways previously

described. There is no point in fully detailing each aspect here. The university faculty (of the School of Advanced Studies division) did not face up to any of its responsibility. There should be more accountability for responsibility on the part of the university. An interest outside of the university is needed to review, regard, and advance the true merits, facts, and rights involved.

The Dissertation Services division did not appear to have conducted an investigation as requested and as the Dissertation Services division originally expressed would be commenced. More time went by while the QRF associated people continued rhetorical picture-painting according to their whims. (Again, the same occurrence was repeated at the end of year eight). The university did not take on any of its responsibility or realistically regard important aspects involved, such as excessive time involved, extensive investment already made into the doctoral program, and merits of having done well in the doctoral program to its very end and beyond for three years. The dissertation committee does not currently have three members, and I do not want to form a third dissertation committee and especially without anything involved being regarded. The doctoral program deadline for completion is coming up again as nothing is even close because of the QRF careless delay, and truly there should be a better situation concerning a doctoral program successfully completed with an A level GPA and an excellent A quality dissertation.

February 5, 2016 Addition to Formal Complaint
concerning the University of Phoenix

The university hastily acted negatively to my work and myself. The facts were not respected, and some of the university faculty blew the situation out of proper proportion negatively. These people made a mountain out of a molehill. These people worked negatively without listening to or regarding anything expressed. This occurrence was the third such occurrence, which could be referred to as the third such violation to charge against the university because of the particular staff involved.

The involved university staff exploited negative directions while proceeding in ways of insubordination. These particular people hid themselves the whole time and would not listen or communicate. There should have been mutual communication and a mutually working out the situation to agreeable acceptance rather than a seemingly superior authoritative crackdown. The authority and justification were delusional and absolutely inaccurate.

The university beforehand had its grievance process that these people appeared to use solely in their favor without much effort. The process appeared to be a tactic that these people were accustomed to using. (Step 1) These particular people push negatively. The accused party provides explanation and defense. (Step 2) These contrasting people push negatively again while downplaying and ignoring the vitals of what the other party emphasized. The other party, left with all the vitals negated, has to appeal if intending to do anything more in a reasonable way. (Step 3) The involved university people proceed uncaringly to take up as much time as possible, like the issues, facts, and other people involved are not important and as a way to keep the other party's vitals deteriorating. After

all that, these well practiced contrasting people make a third assertion that merely agrees with the first two skewed proclamations, and that further devalued and ignores all on the part of the other party.

The process appears to be a schemed procedure. The sides were three against one. The one had no chance at all. Further procedure following only what the contrasting three had to express, made the sides four to one and with the one still not truly being regarded out of anything emphasized. The university people were not supposed to work in contrast at all; there was no justification for the acts in opposition, and the other party was supposed to be mutually regarded and treated.

The university staff involved violated the Faculty Code of Conduct. Nothing constructive, mutual, or educational was intended. Only excessive delay resulted and an environment that was not conducive to learning or teaching. The perspectives promoted were only mischaracterizing and devaluing. The practice was unethical, unwise, and disreputable. The university should do better and treat people better, and the university cannot let some university staff members cause such a situation on other people, other university associates, or on the university.

Following the latest university debacle, I made another complaint in the Office of Dispute Management. This was a complaint I made exclusively. I initiated this submittal. The university should take the complaint seriously. Whatever the university does, I will report it as what it is, and I will work on everything appropriately with all things considered. The university should work mutually with me, honorably issue my doctorate to me, and take on and advance progressive practices concerning myself, the issues I presented, and the university's interests and responsibilities.

General and Direct Complaint

I do hereby formally complain that, in general, the procedures of the university caused too much time wasting in the end of the program, and directly, the university caused excessive needless delay to me by not reasonably passing my A quality dissertation through the final review when it was originally submitted in March 2012 after I received A grades in the content courses and dissertation courses and finished the doctoral program with an A grade level GPA of 3.66, which should have been enough to pass and complete the program.

This pattern of unethical and damaging behavior continued excessively from the point of my previous reporting to now, which is in November 2015 (see Exhibit A and Exhibit D). I tolerated, gave every benefit of the doubt, made full good faith efforts, and mitigated in every way possible. I had to get a third dissertation committee because of the excessive time wasting, the lack of respect, and hindrance to development on the part of the university concerning a person, myself, who was in the role of a student there continuing from the MBA program through to the end of the doctoral program.

To include additional details here, just makes this complaint go on excessively, so more will not be included. I was at the very end of the doctoral program and making proper efforts to finish after three years additional, which is double the agreed amount of time for the program and when I actually finished with an A grade level grade point average in March 2012, more than three years earlier. I put this complaint aside for a long time and was going whole heartedly with my original intent, which was to do well and finish the doctoral program successfully. However, the university staff (SAS directing management) has now caused additional delays, dishonors, exhibitions of disrespect, and has caused me to have to necessarily reemphasize my formal complaint in

part and in whole. I expect the university to issue my doctorate to me and with the highest honors.

I request a complete review and an issuance of my doctorate to me.

10-12-2013, 02/18/2014, 12/18/2014, and 02/01/2015....11-07-2015

Additional Considerations

When addressing me in any professional or formal way, what should the greeting or announcement be? Should there be a dignitary expression included?

If the university or its staff, directing management included, made any negative or derogatory assertion concerning me, that assertion may be used against the university. For example, the university has not obtained approval to proceed in its directions. The university is violating human rights. In addition and as a separate charge because of the severity of the violation, the university has misrepresented information. These three charges are (a) failing to obtain approval, (b) violating human rights, and (c) misrepresentation. In addition, the university does not take on its own accountability by admitting its mistakes or violations and correcting its actions, especially in a timely manner.

Charges Against the University

1. Failing to obtain approval
2. Violating human rights
3. Misrepresentation
4. Irresponsibility (malpractice, negligence)
5. Pattern of misconduct

These abuses charged have occurred repeatedly through the past years. The

university exhibits a pattern of committing these improper activities. The university (or its directing management) shows no caring or intent to improve its actions or results. The university behavior has to be regarded seriously and including with further investigation and scrutiny concerning the university.

Further Aspects

The university has to get its requirements straight. The university must state exactly what will be acceptable as the specific methodology or anything else for this particular dissertation.

When meeting the specifications, no changes, alterations, or additions may be required.

These are the two choices for the methodologies.

1. Case study (Qualitative narrative case study) – includes a meta-analysis with 3 triangulated domains: D1, D2, and D3

2. Case study (Qualitative narrative case study) – includes 3 triangulated methodologies and with full-scale primary and secondary data: M1, M2, and M3

The university staff should not just make additional comments for me or anyone relevant to act on. The university staff had their opportunities for comments, and their comments have been being worked with through the past years. No further continuance in such ways is appropriate, and all that is appropriate is for the university staff to do their jobs without their comments. The comments cannot keep leading in wrong directions, cannot keep degrading people, and cannot keep causing needless delays. The comments cannot keep leading everyone astray and distorting the perspectives and perceptions of the facts, circumstances, and people involved.

Joseph Mallon –

Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, Honorable Author of Dissertation

Dated: November 7, 2015

OCTOBER 2018 - Reporting from Director**October 10, 2018**

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I did not recently receive any additional communication from this direction of the Trump administration at the White House. I do believe you are aware of not communicating anything further to me yet. Sometimes, I wonder about the awareness. In some directions, such as these, I have previously questioned about whether these people actually think that they have communicated to me when they have not. I wondered whether these people realized that they have not even communicated to me yet and have not presented anything to me yet whatsoever.

In your direction here, I followed up on the one letter I received. I still presently continue doing that and without anything additional yet. I prefer something additional because I would like to see and know that progress is secure, rather than there being connective deficiencies that hinder smooth and steady progress. My further continuance of following up with my original intent in this direction is expressing the real situation and including with its significant background merits. The issues involved here are truly a substantial part of the real United States and have to be taken care of, or the real United States is not handled successfully.

Remember that I said about an additional division/department/agency of the United States government being appropriate and already being established in more than a quarter-century. I am the one who already is the United States chief executive director of this division/department/agency. The main aspect here is that this additional federal government division or department has to be secured, and I cannot be the only one doing all the work and knowing what is involved and going on. There is plenty of work that is not only for me to do and

that I am, plain, not going to do. I will, however, continue to do the work that I am supposed to do, and I will remain and keep everything successful on my levels. Further, I would think favorably of me seeing the situation progressing to points of me more working on my right professional status levels, working less hours, and having more money from my pay.

For the situation to progress, there has to be an adequacy of relevant communication made with me and that continues through a reasonable amount of time. The communication has to be relevant and adequately respectful because, overall, the situation is long-enduring, not settled in years, and is and has to remain under my authority. This additional division or agency of the United States Government has to advance and along with my director position and all other positions of the division/department.

I presently have the situation successful and on-track. I also have the situation continuing on such successful and progressive levels. The additional area needed is the other way around and the dealing with the success achieved and especially concerning the areas I have been mentioning in my current era communication to here through the past more than a year.

I will do a quick review now. First is concerning here involving the Trump administration at the White House. Relevant communication has to be made with me and continue through a reasonable amount of time with the situation progressing mutually and acceptably to me. The professional aspects have to be secured, honored, formalized, officialized, and rewarded. I continue to remain successful and progressive on my levels.

Second, the currently continuing times remain extra difficult and somber to me because of my mother passing away earlier this year. My mother meant a lot to me, to say the least. She will continue meaning very much to me through the next years. I should have been dealt with

well before my mother passed away. The most serious issue involved here is that my mother did not see her son dealt with on the rewarding and honoring levels earned and deserved in and concerning the United States. She did not benefit from the favorable treatment her son received when I did not receive such favorable treatment I should have received and when so long passed by before such favorable treatment, which is still not present yet. This situation will remain a somber issue and consideration ongoing through the next years, even in addition to anything and everything else.

Thirdly, the aspects expressed about in the relational letter remain active and as stated there. I still actively communicate that letter. I still am tracking the time. I also maintain open communication lines. I continue to keep everything remaining appropriate, worthy, and successful on my part in these directions also, and I continue with full rights here and watching for if I am ever dealt with or communicated to as is right and necessary.

Fourthly, there is the educational situation of the United States. The student loan debt remains as one of the most substantial debts that Americans have. The higher education tuition remains very high and rising. I reviewed that some higher educational establishments are receiving billions of dollars annually in contributions from fund raising, and other educational establishments are selling out to new ownership for hundreds of millions or billions of dollars. All this fund raising, tuition increasing, and sales of educational establishments went on through the recent past years as there was all that I communicated about.

The student loans issue is still active with the U.S. Department of Education. I want my student loans relinquished as an honor I should receive and as totally justified in every way because of the state of education, especially involving me, through the past years. The university that I mentioned in my previous communication remains informed. I will next send over to there

some of the material I previously sent to here, and I will inform the university associates that I have informed here, the Trump administration at the White House.

I am very suspicious and concerned about the university involved. I found that this university has been going in altered directions through the past years. I am not even sure how much so, but since way back in 2012, my materials and myself should not have been treated in a skewed manner as was done. That mistreatment was done back then and was done repeatedly through the next years to just recently, as I previously communicated about to here. The university may have been leaning more and more in these altered directions since back in 2012, and that continued and increased to last year, 2017, and to recently and continuing until whenever there is better.

The parent organization of the University of Phoenix is the Apollo Education Group. The Apollo Education Group was sold off to three investor organizations in 2016 and finalized in 2017, which was just last year. This background investor takeover may have been causing a bias to my doctoral work and to me. I certainly should not have been caused to remain graduating for six years from the doctoral program that I did well in and including by producing an excellent dissertation. Just to add in, when I say six years of graduating, that follows the first five years of the actual doctoral program that I did well in, so that is 5 years, plus 6 years, which totals 11 years. Presently, there is nothing on-track with the university, all parties involved are well-informed, and everything remains requiring and including the university issuing to me my doctorate that I already earned.

These are the main areas right now. The situation of all involved is how I have already communicated through the past more than a year. The continuance will remain successful on my part and just has to advance to being more successful the other way around, which is on the part

of the other parties involved and who are supposed to be dealing with the matters on their levels. You can send any relevant communication or presentation to me by way of my open communication lines, which are my email address and mailing address. I look forward to expanding progress being made and successes being achieved.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

10-10-2018

NOVEMBER 2018 - Reporting from Director**November 1, 2018**

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I sent communication to here, Office of the Director of Presidential Personnel for the Trump Administration at the White House, for quite a while, as you know. The time is more than a year of this communication. I request that you, or someone from here, communicate back to me by at least Thanksgiving 2018 and provide me with information of a mutually constructive situation.

The issues active remain the same ones as have been reported. My professional position here—*Director*—has to be secured. As said, I request a relevant status report informing me of the standing of the current situation. I will review any report made to me, make any relevant declarations, and follow-up accordingly.

The issue involving the masked relational letter is the same as specified but with additional time added and still further accumulating. Although I have exclusive rights concerning all involved with the masked relational letter as the time is passing by, I still have not been communicated back to or been adequately regarded, and nothing mutual, progressive, or reasonable has been worked out with me. No good faith effort has yet been made, and nothing was presented to me at all yet, whatsoever, although I do have valid and active exclusive rights in these directions since many years ago and continuing as the time passes by.

The student loans issue continues as described in my previous reports. I continue checking at least once a month to see if any progress has been made. In between, I watch for if there is anything additional I see. One reported news article I noticed was that this student loans

discharging situation still continues actively and increasing more and more. The applications concerning discharging the student loans nationally keep flooding into the U.S. Department of Education. The law involved runs at least two more years, and some open time spans, as I stated in my previous communication, have been given to as far as 2028, 10 years from now. These student loan areas are not limited to the past 6 years or 10 years and actually go back to as far as more than two decades.

The universities involved with these student loan areas should be held accountable adequately. These universities were never supposed to work contrary to the interests and rights of innocent and susceptible Americans. These Americans were susceptible because of being in positions of students, which are a class of people who do not have a fully effectual say or actionable ability. This situation grows even more extensive when the university offices and functions are made to not serve their purposes and to not work mutually in the interests of the students. The state of this educational situation is national and is against the rights and interests of many Americans and this country, the United States. An important use of U.S. Citizen taxpayer dollars is to oppose this injustice to America, stop further such injustice, and rectify this U.S. national education situation as best as possible. By the way, most of these Americans with student loans to discharge are taxpayers, too, and have rights to have their tax dollars work on their behalf and fight against something that is against them and their country, the United States.

Let us consider the Trump University. The Trump University had to pay a penalty settlement amount of more than \$20 million for some people who said that the university did not perform as reasonably expected. Why should these other universities not be held accountable? These other universities have far exceeded any possible performance deficiencies the Trump University was accused of, and the time involved with such occurrences is extensively much

longer, in addition to the money amounts involved being much greater. The Trump University had to pay for far less than what these other universities did concerning student loans, among other things, so these other universities should have to pay, too. Support should go in these directions of appropriately discharging the student loans.

The university that I specifically named in previous communication still has continuing the same situation as reported last month. Nothing additional was worked out and the time is going by with a drifting situation. I have an excellent dissertation that is fully completed, and there is nowhere to go and nothing to do with this dissertation. After 5 years of working through the doctoral program, I finished it in 2012 and was not let to graduate from the doctoral program through the following 6 years. Because of 11 years being involved, the 6 years have to be considered as and credited as being post-doctoral work, and all here has to be honorably completed on the university's part.

Yes, there are other issues involving this country, too, but they cannot be addressed yet or worked on adequately until these long-enduring priority issues are taken care of and worked out. These national priority issues were here first before any other issues that may have arisen later. I did what I was supposed to do and for a very long time before being dealt with in any kind of relevant rewarding and honoring way. I review my material consistently, and I play my recorded material over and over again, and it always rings true with accuracy, success, and potential. However, I cannot just keep on doing all that by myself and understanding my material and hearing my material sound and be very good and absolutely appropriate, accurate, and worthy when no one else cares or will regard or deal with anything involved. I want to see an adequacy here on your part, and I request that adequacy be shown in the form of relevant communication being made to me from here by Thanksgiving 2018.

I say that I want to see a factual sufficiency by Thanksgiving 2018, but understand well that I truly wanted and looked for that sufficiency last year by Thanksgiving 2017. Do not think or emphasize in any way that I am pushing anything or expecting too much too soon. There should have been that sufficiency last year by Thanksgiving 2017, but there was not, and then I continued to Christmas 2017 and looked for that sufficiency but still did not see it. I am now stating that I expect to see a sufficiency on your part before this year ends, so I am requesting that relevant information be presented to me by Thanksgiving 2018. I will make according declarations on what I see, and I will efficiently follow-up accordingly.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

11-01-2018

November 6, 2018

Hello again John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I am just presently providing a reminder that I request communication back by Thanksgiving 2018. This means that I should receive communication from here, the White House, by the end of Wednesday, November 21st, 2018 so that there is something there from here by Thanksgiving 2018.

An email would be sufficient. I just want some kind of communication that shows that the people here of the White House are mutual, on the same side—the same team, and are making adequate good faith effort presently and continuing.

If I receive the email, I would work with that accordingly. If I do not receive the email, I will be very suspicious and concerned in this direction and, of course, will work accordingly with this situation. I would rather work with the good situation of receiving the email indicating an adequacy of mutuality and being on the same side.

Additionally, I saw information about the United States educational situation that I previously communicated about. The information said that the legal action was worked on with a law case in a Federal Court. The law case was ruled in favor of the side of the students. The judgment appeared to order the commencement of discharging the student loans nationally. I telephoned my contact area and was told that reviews are still presently being done for the discharging of the student loans.

I will continue doing what I am supposed to do because there are important issues requiring concerning this country, U.S.A. I am an American and a United States Citizen. My specialized work spans more than a quarter-century involving the United States. My specialized work is not rewarded or honored yet but remains active and requiring. My appropriate professional status level should be adequately recognized officially and secured as that of an executive director.

Presently, I am requesting relevant communication by Thanksgiving 2018. I want to see that you here of the Trump Administration at the White House are on the same side as me and that you are working with me, are not indifferent to me, and are not against me.

Please just send me an appropriate email.

Thank you,



Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

11-06-2018

November 14, 2018

Hello again John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

Do you have anything to communicate to me yet? Remember that I requested communication back by at least Thanksgiving 2018. That means that I would have to receive such communication before the end of Wednesday, November 21, 2018.

The communication only has to be something relevant. The communication could be as basic as an acknowledgement.

Further, remember that I am only requesting that communication be made to me by at least Thanksgiving 2018 because my material has been transmitted to here, personnel for the Trump Administration at the White House, since before the summer of 2017, more than a year, and I wanted at least relevant communication back by Thanksgiving 2017. The present time is another year, so I am appropriately, worthily, and righteously requesting that relevant communication be made back to me by Thanksgiving 2018.

Please just send appropriate communication to me by email before Thanksgiving 2018.



Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -^-

11-14-2018

November 20, 2018

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I am just sending you another reminder. I requested communication back by Thanksgiving 2018. You can easily send the communication to me by replying to this email.

I am only requesting the email because of the (U.S) executive director professional position involved and because I wanted adequate communication back by Thanksgiving 2017. With the present time going into the next Thanksgiving, a year later, requesting a response by Thanksgiving 2018 was appropriate.

Please just reply with relevant communication today, 11-20-2018, or tomorrow, 11-21-2018.

Thank you,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -^-

11-20-2018

November 22, 2018

John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I did not receive communication back by Thanksgiving 2018. This makes the second Thanksgiving that there was not a sufficiency in this direction of the Trump administration at the White House. I only requested at least a reply so that good faith and adequate respect would be displayed here. I wanted to know whether this administration was on the same team or not. I wanted to know that there was a sufficiency of a positive direction being gone and true, legitimate, and worthy success being achieved. I cannot say that I have seen a sufficiency here yet on the part of the Trump administration at the White House.

I do not say how good the U.S. economy is, especially as a result of the Trump administration. I do not go for distortions that are merely rhetoric and are not worthy concerning other legitimate people involved. I do not jump on bandwagons or follow practices that are only trends that lack substance or are unethical practices. I favor an adequacy of connection, inclusion, and mutuality. I favor the right people being dealt with adequately and in the right ways and on the right levels. I favor an adequacy of legitimate and worthy success being achieved and for there to be propriety concerning what and who is actually involved.

You people in these circles of the Trump administration at the White House have been dealt with well often through the past couple of years, but other people like me have not been dealt with so well yet and especially here or concerning this country. People here of the Trump administration have had their difficulties, too, but also, most of those difficulties are from so much neglect and disregard of other important people and issues that have been present, communicated about, and requiring through the past years. You people here of the Trump administration have been well informed.

Going in a direction of not communicating, not regarding or respecting other worthy people involved, and not working on the relevant issues involved are not good or recommended directions to go. To leave vital areas neglected and requiring as an excessive amount of time passes by does not produce right results and is an occurrence that has been complained about on numerous occasions through the past years. If only continuing with negative and unproductive tendencies already extensively pointed out, no better is being done than was previously done, and no better is achieved. The situation is not improved and is only the same situation with additional time added on.

The present time is too much time involved. As previously said here in this direction, my material has been here at the White House for more than a year. We are now passed the second Thanksgiving without a sufficiency on the part of the Trump administration. All that was requested was appropriate communication by before Thanksgiving, and there was not even that. The only reason that this sufficiency of communication was requested by Thanksgiving 2018 was because a sufficiency of relevant communication was actually wanted by last Thanksgiving in 2017. My material has been here directly with the Trump administration since before the summer of 2017. When I said I wanted a sufficiency of communication back by at least Thanksgiving 2018, the time was well ahead of time—the 1st of November 2018. I additionally sent reminders through the next weeks up to just before Thanksgiving 2018. I still did not, however, receive any communication back, not even the courtesy of a reply. Such practices are unacceptable and for many reasons.

There has to be better done here by this Trump administration. I request and expect a sufficiency of relevant communication back by Christmas 2018. If I see that sufficiency, I will deal with it accordingly. If I do not see a sufficiency here in this Trump administration direction, I will deal with that accordingly, and I will hold back on everything for another Christmas. I say I will hold back for another Christmas because that is what I did last year. I held back on things. I will not hesitate to hold back again on this 2018 Christmas if I do not see a sufficiency here at or concerning the White House.

Remember, I am a very important person of this country, U.S.A. I have more than a quarter-century of experience, knowledge, wisdom, worthiness, and rights. The professional position involving me concerning the United States is among the highest ranked positions of this country and realistically and with estimable authority included. My material—my work—has been presented to the White House and to other official areas of the United States government since more than a quarter-century ago and remained appropriate, worthy, active, and successful through all that time. In addition, there is definitely extensive wealth involved with me and amounting up to any American dollars monetary amount that could be conceptualized.

I request and expect an adequacy of relevant communication back by Christmas 2018.

Thank you,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

11-22-2018

November 28, 2018

In case you overlooked this letter, I am sending you this email. I sent the letter on Thanksgiving Day to inform you that I did not receive any communication from the Trump administration concerning anything relevant involved. I requested at least communication back by before Thanksgiving Day, but fulfillment of the request did not happen, and this is now the second Thanksgiving without any relevant communication from the Trump administration at the White House.

Being that I am from and of a culture that involves there being more than nothing for Christmas, I am presently requesting communication back by before Christmas Day 2018. Again, as with Thanksgiving, this is the second Christmas in these same terms. Will there be more than nothing for Christmas or not from the Trump Administration at the White House concerning any of the relevant issues involved here?

Relevant communication consists of an adequacy of constructively addressing the primary issues involved. These issues are such as the professional position of Director with the United States Government, education of the United States and with me personally up to post-doctoral levels, and other issues, too, such as male/female relational issues and rights concerning this country, U.S.A. You have seen my communication to here through more than the past year, and you know that the matters and people involved are not dealt with yet. Relevant communication in a positive and constructive way before Christmas 2018 would be appropriate for adding to a progressive situation.

I request appropriate and relevant communication to be made to me from the Trump administration at the White House concerning the issues involved, such as professional position, education, and people. I can be most conveniently reached by email at my email address provided.

I look forward to appropriate and relevant communication from the Trump administration at the White House by before Christmas 2018.

Thank you,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

11-28-2018

DECEMBER 2018 - Reporting from Director**December 3, 2018**

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I am still wondering whether this administration—the Trump administration—is on the same side as I am. Is this our side, which is the side of the United States—the real United States, or is this some other side? I still have not yet seen any of the matters involved worked out or worked on adequately. No communication has been made to me yet. The time is still going by in this way, and a sufficiency of relevant communication and progress should result by before Christmas 2018, as I requested for this second Christmas in these same terms.

If I knew that we were on the same side I would express and do more than otherwise. I might say something like the South American migrant situation has to be immediately taken care of in ways of setting up the advanced temporary living quarters and accommodations, such as food and cleanliness in Tijuana and outside of Tijuana—tents and such everywhere. The migrants do not have to come into the United States right now and only have to be worked with to figure out and carry out exactly what they want and need to do.

Some funds have to be immediately allocated to the migrant purpose, and these funds can be, perhaps, 20% of any funds obtained for building the south United States border wall. Full funding of 10 billion dollars or so is not needed right away, but some immediate funding is needed, and 20% has to go to the migrant situation immediately. If having any difficulty for the immediate funding, then at least an advance has to be immediately secured and then allocated appropriately. The wall has time to be built, but the migrant situation does not have such time.

The Tijuana government and the Mexican government can be conversed with—negotiated with—to work out the situation in at least as best as possible mutual terms in the present times. The local people and the migrants have to be immediately alleviated of any discomfort or unpleasantness.

There can be no bad things, or what a reasonable person would consider as a bad thing, done to the migrants or by the migrants. If there is any such bad thing, that has to be addressed specifically and exclusively.

The people of the United States also absolutely have rights and have full rights in these regards concerning their national interests involving their country, and that is largely what these expressions are proclaiming. The people of the United States have to be informed about the previous regime supporting a military coup in Honduras in 2009 and that this situation of thousands of migrants is one of the continuing results from that occurrence. The military coup appears to be a primary cause of the current migrants situation.

Honduras has been known as being a violent and lawless country, but that may have been from an outside perspective. If looking at the city of Chicago from an outside perspective, for example, a similar view may be seen. Honduras mainly has warm weather around 70 degrees year-round. There are fields, mountains, valleys, rivers, lakes, and beaches in Honduras. Water in Honduras ocean areas is crystal clear and can be seen right through to the sand under the water and to any fish swimming in the oceanwater. Honduras has ancient pyramids built back in the same times and manners as the Egyptian pyramids were built. Honduras can be a good tourist attraction. However, like too many places in these times, the tourism is being interfered with and greatly lessened by all the social and political unrest.

I would say things like these if I knew we were on the same side, and plus, I would see to it that everything constructive was efficiently and progressively carried out. I perhaps would even issue some orders and make some decrees. Anyone contrasting here in any way, especially effectually, would not be doing good things and may be questioned as to intent. There would be no reason or place for insubordination or anything treacherous. Only support, mutuality, and honor would be appropriate.

If we were on the same side, there would be more than enough by Christmas 2018. There certainly would be no mistake about that adequacy by Christmas. Even if everything was not there concerning everything, there would be enough there to know that at least there was a sufficiency for Christmas 2018.

I am requesting and recommending that there be that sufficiency by Christmas 2018. Remember again that I am only saying about there needing to be an adequacy by Christmas 2018 because this is the second Christmas I am saying about such matters. Presently is following the second Thanksgiving where I requested at least relevant communication but still did not even receive such relevant communication, and now, Christmas is coming up. My United States government directorship material has been here with the Trump administration since before the summer of 2017, which is a year-and-a-half. Especially if we are on the same side, the present time is a good time to relevantly communicate to me so that there is a sufficiency by Christmas 2018.

Thank you,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

12-03-2018

December 10, 2018

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

You here or anyone of the Trump administration did not respond yet. No communication has been made to me yet from here concerning anything relevant involved. The time is coming up on the second Christmas in this same way. I am requesting, as I previously did, relevant communication back by Christmas 2018. An email would do to express an adequacy of mutuality and a positive direction being actively gone, and if physical written correspondence is necessary, a hardcopy letter can additionally be sent through the regular mail.

If I receive the appropriate communication, I will work with that accordingly. If I do not receive the appropriate communication, there is nothing from the Trump administration for me to work appropriately with, the situation remains as I specified, everything remains active and requiring, and I grow more concerned and warier in this direction of the Trump administration.

I communicated good material and about important national issues and wealth of this country, U.S.A. The important matters and wealth of this country far exceed the Trump administration but are currently present in forms such as those I expressed. These areas go back long before the Trump administration and will remain long after the Trump administration. However, of course, the way the Trump administration handles these areas or does not is important and affects the way the situation goes for all involved, including myself, this country, and the Trump administration.

I have expressed more than enough. I have much more to say, but nothing more is appropriate on my part until there is an adequacy on the part of the Trump administration. I am a very important person of this country, U.S.A., and I am a very historic dignitary. I am not dealt with well yet in this country or concerning it, but I should be, am requiring to be, and will not be until I am.



I said a little about Christmas, too, and I did that on purpose because of the relevancy and meaning in these active areas. I have held back a lot through the past year-and-a-half, and I will hold back more if there is nothing in this Trump administration direction. In this culture that includes the Trump administration, there is supposed to be plenty more than nothing for Christmas. I am giving the Trump administration a chance to do its part, and I am also challenging the Trump administration to do its part successfully and put more than nothing there for real for Christmas. These areas went officially through the whole year of 2018 and up into the Christmas times, and plenty of success was achieved and good was done, so it is in these directions that there should be plenty for Christmas 2018.

I again request relevant communication back by Christmas 2018. The issues involved are, but are not limited to, my current and continuing director job status level with the United States government, various levels of relations, historic and highly meritorious aspects, and education in this country and including concerning credentials, finances, and ethics.

I look forward to relevant communication back by Christmas 2018.

Thank you,



Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -^-

12-10-2018

December 18, 2018

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

As you, here at the Trump administration, know I sent communication through the whole year of 2018, and you have been well informed of the important national issues concerning this country, U.S.A., through the past quarter-century. I said about the extraordinary reality of America that has not been dealt with yet but is still requiring. I said about meaningful matters involving and effectual to Americans who are real people. I said about relevant legal and ethical issues requiring attention through the past years up to the current times and primarily in the form of student loan discharges appropriate because of universities not working in the best interests of the students. I said about professional and social status levels in the society, business and work due proper attention and resources, much true wealth in and concerning the United States, and I deliberately said a little about Christmas, too.

You here of the Trump administration know that my communication went through last year, 2017, and now also this year, 2018. This is the second holiday season in this same way and with all I expressed active and requiring.

I am the one who is the discoverer of *God's Miracle Cross*, and I am the one who worked most extensively with all involved with that through the past more than a quarter-century. This work experience, wealth, and credential is as much and more than anything anyone else has in and of the United States or anywhere else. This wealth form most involves the United States because that is how much the United States is, and this resource is something that the United States cannot do by itself. All here is not just from the current times but is of the present eras. There is supposed to be propriety in these directions and much of it and including involving Christmas.

I am a real person. Many real people are involved and affected all the time in these directions that I communicated about concerning this country, U.S.A. All people do not do or know all things. There is much importance that I be dealt with as I am supposed to be. I feel that the time involved has been entirely too long and added to some uncertainties, and while I will remain doing good things and including concerning other real people involved, I have to be regarded concerning the time involved. I have to have the authority, recognition, respect, and honor due me and needed for situations to be right and worthy.

Some relevant legal and ethical issues involve universities not working in the best interests of students. Apparently, universities went in directions of working for ulterior motives—working in directions that were not the original interests or expected interests and intending to serve some unagreed with and non-mutual purposes instead. I was 6 years graduating from one of these universities, and that university still does not have its work done and is not likely to get its work done at this point. I finished the real doctoral program in 2012 and had an A level GPA (grade point average) and an excellent dissertation. That was after 5 years, and now is 6 more years, and it does not take 6 years to graduate following successfully achieving a doctoral candidate status.

I hope and express strongly that you here of the Trump administration take these issues seriously. They are important and have significant effects. I still have to be worked with myself concerning all involved with this educational situation. I was having great difficulty being dealt with myself concerning any of the important areas involved and including these educational areas. I wanted some type of a class action case for a long time. This student loans area came up relevantly involving legal actions and was not being worked on by only me, so I then did what I was supposed to do concerning it.

Many thousands of people are involved with and on the students'/former students' side of the legal actions concerning the discharging of the student loans. The universities going in the unagreed with and non-mutual directions broke their contractual agreements and caused many difficulties and difficult times that should not have been that way at all. The many thousands of people, including myself, were affected negatively and do have to be taken care of accordingly, and also, as said, I myself was involved and still have to be worked with individually, whenever that may be.

I earned my doctorate in 2012 and have to be formally issued my doctorate. I worked through the following 6 years very successfully doing post-doctoral work. I have an excellent dissertation, and my very good dissertation has to be formally worked with in all academic ways appropriate for an excellent quality dissertation. I did communicate about all this before, so I will not just repeat myself now, but I do have to be worked with individually in these ways by people and establishments qualified to do so.

Among many other good things, I am an advanced professional person. I have to be able to be regarded and be rewarded and honored on my appropriate levels. I have to have opportunities available to me and have to be able to develop and function on my advanced levels. I have been doing what I have been doing for a long time, and I will keep doing what I have been doing and will not give any notice of anything else until something additional is there for real. My professional director position with the United States government has to be secured, and a fully fitting professional package has to be worked out. Last week I challenged the Trump

administration, and I now repeat that challenge for the Trump administration to work out these matters by Christmas 2018.

To reach me by Christmas 2018, email can be used. Follow-up correspondence can, if necessary, be sent through the regular mail. The main idea of the email is the timing. I only need to receive by Christmas at least word back indicating mutually positive directions gone, and I would then work with that and follow-up accordingly.

I look forward to receiving relevant communication back.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

12-18-2018

December 24, 2018

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I did not receive any message from you or anyone here at the Trump administration, so I do not yet have anything to deal with in this direction. I see some people go onto an online message area and say this is what we say and in response to something, and they then expect that message to serve its purposes and to be enough prior to any following communication, if necessary. Right now, I do not see anything involved dealt with specifically, and I do not see any communication back.

I am not sure if the current situation is understood or fully realized. This report is that no one from here at the Trump administration has made any relevant communication yet or worked out anything. The current time is after a year-and-a-half in the present era. Christmas is in one more day.

I previously made a challenge to the Trump administration. I will watch on that challenge through to Christmas Day. If I receive any relevant communication in any kind of reasonable form, I will work with that and consider the Trump administration being successful concerning the challenge. If no relevant communication comes through to me and nothing involved is worked out even adequately, I will consider the Trump administration as not being successful concerning the challenge. We will then go on from there accordingly, either way.

I will continue watching and reporting accordingly.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

12-24-2018

December 25, 2018

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

The present time when I am making this report is in the end of Christmas day 2018. Nothing came through over here from anyone of the Trump administration. You and no one of the Trump administration made any communication to me or worked out anything with me yet. Everything remains presently requiring and is not even adequately taken care of yet. The Trump administration was not successful with the challenge of having at least relevant communication made to me by Christmas 2018, which is the second Christmas with consistently continuing similar conditions.

Next is the time going into the New Year. I did already previously communicate more than sufficiently. If there is anything to say to me, then the communication would have to be made to me. The issues involved are important and have relevance. They will continue being watched and kept successful on my part. The other way around, which is not on my part, needs significant improvement, though. I would prefer advancements being made and the situation improving concerning the vital areas, but that is still what I am expressing since a year-and-a-half ago with the materials from me.

Seriously addressing the issues, and myself included, and sending relevant communication to me are requirements. These requirements are correct and reasonable because the issues cannot be missed and have to be handled accurately. Relevant communication being made to me is essential for serving these purposes.

Again, right now, I am reporting that no relevant communication has been made to me yet and such relevant communication did not result by Christmas 2018. Everything remains requiring and as I previously expressed in my materials, except with more time adding on continuing. Communication can be made to me if wanting to address the issues and work out anything involved.

Thank you for your attention, Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

12-25-2018

JANUARY 2019 - Reporting from Director**1-7-2019 and 1-23-2019**

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

You have my material here presently. You know my material has been here since at least June 2017. I already exhibited my material going back since before that time and supporting my material presented since June 2017 through to now in January 2019. I am sure you are aware that my professional position with the United States has to be secured and fully worked out. Working out my professional position with the United States includes concerning my director status level, authority, privileges, salary/pay, incentives, functioning, staff, and full professional position package.

I want to also tell you a little story. The time was when the Trump campaigning for the U.S. Presidency was going on. I was dreaming, and in the dream, I was in a place where I was outside of a professional building. The organization that occupied the building was a well-established business. The whole WALL of the building was set up as dealing with me. The whole wall was an honorary artwork decoration involving me in regard to my societally significant merits, such as my discovery referred to as *God's Miracle Cross*.

Later, while I was awake, I received an email from the Republican National Committee. The email had my name wrong. The email expressed to contribute money to the Trump campaign for the U.S. Presidency. If anyone contributes \$50 or more to the Trump Campaign for the U.S. Presidency, the contributor's name would be engraved on a Trump Tower wall.

Now, consider this situation. In the dream, I had a wall of an entire building picturesquely decorated to be involving me honorably, and the RNC wanted to put only my name on one of the Trump Tower walls and among hundreds or thousands of other names. In addition, the RNC had my name wrong. Was the RNC going to put the wrong name on the Trump Tower wall after I would make a contribution of \$50 or more? For these reasons, I did not make this contribution. Instead, I continued making my other contributions in ways appropriate and accurate.

I am saying of this story because of the United States southern border wall issue. The right people should be dealt with in the right ways to advance and settle the situation correctly.

I also want to inform you that soon I will be changing my address. In the time before the present issues were worked out by the Trump administration here at the White House, I had a house built. The new house does, of course, have numerous walls, and yes, each wall was built and built well. I will be moving there soon, but I just wanted to say a little ahead of time before I fully moved. I still can be and have to be dealt with concerning everything I have communicated about, and the new house does not limit or alter anything. The house is something good, is progressive, and is a right path for me to take in the present times. The rest remains depending on the degree of me being successfully worked with concerning my professional position and its various meritorious aspects involved.

I also saw some media coverage of firsts and first this and first that. That was probably because of the present time being the beginning of the year and the first month of the new year. I thought that I could mention of few of the firsts involving me. Please do also remember that I am the discoverer of God's Miracle Cross, -^-.

1. First to work with God's Miracle Cross
2. First to name God's Miracle Cross
3. First to know what God's Miracle Cross is
4. First to recognize God's Miracle Cross
5. First to communicate locally, nationally, and internationally about God's Miracle Cross
6. First to communicate about God's Miracle Cross to Protestant and Catholic – Christian – people and organizations
7. First in Christianity to work with God's Miracle Cross
8. First to communicate to the White House about God's Miracle Cross
9. First to copyright a design of God's Miracle Cross
10. First to patent a design of God's Miracle Cross
11. First person who wrote a published book that includes God's Miracle Cross
12. First person to have a website that includes God's Miracle Cross
13. First person to communicate to the Trump administration about God's Miracle Cross
14. First person to steadily communicate to the Trump administration about important national issues active and requiring concerning the United States each month through more than a year-and-a-half (June 2017 through to in January 2019).

15. First person to have Federal Government executive director level material worthy and active at the White House through more than a year-and-a-half (June 2017 through to in January 2019) before being rewarded and honored
16. First person to go through a doctoral program in business for 12 years with an A grade level and an excellent dissertation but without being let to graduate through 7 years with a doctoral candidate status and maintaining all meritorious and requiring reward and honor, including issuance of the fully earned doctorate
17. First person to work more than a quarter-century involving God's Miracle Cross
18. First person to go through a quarter-century of United States activity and history with God's Miracle Cross
19. First person to wear a golden God's Miracle Cross ring through more than a quarter-century
20. First person to advance up to doctoral levels involving God's Miracle Cross
21. First person to go through more than 25 Christmases and New Year days with God's Miracle Cross
22. First person with a societally significant worthy cause—God's Miracle Cross—active and requiring before being dealt with on relevant formal and official levels, such as by and concerning the United States.

There are more firsts, but these are plenty to mention.

I look forward to any relevant communication back.

Thank you for your attention,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

01-07-2019

Re-dated: 01/23/2019

Auto Reply Received

Received automatic reply email concerning U.S. Government partial shutdown

Automatic reply: [EXTERNAL] January 2019 concerning US - Director 1

This office is closed due to the lapse in appropriations. We regret any inconvenience.

[FN-WHO-PPO](mailto:ppo@who.eop.gov)<ppo@who.eop.gov>

1/7/2019 2:52 AM

FEBRUARY 2019 – Reporting from Director**February 14, 2019**

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I hope that everyone is back and going strong following the 2018 to 2019 U.S. government shutdown. I hear that another shutdown looms. I recommend that my materials be advanced at being dealt with because there is no other real way of adding to the situation. Appropriate presentations have to be made to me, and I would respond and follow-up accordingly. I am a person who is supposed to be rewarded and honored, and offers are supposed to be made and opportunities are supposed to be provided to me because of wanting to deal with me and for me to do what I do.

This email communication for the month of February 2019 is meant to be inclusively appropriate for and relevant to Valentine's Day and President's Day. The relevancy also involves the times and each day accordingly. However, there is an extra emphasis in relation to the celebrated days.

As can be seen, there will be just constant bickering through the next two years if the areas I presented, and with me included, are not dealt with adequately in the times. Without these areas, and myself, included, you of this Trump administration do not have enough that has substance, merits, righteousness, and worthiness to hold up and advance decisively. You have far fewer supporters than you otherwise would have because with this situation, you are losing and not gaining supporters. Making more enemies and less allies is not wise through important times, and securing allies and remaining successful and progressive is the wiser direction and has the most value.

The practice I am saying is the way I remain going. I will always have such a philosophy. I, along with the true issues involved, can only be dealt with adequately in a progressive, honorable, and successful way. A professional package would have to be presented to me in align with the emphasis I have presented through the past more than a year-and-a-half. I will remain working in my primary region indefinitely and will travel when and where appropriate.

I have been doing all this anyway, but the difference would be with me being dealt with and being more on my appropriate levels. Such a situation would enable the pertinent issues involved to be more and better dealt with and progressing constructively. I work remotely, which I will continue doing, and I also work directly when and where appropriate, which I will also continue

doing. As said, I am already doing this professional practice, but the question is whether I will be appropriately recognized here officially. I would rather be transitioning to and developing on my higher levels, but that has to truly be the active situation present.

Additionally, I am still interested in a qualified American university working with me to secure the completion of my excellent dissertation and doctoral program in the field of business. The educational situation of the United States is as I previously communicated, and this situation improving and progressing is certainly needed and extensively requiring. Educational establishments doing better, and emphasizing better, is needed but in itself does not make up for the past. The legal type of class action involving thousands or tens of thousands of American university student loan holders—formerly student borrowers—that was already done has to be completed in the terms previously determined, and in addition, I still have to be dealt with individually. The student loans that there were have to go, and my student loans personally have to be entirely relinquished honorably.

My dissertation is all about parties involved dealing with important matters involved. The orientation is business because of the primary professional field involved being business. I say about stakeholders with interests who are supposed to be doing their parts and providing rewarding opportunities to other worthy stakeholders. To proceed correctly in these areas benefits all stakeholders involved, and to proceed incorrectly in these areas is against all stakeholders involved. There is no legitimate reason why stakeholders would not proceed in correct ways in these areas of important business issues.

The stakeholders described excessively did not do their parts. The people of these times were the worst at dealing with matters. Take a look at the state of the United States recently, and consider the European/Britain situation that has been going on. Out of so much and for so long, these people do not deal with matters. They do not work out the situation and make the situation reasonable and progressive among the parties involved.

Parties involved with important business matters should be going in these positive and successful ways, but they excessively have not been. They have been working with too many ulterior motives and distortions and with too much left out for too long. Improvement is greatly necessary and can only be achieved in ways such as my dissertation expresses and me being professionally worked with honorably as having my professional director position with the United States government. There will not be everything all at once, and there will always be little things here and there, but going in these right ways, and as my dissertation emphasizes, would be significant improvement and would enable plenty of good potential. My dissertation said about this societal and professional business situation of the United States for more than 10 years before receiving proper recognition, and because the university officials who were previously

involved swore of not being able to handle the situation and it being out of their bounds, I am presently still looking for a qualified American university to award my doctorate to me for my dissertation.

You here of this Trump administration have not even been adequately talking to me. There have not been enough negotiations, so the recommendation is to improve in these areas. These expressions are not after one or two occasions but rather, are after more than a year-and-a-half in the present era and many occasions that happened through that significant amount of time. You of this Trump administration should make a relevant presentation to me in regard to dealing with my United States director level presentation I made to here through the past almost two years. My presentation was very relevant and accurate concerning here, the United States and accordingly concerning the rest of the world. Now what about the properly respectful, rewarding, and honoring relevant presentation the other way around?

My intent here is to also cover the relational letter. I presented this letter since more than a year ago. The context of the letter is still active. The situation involved is not settled yet. I presently still do not see a sufficiency in any way at all and including even in terms of good faith effort or communication being made. Be sure to look at the time involved. I abundantly communicated outwardly. I sent the particular letter in full unmasked form through the time specified. I sent the letter to here—the Trump administration at the White House—in the masked form through more than a year-and-a-half. The real situation of the letter still remains not worked out even adequately yet.

The latest situation is that the woman involved ran off again to somewhere without communicating with me, dealing with me, or providing me with adequate contact information. Through the past approximately 6 months I had a new house built, although the woman involved did not know that because of not dealing enough with me. If she worked with me adequately, we would have gotten an even bigger and nicer house. Because she did not deal adequately with me, I had to do everything without her. The house obtained is still very good and nice. She has no rights, though, and has to deal with me to have any rights at all. She currently has no rights at all because she has not been dealing enough with me. She does not even presently know where I am. I moved after the 6 months of the house being built and then being completed, but she ran off without communicating to me and without providing me with her adequate contact information.

This woman involved was actually supposed to be wedded to me and was supposed to deal with me concerning our matrimonial relationship. She constantly kept not dealing adequately with me or anything involved, including our sanctified involvement with each other. Of course, the opposite is what she should have done and was in her interests to do.

Listen well to me here. When the United States goes through the latest situation and then finishes it up, most people of the United States go back to their previous way of life, but I am still here not dealt with concerning the issues involved, and more time is still going by with me in this same difficult and actively requiring situation. I said that back in the early times of official level work I did, which were the times of the first Iraq War in the beginning of the 1990s. I was working on a law school/lawyer/U.S. official type of level through those early 1990 Iraq War times. Now, considering the time since back then in the early 1990s to the present in 2019, would not any reasonable person think that these matters should be worked out by now? The priority should be here. I am not even fully sure about things in the woman's direction now because of so much time being involved. I definitely do not say about everything all the time. I just do my part and do the good things I do. That is what I will continue doing, too.

Because of time, rights, and knowledge of the facts and concepts involved, I have to be and remain the one who is the judge of the situation. I have to stay the authority because I am the only one who will treat the situation and woman involved in a right way. I do not rule out everything else if I along with her determine something additional is needed and appropriate, but involving her specifically, I have to be and remain the one who is the judge and authority. Thus, my professional position here being recognized and secured is part of that and will help with the accurate status.

Tell me if the time has come for the matters involved to be dealt with and successfully worked out by the Trump administration at the White House. I request at least a month's advance notice. I would give adequate notice in my directions, but I will not give any notice if I do not see anything presented to me or worked out acceptably with me. I am a successful professional person and intend to remain as such. I will keep working as I have been until and unless the professional aspects are covered and acceptable opportunities are presented to me.

A professional package can be sent by email or regular mail to me from the Trump administration at the White House in association with the United States government.

Also, please note my new address.

Thank you,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -^-

02-14-2019

February 21, 2019 to into March 2019 - State of Education in United States

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I am sending this letter over to you for your information. I thought you might find it interesting. I said about the educational situation in this country, U.S.A. This letter describes a portion of that academic situation. You can see why I communicated to here, the Trump administration at the White House, about the education situation and why everything involving the education situation is still active and extensively requiring. You can also see why I previously questioned about whether anyone from here knew of any qualified American university that could work with the advanced doctoral and post-doctoral level work of my dissertation. The previously specified university did not do its work, so that work still needs to be done. I am still requesting and open for offers and presentations made to me providing me with honorable opportunities to work with my dissertation or anything involved or relevant.

The letter follows for informational purposes and points of interest.

School of Advanced Studies (SAS) and Office of Dispute Management (ODM),

Through the past years, I abundantly informed you here of the situation requiring your attention and job performance. You here consistently worked nothing out in respect of the facts involved and the rights and interests of other people involved. Quite often in such a situation as involving thousands of miles of distance, there was an excessive lacking of empathy and responsibility. These occurrences continued through more than 7 years and up to the present without adjustment. In this direction of the university, the past more than a year since in 2017 has lingered in this same way, and the current situation remains drifting unsettled and unhandled to the points of another time span the university took up without its due performance for mutual contractual fulfillment.

I completed the standard doctoral program in 2012. In 2011, I became a doctoral candidate, and in March 2012, I submitted my dissertation into the Quality Review Final (QRF). I had an A level grade point average (GPA), and I had an A quality dissertation. The doctoral program was at that point only supposed to be finished up. I was supposed to soon graduate from the doctoral program, as I earned and expected.

The QRF held my dissertation for some strange reason unknown to anyone, including me, for six months before giving me back a totally inaccurate and useless report of predominantly bad things to say about a dissertation and not passing it through the QRF. The trouble with that type of reporting was that it was totally inaccurate and was without proper intent of working in mutual interests toward completing the doctoral program, and such reporting does not take six months to do. There was no justification to taking six months to return my dissertation to me from the QRF in 2012 (March to September 2012).

I had a meeting to discuss this very aggravating situation. I obviously was not taken seriously enough or respected and honored on my true levels and concerning the true facts involved. I requested that an apology be made to me and that my dissertation be put back into the QRF to be done right. After this meeting, I could see that nothing was being regarded, and the time was passing by. I did extensive more work on my dissertation through the following months. The original dissertation committee never got back on track after this uncalled for and unexplained occurrence from March to September 2012.

The next seven years continued in this same way, with me making good faith efforts to complete the doctoral program and with the university negating everything and just taking up more time. Thus, as I have said before, all the work I did after 2012 has to be regarded as post-doctoral work. That was seven years of post-doctoral work. I truly was supposed to graduate from the doctoral program in 2012, and I should not have been not let to graduate and kept graduating through the seven years following 2012 to now in 2019. That was not really an agreed with post-doctoral program and is even still not yet recognized or rewarded, which means that even more so the doctoral and post-doctoral work has to be honored.

I have an excellent dissertation. I abundantly communicated about my dissertation, the doctoral program, and the situation concerning all involved. I have taken further action beyond the university, and I am continuing to do so. I have presented the university with opportunities to be mutual and to work out the situation mutually within the bounds of the resources the university has in abundance.

Tell me if anything will be mutually done here in the present times. The university's work has to be completed. My dissertation has to be put back into the QRF to be passed through there. The ending finalities of the doctoral program have to be finished. The post-doctoral situation has to be settled and completed formally. This university has to either willingly and mutually do the work that is for this university to do, or another university has to do the work, whenever that may be. The work in these directions is extensively requiring to be done. This work will remain requiring to be done until it is done, and it will remain important and urgent concerning many relevant areas.

What does this university have to present to me? What incentives does this university have for me? What would inspire me to do anything more involving this university? I have an excellent dissertation and did very well through the doctoral program. I did a lot of additional work externally, too, and I did very well with that, also.

Any realistic presentations can be made to me, and I will efficiently respond accordingly.

Joseph Mallon, DBA-c

02-21-2019

MARCH 2019 - Reporting from Director

March 7, 2019

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I am sure you noticed that you here of the Trump administration did not work anything out yet. You can see that everything is left still excessively requiring. There is still plenty of potential, but you cannot continue as you have been in disregarding, devaluing, and downplaying key facts and people involved. As I have been saying through the past years, you of the Trump administration will not be successful like that.

I am an American. I am a U.S. citizen. I have rights here in America and concerning America. I live here, and this is my country, as much if not more than any other American's country. This land of America is connected to the rest of the planet, which is Earth. I am an Earthling, and I have rights on Earth, as much if not more than anyone else on Earth. I have much substantial concerning the United States and that is important to the United States and civilization. Americans and people, on Earth, including myself, have rights to have these issues appropriately worked on and advanced to sustainable successful and progressive levels.

I showed you here of the Trump administration the accuracy of my materials. I exhibited the steadiness and durability of my emphasis with its steadfastness and longevity. You can also reflect on the reliability of my materials that remained innately entwined through all the times and stayed consistently successful and loaded with potential. All this happened and continued without and before you of the Trump administration dealt with anything involved or contributed to anything involved. Do you not think it is time for you to regard and deal with these areas, including the people involved, such as myself?

You will not do everything all at once. Right ways have to be gone, and accomplishments have to be steadily-enough achieved. Signs of commencement and continued progress in these right directions are necessary and have to show these directions are being gone progressively. You of the Trump administration and everyone else would see if there was a sufficiency and you would see the right people being included and involved with a mutually successful situation and, of course, rather than the opposite of that. You would not make any mistake about it.

I will continue staying successful as I have been, and I remain with open communication lines. You know I communicated and provided important and relevant information. You also know that I am not dealt with yet. Nothing has been officially or formally worked out with me yet in the past two years of me communicating professionally to here, and I have not been paid any money for a pay or salary, either. I am well qualified, and you know that by much I included, such as the inclusions of the American academic situation in this country concerning many thousands or millions of American people. Through the past three or four generations of American people, student loans have been burdening or ruining people's lives and careers. You see how you here at the White House took two years to work on anything relevant involved, although through all that time and since the beginning, I had good educational credentials up to masters and doctoral levels.

The national student loans situation is something that lingered through many years so far, more years than only the current era. This student loans issue reached its current advanced stages of being noticed and worked on by U.S. Government agencies through the recent past years. The student loans situation advanced but has remained lingering on this level through the past two years. Those student loans are in the way of generations of Americans. Credentials,

rewards, recognition, status levels, valuation, progression, doing well and being successful cannot take so long to be realized. Nothing really takes that long, and nothing really has that long. Improvement is necessary in these types of directions, such as with this country's student loans issue.

As I have said before, these areas affect many thousands or millions of American people, but also each of these people is an individual person who has to be dealt with accordingly, which is the same as with me. I am also an individual who has to have the matters involved worked out correctly. I want that done immediately and continuing. I want my director level position with the United States government and including with benefits, pay, honors, and authority. I want my doctorate that I already earned and for my excellent dissertation to be treated as being the very good quality dissertation that it always has been in itself. I am very serious about all this, and I want it all taken seriously and treated seriously. I thus have been communicating to here through the past two years and have plenty present even right now for there to be progress and a continuing progressively successful situation.

This well-engrained emphasis is my brand. If you want this high-quality level, you have to deal with me. You can do lots of things, but you cannot just not do these things. When you deal with my brand, you get what you expect, if not more, just like getting what you pay for and above and beyond.

Again, you know there has been plenty there from me. I have open communication lines. Offers can and should be made to me, and opportunities should be provided to me. You can make any relevant presentation to me, and I will efficiently respond accordingly.

Thank you, Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -\/- 03-07-2019

APRIL 2019 - Reporting from Director

April 3, 2019

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I have not yet received any additional communication. I continue as I have been. I want to see progress and a better situation than there has been. I keep working toward this end. I remain successful, but the benefits, rewards, honors, and opportunities need significant improvement.

I said about important matters I am involved with, and I know about important matters you here of the Trump administration are involved with actively. The important matters I am involved with are important to the issues you here of the Trump administration work with, and the issues you work with here have significance to the areas I work with actively. I made presentations to here following communication I received from here. You here have not done or worked out anything additional yet, but as I have been saying, you should work out something additional, and you have the full abilities and necessities to do so in the continuing times.

The biggest factor here between us is that collaboration needs bolstering. I hold back in your directions, and make efforts to not overtly address the issues you are involved with through the times. I know from what I have seen so far that you apparently will not directly listen to or work with me concerning anything I emphasize. I then keep everything discreet and indirect. I remain doing my part, upholding my end, and staying successful concerning everything involved. The situation could and

should be better, though, and can only be better if my work is progressively rewarded and honored on appropriate levels.

There is a United States government director position involved with me. This director position involves a division of the United States and has a foundation of a quarter-century. Much involving this division requires all the time and adds to advancement if achieving success in these directions. There could be much additional progress and improved situations if advancing in terms of securing and embellishing my U.S. government director position, which has not been stabilized yet.

As said, I have been working for a long time and doing as well as possible through the times before the United States dealt with these areas. I would not just do something different instantly, and I would provide notice and make a transition if the situation called for it. The situation would call for it only if something specific was worked out with me. As said previously, only one letter was sent to me almost two years ago, and I am still only working with that in times still before anyone from here at the Trump administration sent any additional letter to me.

Offers can and should be made to me, and opportunities should be provided. That is always the way the situation has been. In previous communication from as far back as in 2017, I said about the necessity of presenting a professional package to me and that an appropriate pay rate is \$120,000 annually. I have plenty of credentials, and equivalently, I have as many if not more credentials than anyone else. Since a few years

passed by, the pay rate should go up to \$125,000 annually and then presently to \$130,000 annually.

How can this executive officer professional position situation be handled on the part of the United States Government? This situation has not been handled yet. In the past years to the present, nothing has been paid yet. No agreement has been made, and nothing mutual has been worked out. Everything remains important and relevant through the times, and there are many rights and interests involved. How can these areas be taken care of appropriately? There is nothing previous to go by. No prior guidance is available. Of course, there are many possibilities, but what is best to do specifically?

I will continue to do as I have been until I see something additional as appropriate. I will continue to make my reports when is right. I have open communication lines maintained. I continue to look for any appropriate presentation to be made to me, and if there ever is, I will efficiently follow-up with it accordingly.

We can set up a meeting or conference of some kind. A summit could be arranged, if wanting to work with such an event for these purposes. The summer is coming up, and some type of vacation-oriented conference could be set up. There are possibilities. You of the Trump administration would just have to figure what you want to do and is best for you to do and then make a presentation of an offer to me.

I have just a little more to add in here. I thought I would add in some information for awareness. I am not trying to push anything or brag about anything,

but because of the quarter-century advanced societal situation involving me and as included here, I can be and should be referred to as *Your Honor*. This phrasing of “Your Honor” or “Honorable” included along with my name is just for understanding and for appropriate use. The honorary phrasing is not meant as based on the law profession or legal working but is based on official societal work and status. I leave this area open to a certain extent because I am not trying to overdo anything, I still am myself who is a real person, and there is a right time, place, and function for use of the dignified name phrasing.

Attached is my communication to here at the White House through the past 7 months.

Any communication or presentation can be made to me at my address, physical or virtual.

Thank you,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

04-03-2019

MAY 2019 - Reporting from Director

May 1, 2019

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

Let's team up. Our forces joined together can achieve more than without us working together. We would no longer have to worry about what side we are on because we would be on the same team. You would have additional possibilities because of having more to work with and because you would be dealing with additional people who are already well proven and well established in various ways and on various levels. You would also be adding to the United States because the areas actively involved have required for many years and because an essential and vitally important director position with the United States government is directly involved and requiring stabilization.

My official professional position materials have been here with the Trump administration through the past approximately two years. Through that time, I had plenty of credentials, such as discoveries, authorships, ownerships, licenses, and degrees. I remained on a doctoral level through this two-year time span, although United States education remained another area with issues requiring attention and not settled yet. The U.S. educational system credentialing and funding has been out of order through these two years and has not been reasonably straightened out yet, as is evidenced by many recently publicized legal issues involving educational credentialing and funding. The masses of American people

involved with United States education have to be settled, and so does each individual American because, for example, I earned my doctorate in 2012 but still have not received it, and my student loans have to be discharged/relinquished.

I attached a copy of my Oral Defense for my dissertation and for a part of completing the doctoral program in 2012. Remember that I truly finished the real doctoral program in 2012, and I had an A level grade point average (GPA) and an excellent A quality, more than passable dissertation. That is why it is appropriate to regard my work academically and in the specialty field, which is business, as being post-doctoral work. That means that through the past seven years I have been doing post-doctoral level work and keeping everything involved successful, so I earned a student loans relinquishment honor and discharge, in addition to my doctorate that has to be issued to me (See attached "Oral Defense for Stakeholders Increasing Opportunities with Essential Honors by and for Stakeholders: A Qualitative Case Study").

Presently, and through the continuing times, the situation appears to be that the Trump administration is truly not doing well enough to not work out anything professionally with me. We are more than 2 years into the Trump administration, and there has been material from me present through the entire time. The situation is not enthusing that my director position has not been stabilized yet. I think the situation is especially outrageous that the Trump administration is still being effectually contrasted and put in question. With that being the case, the Trump administration is not in a successful position to not deal with me and is not

doing well by not working out the stabilization of my professional and official position with the United States government.

Even I myself have to see something worthy from the Trump administration in order for me to take the Trump administration seriously on U.S. presidential levels. I have not seen that yet. As you know, I always had a lot here, but up to the present, I have not seen enough the other way around. With me myself, I have to see enough of the issues I expressed about directly contributed to by the Trump administration, and by contributed to, I mean to add to or progress an aspect in a constructive, positive, and successful way.

I have never actively been in Washington, D.C. in my entire career. I have never been to anywhere in the south United States. I have never traveled to a foreign country. I have never in my lifetime so far been dealt with involving any of the American aspects I expressed about through the past quarter-century, including through the past two years here at the Trump administration. You can see how much you here of the Trump administration dealt with so far, and that is nothing beyond the one letter I received approximately two years ago.

I have been communicating to the White House about these important national and international matters since the early 1980s. I made law cases in the early 1990s. I have communicated to the Republican National Committee since 1993. I communicated in Trump leadership directions since at least 2011. As you know from my communication, I applied for more than 60 United States government director level jobs since more than 10 years ago, because I was so well-

qualified through the past more than 10 years and had so much relevancy to this country. Also, as you know, my material has been here with the current Trump administration through the past approximately two years and justifying a director level position, which is even still not yet stabilized.

I will always do well whether I am dealt with or not. The situation would be better, though, if I was dealt with. If I continue to be not dealt with, I will continue being successful as I have been and on the levels that there have been. While those levels, and the amount of success achieved, could be much better, there still continues to be a successful situation maintained. I recommend for the Trump administration to deal with the matters involved and to contribute to stabilizing my director position with the United States government. If I see such adequacy on the part of the Trump administration, I will deal with it and provide adequate notices and directives.

Do you people of the Trump administration talk to each other in these present times? Have you had any discussions about the issues relevant to my materials presented through approximately the past two years? Did you vote on anything in these areas? Is there anything planned for the upcoming times? There are many important matters involved, and because of the high levels and importance, I would think that the leadership of the Trump administration would know what is going on and would be adequately responsible. I provided plenty of information so that those of the Trump administration leadership would be well informed.

I would like to see a little more the other way around. I cannot say that I see a sufficiency yet. I mainly still do not see the matters involved worked out adequately, and I see everything still excessively requiring. Remember that you here of the Trump administration did not present anything to me yet in the past almost two years now.

I expect you here of the Trump administration to be adequately aware and responsible concerning the areas I communicated about. Some of the most significant areas go back before the Trump administration and remain relevant and actively requiring. I expect you here of the Trump administration to be in a right way concerning these important areas and to not be contrasting or aiding in any injustice. I expect you to be contributing to improving the situation and to not be defeating the purposes and causing there to be no improvement. Some of these areas are more than a quarter-century involved and requiring improvement, and that kind of time has heavy weights and priorities.

Not everything of the past quarter-century was truly requiring like these areas I expressed about, and there was not a real person or people involved and still not dealt with yet concerning the relevant aspects involved. Other areas or people do not have the involvement or relevancy and do not cover these areas whereas these areas I mentioned about have the effects and importance concerning the issues of the past quarter-century. Confusion, usurpation, substitution, replacement, or conversion are not appropriate occurrences in these areas, and there are rights and necessities to better. Parties involved are expected to know

better and be involved with better than the inappropriate directions and wrong results. An expert or advanced person is expected to know many facts about the subject-matter and to be far from being fooled or deceived and from fooling or deceiving.

Why don't you ask me what I think about the issues? I mean that you should ask in a way that you want to hear me and what I have to say and that you are going to regard my emphasis. Remember, you are supposed to deal with me as having authority and refer to me as "Your Honor." I would not mind addressing any national or international issues, if I knew I was going to be regarded and worked with correctly. Dealing with me as being in a role of honor and adding in my years of specialized wisdom and expertise would likely give you of the Trump administration plenty more to work with and add to improving many situations.

There is work involved with dealing with me and the important issues requiring. Everything will not be done all at once. There has to be plenty that continues on track and through a substantial amount of time. The main necessity is that the work be on track and not for some ulterior purpose or in some inappropriate direction. The work has to be worthy and constructive to the issues actively requiring in the past quarter-century and well documented in materials from me—my official documents.

The issues involving this country are important, and as I have said in the past, these issues should be taken seriously and addressed adequately so that then there can be a degree of contentment. Areas with long amounts of time, such as

years, have to be handled successfully, and the current times and active situations have to be handled, too. An essential element associated with functioning in this country is money, which has to be handled with a degree of professionalism, worthiness, and accuracy. I previously said about a pay rate associated with me as being \$135,000 yearly, but because I have not been paid any money yet, I am keeping track with an actively running invoice, available anytime upon request. The money should be paid to me because of many reasons, such as appropriateness, but also, money cannot take so much and so long to be paid, and there should be a right amount of money through the times and with a correct level of incentives.

I look forward to receiving communication back from the Trump administration.

Thank you,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

05-01-2019

Email Letter – Directorship

You Tube posting available for the month of May 2019

<https://youtu.be/IZYEYYI-MtE>

or

https://youtu.be/xufqAqn_Fjw

Joseph Mallon

jmallon@comcast.net

JUNE 2019 - Reporting from Director**June 7, 2019**

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I am still currently very busy working as I have been because nothing additional has been presented to me yet. You here of the Trump administration have not worked out anything additional with me yet. I have not received anything additional from you here. If you had any other intent, please be aware that nothing from you here has gotten through to me yet. Of course, necessary requirements are for at least an adequacy of communication to be made to me in a reasonable and commonly known and accepted form, such as the letter from two years ago, which you have not followed up on yet. (Because of reaching June 6th, 2019, the two-year point, I attached a copy of the letter from June 6th, 2017).

I said much before and covered many relevant areas. I said of the Trump administration situation not being successful enough to just not deal with me and everything involved. I said about the summer season coming up and that something should be worked out with me for the summer season. I said about the background that goes into the worthiness and merits on my part and why my materials are here and requiring to be honorably and rewardingly dealt with concerning the United States and a professional role of honor. I said about the professional status of me working through the past 10 years before my full career was recognized and that through the past more than 5 years, I remained licensed in each state of the country and Washington, D.C., too.

My work also in itself remained on academic doctoral levels through the past more than 5 years, although education in the United States remained off-track and disconnected more and more from the active honorable work. Through recent years, activity has occurred on state and United States levels addressing the country's education issues, and while much was done, the issues are so massive, that also much was not done yet, and further has to be done. Much student loan debt was discharged, but much more student loan debt has to be discharged yet. Something more still has to be done and can be done to better or more fully handle this education situation and to prevent this situation from further occurring. I did also previously say about this U.S. academic area and that the masses of Americans affected, along with individuals such as myself, have to be remedied in ways such as student loan debt discharge and relinquishment honors.

If you here of the Trump administration are going to deal with this country's issues, then that has to be done. Right now, there is still too much left out and excessively requiring. Seasons and years cannot just be going by with important issues left inadequately addressed. With this situation going on, this country is at a tremendous disadvantage to other countries, and this situation does not help at this country dealing with other countries or being regarded respectably by other countries. With as advanced as this country is compared to other countries of the world, in these ways of so much left neglected and requiring, this country is extremely backed up compared to other countries.

If you here are going to work out this professional situation with me, you have to do so for real. You first have to adequately communicate your intent. You then have to work on a transition period with me. All involved with this transition period has to be worked on and worked out. The transition then has to take place.

We have a summer season about to start, and then we have the fall/autumn season following to finish up everything in these transition ways and for continuing further. You can see that the appropriate timeline requires a sufficiency through these immediately continuing times of the summer season. There are business customs, standards, and practices known and understood on state, national, and international levels. A proper pay on a rewarding and honorable level is one, and others are bonuses, incentives, and progressive opportunities. With me, for example, a bonus of \$50,000 would be nice, and also honorable would be a recognition of my pay rate being at \$135,000 yearly for a director position level with the United States government.

You here of the Trump administration have to want to pay me my money. You have to want me to be involved with here, and you want that so much that you are making an offer to me and providing me with a desirable opportunity. You have to want me to be a part of the work done here, so you give me incentives. You have to want me to feel right and good about working here and with you by giving me motivations to do the relevant work, make the efforts, and achieve the mutually beneficial accomplishments. You here on your end and on your levels have to set up

a successful situation for me and that I would want and so that I can continue being successful and add to success for all involved with here.

You should deal with me immediately and continuing in progressively rewarding ways and on advanced levels. That collaborative situation would be best for all involved with you here of the Trump administration, this country, and me, also, of course. Whether you deal with me or not, I will remain successful and requiring to be rewardingly and honorably dealt with. I provide a service to this country and the American people, and I have been providing that service for years, including way before the times of you here of the Trump administration. I preserve all involved with the materials I communicated to here—the White House—through the past years up to and through the times of the Trump administration to the present and continuing.

In the present and continuing times, a correct emphasis is that you cannot accurately deal with any issue involving this country without dealing with these areas of my work and expertise. You can deal with matters and including concerning this country, but you will not accurately or successfully deal with the matters without including an adequacy of honorably dealing with me regarding my communication and work through the past years. I am still providing the service of backing everything on my part concerning this country and keeping everything able to be worked with for providing benefits, solutions, and advancements for this country and its people. If I was not doing that, no one else would be doing that, and

this situation is true right now, but not just right now and, instead, from years ago and including now and continuing.

You are not even talking to me at all yet. You have to communicate, though, to work anything out, and there also has to be an adequacy of functional communication through enough of a continuing time. Everything is not needed all the time, but enough is needed in the times when necessary. After there is a sufficiency achieved, everyone involved can be happy and content, but not before that and not without that. With me, my professional position of director with the United States has to be secured, and you here of the Trump administration are supposed to make offers and provide opportunities for that.

Just let me know if you have anything to present to me. I will efficiently respond if I receive anything from here. I will follow-up accordingly. If I do not receive anything back, I will continue remaining very busy doing the work I have been doing and remaining successful, but I also will certainly be wondering what is going on in this direction. I look forward to receiving any relevant communication or presentation.

Thank you,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

06-06-2019

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

June 6, 2017

Mr. Joseph Mallon
7400 Roosevelt Boulevard
A303
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19152


Dear Mr. Mallon:

On behalf of President Donald J. Trump, thank you for expressing your desire to serve in the Administration. My office has your file on hand, and I have shared it with the President.

In light of your background, you will receive due consideration for the positions that are appropriate for your broad range of experience. As you know, President Trump remains committed to finding highly qualified individuals to serve in the Administration. While we continue to recruit such people, I will keep you in mind.

Thank you again for contacting the President to express your desire to serve the United States. If there is anything my office can do to be helpful, please do not hesitate to contact my office by email at ppo@who.eop.gov.

Sincerely,
John DeStefano



Assistant to the President and
Director of Presidential Personnel

JULY 2019 - Reporting from Director**July 1, 2019****CLAIM and OFFER**

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I am a good person and a successful person. I am a wise person and an advanced intellectual and professional person. I am an important person and a historic person of the United States society, locally to nationally. I have communicated to the White House about important national issues through the past more than a quarter-century (more than 25 years), including through the past more than 2 years of the Trump administration. My consistency is well proven, so a wise and honorable direction is to respectfully view my emphasis, perceive it correctly, and act on it in a positive and mutually beneficial way.

I hereby make a claim. In addition to my claim, I hereby make an offer. I am, thus, making a claim and an offer. I demand my claim. I present my offer.

My claim is for the amount of money stated on my invoice, \$122,884.65 as of July 20, 2019. My offer is to pay my student loans or to a *Student Loans Solutions Fund* with that money after taxes and as long as the rest is discharged as a *Student Loans Relinquishment Honor*. The United States Government would pay me a gross pay of \$122,884.65 for my director position with the United States through the past 11 months. Appropriate taxes would be taken out of my gross pay, and I would be left with a net pay of approximately \$100,000. The \$100,000 from my net pay would

be paid on my student loans or to a *Student Loans Solutions Fund*. The remainder of the student loans amount would be discharged as a *Student Loans Relinquishment Honor*.

Take a look at the invoice. I not only make that claim now, but also, consider it, and also consider the student loans and the state of the U.S. economy. The invoice amounts to more than \$100,000. This claim amount was before you/the U.S. Government paid me any money. We have to talk about getting rid of the student loans, and if you do, good for you and this country for doing your job, and if you do not, bad for you and this country for not doing your job. You should discuss these areas among yourselves of the Trump administration at the White House, and you may also want to have some discussions with the U.S. Department of Education concerning these areas, and with me included as a very important person (VIP).

As of July 20, 2019, the invoice claim amount is fully \$122,884.65. I propose this offer to you here of the Trump administration at the White House, the U.S. Department of Education, and the United States Government. You here in the personnel office of the Trump administration have to secure my director level professional and official position with the United States Government and assign me with an adequate number of personnel to start this offer's work. This offer has to be worked out on your end and with the U.S. Department of Education concerning my student loans. I will pay the \$100,000, following taxes on my gross pay of \$122,884.65, to the U.S. Department of Education for the student loans, but the rest

of the student loans amount has to be made into a *Student Loans Relinquishment Honor*.

This offer has to be realistically worked with in the immediate times. Secure advancement of this offer has to be made within 30 days, which means by the end of July 2019. I accordingly ORDER my staff members, who you assign, to do this work and no other work. You can pass on my ORDER to my staff for commencing their jobs and to continue to fulfilling this ORDER. You can send me a report of my staff information, such as names and backgrounds, and you can have my staff report to me sometime within the first week of work by emailing me at my email address, which will have to be sufficient until an official communication system is worked out.

Take this offer seriously. I take this offer seriously and will continue backing it and following up with it accordingly. This offer's full value is active for a limited amount of time because of a couple of reasons. The first reason is that too much time has been going by without a reciprocal adequacy in these directions. The second reason is because of interest adding to the student loans as the time passes by.

The reason for the *Student Loans Solutions Fund* is because the student loans situation involves injustice. The injustice makes the situation be that the student loans may not be appropriate to pay back directly and may only be appropriate to pay into a fund if a party, such as myself, wants to and can contribute. If deciding to go this route because of the student loans involving

injustice, the *Student Loans Solutions Fund* has to be established. Of course, this fund establishment is some more of the work needed in this direction of student loans for education in the United States, and an important aspect right now is for this type of work to advance.

Let me know what is said here at the Trump administration about this area of work. I have to receive word back about my claim and offer. I request efficient communication back, and by efficient, I mean within 5 business days. I say within 5 business days because the offer is fully active and of full value for the days of the month of July 2019.

Please efficiently respond. I look forward to receiving word back from the Trump administration in representation of the U.S. Department of Education and the U.S. Government regarding my claim and offer.

Thank you,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

07-01-2019

Invoice

Director - United States

11 Months **Annual pay rate of \$130,000, Could and**
Sept. 2018 to Dec. 2018 and Should be
\$135,000 for Jan. through paid
April 2019

Weeks of Month **Pay rate of \$130,000 Yearly** **Biweekly**

SEPTEMBER 2018

– Reporting from
Director

September 1, 2018	Official Communication in September 2018 - September 7, 2018	3 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,000.00
September 15, 2018	September 26, 2018: Communicated to University and White House		\$ 5,000.00
September 29, 2018	University doctoral program materials – including a Formal		\$ 5,000.00

Complaint and
Judgment made

OCTOBER 2018

Reporting from

Director

October 13, 2018	October 10, 2018	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,000.00
October 27, 2018			\$ 5,000.00

NOVEMBER 2018

Reporting from

Director

November 10, 2018	November 1, 2018	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,000.00
	November 6, 2018		
November 24, 2018	November 14, 2018		\$ 5,000.00

November 20, 2018

November 22, 2018

November 28, 2018

DECEMBER 2018

Reporting from

Director

December 8, 2018	December 3, 2018	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,000.00
	December 10, 2018		
	December 18, 2018		
December 22, 2018	December 24, 2018		\$ 5,000.00
	December 25, 2018		

JANUARY 2019

Reporting from **Pay rate of \$135,000 Yearly**
Director

January 2, 2019	1-7-19 and 1-23-19	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,192.31
January 19, 2019	Auto Reply Received		\$ 5,192.31

FEBRUARY 2019

Reporting from
Director

February 2, 2019	February 21, 2019 to into March 2019 – State of Education in United States	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,192.31
February 16, 2019			\$ 5,192.31

MARCH 2019**Reporting from****Director**

March 2, 2019	March 7, 2019	3 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,192.31
March 16, 2019			\$ 5,192.31
March 30, 2019			\$ 5,192.31

APRIL 2019**Reporting from****Director**

April 13, 2019	April 3, 2019	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,192.31
April 27, 2019			\$ 5,192.31

Total

Total Salary	\$ 91,730.79
---------------------	---------------------

Could and Should be paid**MAY 2019****Reporting from****Director**

May 11, 2019	May 1, 2019	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,192.31
May 25, 2019			\$ 5,192.31

Total**Total Salary** **\$ 102,115.41****Could and Should be paid****JUNE 2019****Reporting from****Director**

June 8, 2019 June 6, 2019 2 Pay Weeks \$ 5,192.31

June 22, 2019 \$ 5,192.31

Total**Total Salary** **\$ 112,500.03****Could and Should be paid****JULY 2019****Reporting from****Director**

July 06, 2019 July 1, 2019 2 Pay Weeks \$ 5,192.31

July 20, 2019 \$ 5,192.31

Total**Total Salary** **\$ 122,884.65****Could and Should be paid**

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

DAY FIVE

Because of the 4th of July holiday being on Thursday, July 4th, 2019, the 5 business days requirement is extended to 10 business days, which is another 5 business days.

Monday, July 8th, 2019 is 5 business days from day 1, which was July 1st, 2019. The 5 business days were (1) Monday, July 1st, (2) Tuesday, July 2nd, (3) Wednesday, July 3rd, (4) Friday, July 5th, and (5) Monday, July 8th, 2019.

Extending the business days for you to respond in a timely manner means adding on 5 more business days and going until Monday, July 15th, 2019. These 5 additional business days are (1) Tuesday, July 9th, (2) Wednesday, July 10th, (3) Thursday, July 11th, (4) Friday, July 12th, and (5) Monday, July 15th, 2019.

You can still respond on Monday, July 8th, 2019. The sooner you respond, the better really. I am allowing another 5 business days, though, for just in case. I am just necessarily tracking and emphasizing the timeliness aspect because the current time is more than 2 years with these areas directly requiring before being adequately settled. I made a valid claim and offer, and that is too much to play around with or miss by letting too much time go by, so I emphasized the importance of the timing. Circumstances may change with time passage, so time itself being a factor that alters the situation has to be kept to a minimum. Thus, I originally allocated 5 business days for timeliness, and now by grace, I am allocating another 5 business days for timeliness of your reply.

Whenever you respond within the bounds of the 10 business days, I will efficiently review and follow-up on your response accordingly. If I do not receive your reply by the close of

business (5:00 PM, Eastern time) on Monday, July 15th, 2019, I will consider your reply not timely, and I will further review the situation, make decisions, and communicate accordingly.

Remember, too, that I originally set that the claim and offer are fully valid for 30 days, which meant the entire month of July 2019. I intend to uphold that declaration. Within the time of the claim and offer having full validity, my intent is to abide by the terms originally presented. If I still do not receive a relevant reply by after July 2019, I will not have a choice but to further evaluate the situation, make according adjustments, and communicate accurately about the active facts and issues.

Presently, Monday, July 8th, 2019 is day 5 of 5 business days. I still can be communicated back to before the close of business at 5:00 PM on Monday, July 8th, 2019. I am adding on another 5 business days for timeliness of a reply because Independence Day was on Thursday of the first week of July 2019. I made a CLAIM and an OFFER. My official director position with the United States government has to be secured. The current time is after more than 2 years of these active areas directly involved and requiring and before a next U.S. Presidential term. I am eager to receive a timely reply.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -\/-

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -\/-

jmallon@comcast.net - 215-279-8580 - 15 N. School Lane, Souderton, PA 18964 - 07-08-2019

See Claim and Offer, dated 07-01-2019.

DAY SEVEN

Just a reminder...

Seventh business day of active claim and offer...

National issues, such as student loans, remain important and requiring...

Individual people and masses of people continue having significance...

Informative communication made and relevant return communication watched for diligently...

Opportunities and incentives still favored...

Email acceptable and workable for efficiency...

DAY SEVEN

DAY NINE

I did get a lot done so far. I maintained everything. I will continue preserving everything. I named the agency or division that is of my directorship. I am still considering it, but I have it named, and I will tell you of the Trump administration at a later time.

The division that I am the director of is not a new or separate branch of the United States Government because I refuse to reinvent the wheel. I, along with my division and all people involved or affected in any way, have rights to the resources of the highly advanced United States Government and society without starting out from scratch. The division can be within the bounds of the executive branch of the U.S. government. Full rights and authority concerning the entire United States government is appropriate and necessary for the division/agency, and my division preserves and stands for all the right, favorable, successful, meritorious, righteous, good, noble, and honorable ideals and has to be treated that way. This additional division/agency can be a tremendous asset to the United States Government, but the main necessity right now is that the division/agency has to advance at being established as fully active, valid, authorized, and functional as an honored, respected, and authoritative part of the United States Government.

Additionally, I called about the student loans and was told that the status of the student loans is still presently the same. Advancement in these student loans directions is extremely necessary. My claim and offer advancing and being worked out would add to setting excellent precedent for solving this country's student loans issue.

The current time is after a long time already, more than two years. More time being let to pass by without a sufficiency of advancement in these areas is unacceptable. These areas are time sensitive, as I expressed previously. Today is the ninth business day of my claim and offer.

I am continuing to watch for an acceptance reply to my offer/claim. We could then move on to further working everything out and achieving additional accomplishments with, for, and of the United States Government.

I request an email response for efficiency. Some kind of letter sent through the postal service is still alright, but requested is adequate email communication to enable real-time efficiency.

DAY NINE

**DAY ELEVEN, DAY TWELVE, DAY THIRTEEN, DAY FOURTEEN, DAY FIFTEEN,
DAY SIXTEEN, DAY SEVENTEEN, DAY EIGHTEEN, DAY NINETEEN, DAY TWENTY,
DAY TWENTY-ONE, DAY TWENTY-TWO**

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

We are now passed 10 business days. You have not responded yet. No one of the Trump administration has responded yet. You can still respond because, as I originally said, my offer is good for 30 days, which includes the entire month of July 2019. A relevant reply is necessary, though, if anyone of the Trump administration is going to deal with anything involved with the claim or offer I made.

When and if I receive a reply, I will work with it accordingly, although I will also consider it as not timely. I will understand all the various aspects involved. I will continue upholding everything involved with my claim and offer through this entire month of July 2019. If I still do not receive a reply, all deals are off. I still will maintain my side of everything, but I will accept no obligation in any way concerning my previous offer. You or the Trump administration may be responsible for the entire student loans amount. My claim will still be left requiring and with only more being added on. My intent is not to just add onto the claim and is to advance the situation and have the matters involved worked out successfully. I am not in full control of what you of the Trump administration do or cause, though, so I just have to provide you with awareness and do my due diligence.

I will next express a little about common, civilized business culture. First, there is the courtesy of a reply. Second, there is recognition of something of significance. Third, there is being cognizant of the time involved and passing by. Fourth, there is the intelligence involved of figuring some things out and putting enough on paper or in some sort of structured text and numeric form.

Fifth, there are the ethics of being adequately mutual and acting in a unified way accordingly. Sixth, there is the quality of doing your part concerning anything that is collaborative, and if in a position of responsibility, there is a degree of working things out and providing offers and opportunities. Seventh, there is the situation of standing by your word, backing what you did and said, upholding the emphasis, intent, meaning, and directions, and following up on everything and following through. After all that, and more than enough of it continued consistently through an adequate amount of time, a mutually successful situation is achieved for all parties involved.

You of the Trump administration should have made an offer to me before I made an offer to you. You should have provided me with opportunities and should not have been just causing more and more to be necessary from me. You should have recognized the significant amount of time already involved and should not have been causing me to just go longer and longer, after as long as I have already been through in these areas and including concerning the United States, more than a quarter-century. You should straighten out this situation on your part as best as you can and do some of these things that should be done, that other people cannot do, and that can only be done on your levels.

The time now is passed 10 business days. Counting today, Tuesday, July 16th, 2019, there are only 12 business days left in this month of July 2019. That is just a further emphasis of how important 10 business days are and the kind of time actually involved. In the 12 business days left of July 2019, you/the Trump administration can still communicate relevantly to me. You/the Trump administration have until July 31st, 2019 to reply to my claim and offer.

I am continuing to watch for your reply, and I will work accordingly with your response as soon as and as long as I receive it.

Thank you,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

07-16-2019

(See Claim and Offer, 07-01-2019)

DAY FOURTEEN

Friday, July 19, 2019

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

Here is a little more that I got done through the past week. Did you get anything worked out yet? I am continuing to watch for any kind of presentation or communication from you or the Trump administration. I suggest to first use the email, and then anything in hardcopy form can be followed-up with through the regular mail.

Joseph Mallon

jmallon@comcast.net

DAY FOURTEEN

Friday, July 19, 2019

SOVEREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

DIVISION OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

SSUS

- * *Founded: July 2019*
- * *Established after more than a quarter-century background...*
- * *Built on more than a quarter-century foundation...*
- * *Constitutionally based for more than a quarter-century...*

Five Disclaimers

1. No other equal or superior branch, division, agency, bureau, or entity is established, justified, or recommended simultaneously or with likewise rights or justifications.
2. No support or recommendations are given or provided for establishing any other branch, division, agency, bureau, or entity.
3. Complete uniqueness and individuality are declared, including for purposes of proclaiming exclusive authenticity and with anything presented similarly being noted questionable and subject to scrutiny for falsity.
4. This newly formed division is not responsible for anything outside of its control or bounds in the times of its early establishment because of itself needing to be secured before being able to secure anything else or what it otherwise would be or will be able to secure.
5. This division is not from or a product of the Trump administration, or any current United States Government agency, branch, bureau, division, or entity, but is established collaboratively with the Trump administration, the Executive branch, and the United States Government.

Something to be Done

This established division has to be introduced to everyone who is involved with the Executive branch of the United States Government. This division next has to be introduced to everyone of the other two branches of the United States Government. An introductory presentation has to be made and an expression of unity and collaboration has to be made.

SSUS Mission

To help the U.S. government, officials, and people with the United States and its government and better enable everything needed and wanted to be done to be successfully and mutually accomplished at being done, taken care of, and worked out.

To cover the empty or neglected areas that have been left abandoned or deficient through the past quarter-century and to contribute to making the country full and whole as it is supposed to be.

To facilitate and contribute to this country's progress and the advancement of worthy causes and practice of noble, honorable, righteous, and ethical customs.

To bridge gaps in the history of this country and better enable correct backgrounds, presents, and futures for people and the society of this country, U.S.A.

To maintain, support, and practice the core policies of this country and its people without promoting a reverse or excessive situation that would lessen or negate the core policies.

Slogans

A Higher and Better Way that Connects to All the Best Ways

Connects on Best Levels with America and Everywhere Else

DAY THIRTEEN

DAY TWELVE

DAY ELEVEN

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

We are now passed 10 business days. You have not responded yet. No one of the Trump administration has responded yet. You can still respond because, as I originally said, my offer is good for 30 days, which includes the entire month of July 2019. A relevant reply is necessary, though, if anyone of the Trump administration is going to deal with anything involved with the claim or offer I made.

When and if I receive a reply, I will work with it accordingly, although I will also consider it as not timely. I will understand all the various aspects involved. I will continue upholding everything involved with my claim and offer through this entire month of July 2019. If I still do not receive a reply, all deals are off. I still will maintain my side of everything, but I will accept no obligation in any way concerning my previous offer. You or the Trump administration may be responsible for the entire student loans amount. My claim will still be left requiring and with only more being added on. My intent is not to just add onto the claim and is to advance the situation and have the matters involved worked out successfully. I am not in full control of what you of the Trump administration do or cause, though, so I just have to provide you with awareness and do my due diligence.

I will next express a little about common, civilized business culture. First, there is the courtesy of a reply. Second, there is recognition of something of significance. Third, there is being cognizant of the time involved and passing by. Fourth, there is the intelligence involved of figuring some things out and putting enough on paper or in some sort of structured text and numeric form.

Fifth, there are the ethics of being adequately mutual and acting in a unified way accordingly. Sixth, there is the quality of doing your part concerning anything that is collaborative, and if in a position of responsibility, there is a degree of working things out and providing offers and opportunities. Seventh, there is the situation of standing by your

word, backing what you did and said, upholding the emphasis, intent, meaning, and directions, and following up on everything and following through. After all that, and more than enough of it continued consistently through an adequate amount of time, a mutually successful situation is achieved for all parties involved.

You of the Trump administration should have made an offer to me before I made an offer to you. You should have provided me with opportunities and should not have been just causing more and more to be necessary from me. You should have recognized the significant amount of time already involved and should not have been causing me to just go longer and longer, after as long as I have already been through in these areas and including concerning the United States, more than a quarter-century. You should straighten out this situation on your part as best as you can and do some of these things that should be done, that other people cannot do, and that can only be done on your levels.

The time now is passed 10 business days. Counting today, Tuesday, July 16th, 2019, there are only 12 business days left in this month of July 2019. That is just a further emphasis of how important 10 business days are and the kind of time actually involved. In the 12 business days left of July 2019, you/the Trump administration can still communicate relevantly to me. You/the Trump administration have until July 31st, 2019 to reply to my claim and offer.

I am continuing to watch for your reply, and I will work accordingly with your response as soon as and as long as I receive it.

Thank you,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

07-16-2019

(See Claim and Offer, 07-01-2019)

DAY EIGHTEEN

Thursday, July 25, 2019

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I did a little more through the past week. I rounded out the newly established division and made it fuller and more inclusive. The new division now has a central department and two arms. I set the names. You of the Trump administration now just have to do you parts concerning establishing this new division and working with me to secure and advance my director position with the current United States Government.

Is there going to be a ceremony or service? Is there going to be a party or an event? Something like that would have to be worked on and worked out, if there was going to be such an activity.

I am able to receive communication about the possibilities. I will work further on everything as soon as I receive some relevant communication back. Just send me an email, and I will efficiently review and respond.

Joseph Mallon

jmallon@comcast.net

U.S. Department of Sovereignty with Two Arms

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF SOVEREIGNTY, (USDEPTSOVT)

DIVISION OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

and

Parent Organization of the

SOVEREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (SOVSERUSA)

and

**SOVEREIGN SPACE AGENCY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
(SOVERSPACEAGE)**

USDeptSovt, SovSerUSA, and SoverSpaceAge

- * *Founded: July 2019*
- * *Established after more than a quarter-century background...*
- * *Built on more than a quarter-century foundation...*
- * *Constitutionally based for more than a quarter-century...*
- * *Unified but also self-regulating and independent...*

The USDeptSovt is a friendly division of the United States government. This division deliberately maintains all the core ideals of this country and at their proper equilibriums for not countering them or reversing them. This division is a good division and intends to remain good in every way but not good beyond propriety or to be taken advantage of or ignored. Enlightenment is a favored quality of this division. Also, this division has qualities of creativity, flexibility, and freedom but yet with additional qualities of consistency, dependability, validity, honorability, worthiness, and accuracy.

This division is based on and is after 25 years of background. This division has been through many serious and difficult pre-establishment times. Very real and deeply meaningful years were gone through. Next, dutiful and effort-filled years, which included more than usual national and international times, were gone through. Then advanced and progressing times were gone through and which included more nationally and globally effectual occurrences and a global financial crisis. As was thoroughly explained through the times, the continuing aftermath and labor-filled times were next gone through to the present time in 2019. There was

all that and more like that gone through during the past more than a quarter-century before the establishment of this newly founded division of the United States Government, USDeptSovt, along with its offshoot, SovSerUSA.

With the establishment of this additional department of the United States Government, an accomplishment is achieved, and an advancement is made. A successful point is already reached and enables further successes for continuing progress and not merely starting out or needing to be proven. An already proven and already successful point was reached. Further success and accomplishments will surely be made. The present and continuance, though, is after, and to be kept understood as after, an already high level was earned and achieved. The department is applicable and worthy of continuing honor and high status when considering the reputation of the department.

This additional department of the United States Government has a central department and two arms. The central department is the parent organization, and the two arms are servicing units. The central department is the USDeptSovt. The first arm of USDeptSovt is SovSerUSA, and the second arm is SoverSpaceAge. SovSerUSA provides sovereign services directly to the people, citizens, the public, and SoverSpaceAge pursues space sovereignty goals and ideals for, by, and of the people and as ordained for discovery, realization, necessity, advancement, and destiny.

Five Disclaimers

1. No other equal or superior branch, division, agency, bureau, or entity is established, justified, or recommended simultaneously or with likewise rights or justifications as this department, USDeptSovt, inclusive of SovSerUSA, and SoverSpaceAge.
2. No support or recommendations are given or provided for establishing any other branch, division, agency, bureau, or entity other than this USDeptSovt department, inclusive of SovSerUSA, and SoverSpaceAge.
3. Complete uniqueness and individuality of this USDeptSovt department, inclusive of SovSerUSA, and SoverSpaceAge, are declared, including for purposes of proclaiming exclusive authenticity and with anything presented similarly being noted questionable and subject to scrutiny for falsity.
4. This newly formed division of the USDeptSovt department, inclusive of SovSerUSA, and SoverSpaceAge, is not responsible for anything outside of its control or bounds in the times of its early establishment because of itself needing to be secured before being able to secure anything else or what it otherwise would be or will be able to secure.

5. This division of the USDeptSovt department, inclusive of SovSerUSA, and SoverSpaceAge, is not from or a product of the Trump administration, or any current United States Government agency, branch, bureau, division, or entity, but is established collaboratively with the Trump administration, the Executive branch, and the United States Government.

Something to be Done

This established division, which is the USDeptSovt department, inclusive of SovSerUSA, and SoverSpaceAge, has to be introduced to everyone who is involved with the Executive branch of the United States Government. This division next has to be introduced to everyone of the other two branches of the United States Government. An introductory presentation has to be made, and an expression of unity and collaboration has to be made.

USDeptSovt, SovSerUSA, and SoverSpaceAge Mission

To help the U.S. Government, officials, and people with the United States and its government and better enable everything needed and wanted to be done to be successfully and mutually accomplished at being done, taken care of, and worked out.

To cover the empty or neglected areas that have been left abandoned or deficient through the past quarter-century and to contribute to making the country full and whole as it is supposed to be.

To facilitate and contribute to this country's progress and the advancement of worthy causes and practice of noble, honorable, righteous, and ethical customs.

To bridge gaps in the history of this country and better enable correct backgrounds, presents, and futures for people and the society of this country, U.S.A.

To maintain, support, and practice the core policies of this country and its people without promoting a reverse or excessive situation that would lessen or negate the core policies.

Slogans

A Higher and Better Way that Connects to All the Best Ways

Connects on Best Levels with America and Everywhere Else

Enabling the U.S. Government to provide sovereign services to the people

Enabling the people to receive sovereign services from the U.S. Government

AUGUST 2019 - Reporting from Director

August 15, 2019

Some Background Rules and Regulations

of the

United States Department of Sovereignty

The United States Department of Sovereignty Director and Chief Executive Officer of the Sovereign Service of the United States and Sovereign Space Agency will remain myself for life. If going to or working within any other position, this U.S. Sovereignty Department executive director position stays active accordingly and continues being worked accordingly within the bounds of the active work situation concerning whatever other position may be involved. Professional official positions for other people within the department or either arm can be started and continued in any one of a number of ways appropriate and set for the times. These ways range from appointed, elected, hired, contracted, and to assigned, and the length of time for the job can be set for any appropriate term of up to, but not to exceed, a U.S. Presidential four-year term. If I myself ever leave the Sovereignty Department Director position that includes the department arms, the director position will be filled as a U.S. director position for only the department; each arm will have its chief position filled, and the filling of these positions will be done in an appropriate way of appointed, elected, hired, contracted, or assigned and for a term not to exceed a U.S. Presidential four-year term.

The formation of this Sovereignty Department was by sovereignty. What could be considered equivalent to eminent domain was established within the executive branch of the United States Government. The direct establishment continued through more than two years. Recognition of the department remained appropriate and worthy. Federal funds allocated to the department continued as necessary for the United States Government to work with the department and provide due support, rewards, honors, and incentives to the department and its personnel.

The United States Department of Sovereignty was originally established like a closely held company. This preliminary establishing continued through more than a quarter-century before the present era direct establishment of the department in the executive branch of the U.S. Government through the recent more than two years. Although being closely held through the establishing years, the department, along with its arms, was designed to be a professional, official, public, and impersonal division of the United States Government. There were important purposes to serve and work to be done, and there were many areas and issues of and in the United States that needed influence, persuasive authority, and checks and balances of the sovereignty department. As of August 2019, the department is set as functioning like both a closely held and public company but not just a company and, rather, as a U.S. Government organization and full-scale part of the United States Government.

With the closely held part of the department, full rights and responsibilities are maintained. The department intent is to continue as worthy and successful. Further development and fulfillment must continue. Direct and physical expansion throughout the United States geographical area has to occur. Full functionality on United States levels has to be possible and active.

With the public dimension of the department, thousands of professional positions have to be started, and thousands of personnel have to fill and work in the professional positions. Public offices throughout the country have to be opened and kept active. The department hours of operation are 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year, but the standard hours are Monday through Friday, 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM, excluding weekends and holidays. All the work is not for me to do, and all the jobs are not for me to be in, and the people who are the personnel of the department have to have their jobs, do their jobs, get their work done, and fulfill their duties and responsibilities. These people, additional to myself, have to see to it that each public office opens and closes on time to the public and has the additional non-standard hours of work taken care of, too.

This department has to be functioning as independent and autonomous of any other entity and including of the U.S. Government or the U.S. Government itself. Also, at the same time, though, this department has to be connected and important to other entities and including of the U.S. Government and the U.S. Government itself. This department will be working internally and externally to itself and has to be fully connected and connected to more than sufficiently. This

department has rights to this connectivity, and there is to be no serious and consistent conflict of interests. Positions for liaisons and monitors will be maintained.

This department maintains the core qualities of humanity and uses all the advancements, too, but in their appropriate places. Full technology and robotics will be used but kept in a right way and on right levels concerning humankind of the public and personnel. The technology, robotics, and machines function for the people, and the people do not function for them. Similar to the use of some animals, these technological advancements of civilization are tools and conveniences to make life more advanced and able to reach higher levels for people. These technological policies will be maintained.

The department must always be used to serve its core purposes, which are well articulated in the original documents from the establishing times of the department. The department cannot be used for any other purpose or ulterior motive. The department cannot be used for any illegal purpose, anti-American purpose or conflicting nationalistic purpose, ethnic or racial purpose, gender-oriented purpose, age-focused or health-focused purpose, anti-religious or anti-good purpose, unjust purpose, unethical purpose, or any inherently bad purpose. All has to be good with the department, like 99.99% pure air or water or pure silver or gold precious metals. The department has to be kept from corruption or any kind of corroding, and all products and services from the department have to be good and pure.

The department has to have its fundamental rules and regulations set as understood and understandable because they have to be known as being well established and have to be stayed in accord with through the times and years. These requirements were known as important and necessary to have active, to support, and to advance long before this department was established. Much in these areas went into the establishment of this department being strong enough to withstand and secure against the contrary of the rules and regulations. The contrary was to various extents bad, negative, and damaging, so consistent efforts were made to advance beyond the contrasting. The guidelines expressed herein resulted in the establishment of this department, the United States Department of Sovereignty, along with its two arms, the Sovereign Service of the United States and the Sovereign Space Agency of the United States of America.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

08-15-2019

August 28, 2019

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

Working Out the Country's National Issues,

Including Student Loans and the Newly Established Department of Sovereignty

Important national issues are requiring and have to be successfully taken care of in the active times. An important United States Department establishing is a national issue. The U.S. economy and state of United States education are important national issues. One of the largest debts of the country, which are student loans, is an important national issue, and people of the United States having jobs they should have is another important national issue. We should handle the important national issues of this country so that the country and its people are the way they are supposed to be and can be at best, excel, and prosper.

United States Department of Sovereignty

The department needs people working in it. The student loans have to be handled at having the debt accounted for in some way to eliminate it correctly and have such a process continue correctly. People of the United States have to be able to acquire and continue successfully with jobs they should and want to have for their livelihood and careers. The professional positions have to be on the right levels comparatively to other people and in consideration of the person's talents, skills, abilities, experience, and credentials. The jobs have to be adequately progressive so that the jobs are fulfilling to the person and enable the person to have a career outlook.

There are masses of people who owe student loans in this country, U.S.A. There are plenty of student loans discharge applications continuing to steadily amount in Washington, D.C. While some of those applications and the student loan debts involved have been taken care of by being discharged, most have not been yet, and the total money amount involved is significant and still growing. In addition, interest continues being accumulated on the student loans debts, whether that be concerning each student loan or all the student loans in total.

I myself made a claim and an offer. One of the important aspects involved was to set some precedent in this country for the student loans situation to be advanced at being taken care of in the presently continuing active times. I expressed about my direct professional position and status in this country through the times of more than two years. I made a claim of an appropriate pay to be made to me and for that pay to go on the student loans. The student loans payment would amount to approximately half of the student loans, and the other half would be **discharged** as a *student loans relinquishment honor*.

The new positions within the department can be set up to have this student loans feature as a benefit. Paying half of the student loans while working in one of these jobs could entitle the person to having the other half discharged in the form of a *student loans relinquishment honor*. Paying half of the student loans amount would still be difficult for many people working normally and paying living expenses through the times, so some incentive benefits could be added. An example of such an incentive benefit would be meeting an employee's student loans debt paid amount or paying double the amount the employee pays, and perhaps adding in other types of these bonuses, until the student loan debt amount reaches half and can be discharged. To tackle the national student loans debt in this way alleviates the United States at just taking on and paying off the entire national student loans debt.

At the same time as the national student loans debt is being paid off and the national student loans situation is being gotten into control and being handled, the people/U.S. citizens are working and being successful. The people are advancing in their careers, developing, taking care of their concerns, and contributing on their levels to the United States society. Serving these purposes is a major point of education and why credentials are acquired. Purposes can be being served by education, people, and the United States. Having jobs in the U.S. Department of Sovereignty with these types of benefits would be mutually good business and would add to the department, this country, and the people of America.

United States Economy

The economy should not be left burdened by excessive debt. There can be debt, but the debt has to be reasonable. A reasonable amount of debt would be below 25% debt as compared to income or assets. The debt should be able to be realistically handled and should have an interest amount that is manageable. With the department added, the jobs provided, and the people and United States Government working with the national student loans debt, the economy would be improving in right, successful, and prospering directions.

The United States would be using its resources and could be worthily leading and adding to other countries. If not using the resources constructively, the United States does not do better than other countries. The United States can be achieving extensive success in itself and with other countries if working well with its own resources. The economy prospers most when everyone and every entity is involved with good business and is mutually benefiting.

Education in the United States

The state of education in this country is nothing to be happy about. Education quality and content are lacking and far below acceptable levels. The way education is being conducted and regarded has been causing many seriously difficult situations. The education content cannot be serving ulterior motives, and the way people are treated in education also cannot be serving ulterior motives and which are not in mutual interests, including with each student and all the students as a whole. There has to be academic propriety and freedom kept built into education, and the academic and credential levels in and achieved in education have to be correct and regarded correctly.

The academic basics have to be covered in all ways. The academic policies and practices of treating people right, being mutual, being reasonable, progressive, and facilitative have to be actively maintained, and academic freedom has to always remain inherently active. Teaching, developing, educating, and education have to always be the interests. Learning has to adequately be true learning and cannot be used as just an inferior status level to seemingly be above another person, to hold another person down, or to push a say or opinion beyond another person. A person with true knowledge, experience, and credentials has to have a right role of honor and be worthy of and receive regard, respect, and honor due so that learning can result from a mutually beneficial learning experience.

Extensive educational knowledge, experience, and credentials went into the establishment of the department. Doctoral and post-doctoral levels were maintained through years before any kind of recognition or securing of these levels. A full doctoral program was gone through and completed successfully, and a fully completed dissertation was maintained through 8 years before this department started and before the dissertation was let or enabled to

serve its purposes. Maintaining good status with hundreds of thousands of dollars of student loans was done, too, through the 8 years. A point was reached when the student loans were put on hold for 10 years (until 2028) to accomplish working out each individual and the national student loans situation, and this condition continued through more than 2 years so far.

During the more than 2 years when the student loans situation was on hold, there was no secure certainty as to which way the situation would go. Interest remained accumulating, and each person with student loans debt with potential to discharge was in a circumstance where the person may or may not be responsible for the full student loans amount and with the accumulated interest included. Additional efforts were made in various ways, and one of those ways was that the White House was communicated to about this student loans and U.S. education situation through the entire time of more than 2 years. This happened because communication was actively being made to a director at the White House personnel office, and naturally, the White House, along with the Trump administration was being well informed. With this being the situation and the time still going by without solution, the United States Department of Sovereignty was established as a potential breakthrough.

Conclusion

The important national issues of this country have to advance at being settled. Progress has to be made at this current situation going forward and not just being left stuck and going nowhere as the time goes by. Appropriate communication has to be made and has to continue actively on adequate levels. Any finances involved have to be worked out, and any professional matters involved have to be taken care of by anyone who is responsible for them. The work involved has to get done efficiently so that the country's national issues are handled and the

country, the newly established Department of Sovereignty, and the American people can and tremendously do excel and prosper.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/\-

08-28-2019

SEPTEMBER 2019 - Reporting from Director**September 12, 2019**

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I did not yet hear from you here at the Trump administration about anything specifically relevant to my communication that I made to here through the past times. Nothing has been worked out beyond or in addition to my communication and its terms. I still do have to hear from you because you have to do your parts when the situation is as it is with me not being the only one supposed to do the relevant work. You have your work to do, too, because that is your jobs—your roles, which are for you and not me to do. I am presently continuing as I have been because I have not heard anything additional back yet, but I do request appropriate communication back informing me of any relevant information and possibilities.

We have now gone through the summer season of 2019. These areas communicated about concerning professional position and important national issues are still requiring without being adequately worked out yet. Nothing additional was worked out in the summer of 2019, and now the autumn season is coming up. While most people and entities are already commenced at doing something additional or different for the next season or starting in September, as is traditional, here with the Trump administration of the White House, nothing has been worked out yet, and nothing additional or different has been set up for the autumn season. I can and will still keep on doing what I do and being successful, but the situation still involves a necessity of more than only me doing the relevant work, fulfilling responsibilities, and being aware.

I made a claim and an offer for the month of July 2019. The claim and offer still have to be worked through. The terms of the claim and offer are still continuing with everything being according to my discretion. Possibilities can be presented to me for my review and consideration.

I do not appear to be receiving communication or participation when I request it. I do not see requests being followed or cooperated with so far. I cannot have to just keep saying about something that should be or needs to be there and just to have it never be there. I have to mention about this situation because that is not an ideal situation, and the present time is the end of the 2019 summer and is not the beginning or even middle of the summer. More than nothing should have been worked out already, but still has not yet been. I do not recommend continuing like that until in 2020 because the Trump administration would be highly questionable like that and would tremendously lack worthiness and connection with constituents.

When I made the claim and offer, I expressed to reply within 5 business days. You here of the Trump administration did not reply. I expanded the time to 10 business days, but you still did not reply. I left the claim and offer fully open for the entire month of July 2019, and you still did not reply. The claim and offer continued according to my discretion through the entire month of August 2019, and you did not reply. You have been abundantly communicated to and well informed, and you have not yet replied. The present summer 2019 season is ending, and the next season is coming up, and you still have not yet replied. Following your representational letter from June 2017, you have not replied. How much more, if anything, can you or should you expect if you of the Trump administration merely continue to not reply?

You of the Trump administration should immediately and continuing do at least enough relevant to know what is involved and to be aware of what is going on. You can work on building up a little bit. You do not have to and are not going to do everything all at once. There can be a gradual and steady build-up. You can and should work on increasing mutuality, unity, and collaboration in these directions because that is the only real way that anything involving the Trump administration will truly be successful.

I am remaining very busy ongoing. I can still work with anything you present to me. I would respond efficiently and fully mean exactly what I specify. I am presently continuing to work in external jobs to have adequate funding while the United States is not paying me my salary. My salary of \$135,000 yearly continues accumulating. The student loans have to be paid with the first \$100,000, and the rest of my student loans have to be discharged as a ***Student Loans Relinquishment Honor***. The money continuing to build from my accumulated salary of \$135,000 will presently keep adding and will eventually be able to be used for some useful purposes that I determine sometime in the not-too-distant future.

As stated in previous communication, any money I make at external jobs is extra money for me and is necessary and is a part of the job. This situation is because the United States does not yet have these matters dealt with and is, thus, still not paying the money of my salary to me. As I work in the external jobs, I also continue doing my executive director work with the United States, and I do that appropriately, as is exactly right and successful, and in right proportions and right times and places. All here stays under my authority and according to my discretion.

I continue developing and advancing the newly established **United States Department of Sovereignty**, along with its two arms, the **Sovereign Service of the United States** and the **United States Sovereign Space Agency**. I continue endeavoring to develop as a director and enabling a thriving directorship. I actively work on my director practice and increase the knowledge, experience, and merits involved. I keep everything active, up to date, and successful on my part, and the rest depends on opportunities and possibilities that come from how I am worked with concerning my professional position and its merits.

You can communicate to me by sending communication to my email address and/or my physical mailing address.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

09-12-2019

OCTOBER 2019 - Reporting from Director**October 1, 2019**

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I have my latest compilation that I am also including here. You should regard it and treat it right. The work is from a true United States Government director level of before being dealt with and requiring to be dealt with rewardingly and honorably. The work is from a person who is a dignitary of the United States. This person is supposed to be, and is worthy of being, referred to as "Your Honor" because of the person having historic and significant United States government and societal involvement through many years.

You know there has been material here from me through the past more than two years. You know the important national issues involved have remained present and requiring to be dealt with through the past more than two years. An example is the student loans issue, which you know about and have been significantly informed of through the past more than two years. You know that you do not have these important national issues, such as the student loans, dealt with yet. You know you have to do something that you have not done yet and will not do until you did, such as communicating to me relevantly and following-up accordingly after I respond.

I did a computer print-out of everything I communicated to here at the White House through the past more than two years. I included everything and it became one big PDF file. I did not send that this time, but perhaps, I will send that next time. The main point is that I, of course, have all the material, and all involving it is known and understood and certainly not the opposite of that.

I do not want to be just saying things and not being regarded. I do not want my time, work, and merits wasted or devalued. There needs to be an adequacy of what there is supposed to be on the part of parties additional to myself. This situation has continued for entirely too long. I expect to see progress, and I should not have to be excessively explaining about everything. I should just be seeing plenty that there is supposed to be and especially in any relevant direction involving me, a person well proven and established on a United States Government director level through more than the past two years.

I cannot just be not dealt with. This situation needs further work. Merely being not dealt with when I could have, should have, or even had to be or needed to be dealt with has been a primary occurrence I complained about in past years. The situation is not good for anyone involved when I am not dealt with. For a situation that is good and best for all involved, I have to be dealt with.

Look at what has been going on right after the summer season. I should have been dealt with through the summer 2019 season in more than no way at all. Instead, I was left still not dealt with concerning the important areas involved, and the summer finished with me still not dealt with yet. The Trump impeachment proceedings then started. I may say whatever about it to counter it or defend Trump, but then again remember, I am still not dealt with yet. The

impeachment proceedings, and everything like that is what you of the Trump administration get for not advancing at dealing with me by the end of another season, the summer of 2019.

I told you in February 2019 that there will be nothing but bickering in Washington, D.C. if I am not dealt with concerning the important national issues involved and relevant to me. You are getting the constant bickering. How do you like it? You are getting what you deserve because you still do not have me adequately dealt with at all yet, although as you know, I have more than two years of relevant materials here at the White House in the present era.

Take a look at what I said in February 2019.

As can be seen, there will be just constant bickering through the next two years if the areas I presented, and with me included, are not dealt with adequately in the times. Without these areas, and myself, included, you of this Trump administration do not have enough that has substance, merits, righteousness, and worthiness to hold up and advance decisively. You have far fewer supporters than you otherwise would have because with this situation, you are losing and not gaining supporters. Making more enemies and less allies is not wise through important times, and securing allies and remaining successful and progressive is the wiser direction and has the most value. (From Mallon, February 2019 concerning US – Director)

Remember, I am a very important and historic person of this country, U.S.A. I truly am supposed to be referred to in a dignitary way, such as “Your Honor.” I have been in-fact working on doctoral and director levels through the past years. I am the founder of a department of the United States, the United States Department of Sovereignty. I am a very good person to deal with, and success is achieved when dealing in a good way with me.

As you know, I am saying about a newly established department of the United States Government. If considering 46 U.S.C. § 11503 (2019), involving consular officers, anyone expressing a skew, negative perspective, or lessening view of the honorable U.S. department or any of the merits going into its beginnings, is engaging in a form of insubordination. Such inappropriate actions are to be thought little of, discredited, countered, and overcome by use of all available means and including collaborating with associates of external departments, agencies, or organizations (46 U.S.C. § 11503, 2019). In addition, of course, this code is not to be used in reverse to discredit or skew the legitimate, worthy, and honorable parties or departments. Any such reverse use is to be seen through and acted against as the law of the code proclaims.

As you also know, and as I have extensively said, my materials have been here at the White House through more than the past two years and involve the past more than a quarter-century, before due honor or reward. Any contrast of the long-enduring and well laid foundation requiring due reward and honor is a form of insubordination. Insubordination of this type is noted in 18 U.S.C. § 2387 (2019) and is associated with anyone influencing in any way anyone else to contrast, disregard, or dissociate. This code mainly applies to the U.S. defense divisions and personnel but also expands in the code to “the United States or any department or agency thereof” (18 U.S.C. § 2387, 2019). Also, contempt is indirectly related to this form of insubordination, and directly related is conspiracy to do such things (18 U.S.C. § 2387, 2019).

I can still be presented with something for me to consider. You here of the Trump administration know that I made a claim and an offer. I still demand my claim and hold my offer open to possibilities at my discretion. I presented an invoice for my U.S. director level pay, and I want my *Student Loans Relinquishment Honor*. My United States directorship needs to be secured along with my more than 30-year career with the United States Government.

Please make any relevant presentations to me as efficiently as possible.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

NOVEMBER 2019 - Reporting from Director**November 1, 2019**

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

The Trump administration would be successful if dealing with me and advancing the situation involving me and the areas that I expressed about to here through more than the past two years. If the Trump administration continues without dealing adequately with me, the situation will not be successful for the Trump administration, especially when considering the true Trump administration levels and the opportunity costs involved. I am providing additional chances for the Trump administration to look good, be more prestigious, to work out the ongoing situation successfully, and to go into the new year of 2020 very substantially on top, ahead, decisive, and without being anywhere near question. Such a positive level will only be reached if the Trump administration successfully deals with me in regard of the aspects I mentioned about through the past more than two years: myself and my career with and involving the United States, quarter-century involved before dealing with the matters officially or rewardingly; student loans and the state of American education and with me receiving my already earned doctorate and *Student Loans Relinquishment Honor*, and the United States Department of Sovereignty along with its two arms of the Sovereign Service of the United States of America and the Sovereign Space Agency of the USA.

Department Requires Money

The United States Department of Sovereignty requires money from the United States treasury. The United States Government has to allocate funds to the department. The

department has to be funded. The department needs funds for many reasons. United States dollars money is the standard currency of the United States and functional in the United States and elsewhere, and because the money is in official use to serve many vital purposes, the United States Government has to have money allocated to the United States Department of Sovereignty.

Remember that the U.S. Department of Sovereignty belongs to the United States Government. This department is a part of the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government should take care of it because it is the U.S. Government's. The recently established U.S. Department of Sovereignty belongs to the U.S. Government because that is how the department was made. The department was made of the United States Government and was made to be within the Executive branch of the United States Government.

The same situation is so with the Sovereign Service of the United States and the Sovereign Space Agency of the United States. These divisions also belong to the United States Government and are divisions of the United States Department of Sovereignty. These were originally neglected areas of the United States and, after more than a quarter-century, were established as formal and official divisions of the United States Government. Securing these areas to be up on modern progressive and successful national governance levels is vitally important to this country, U.S.A. Ensuring appropriate levels of funds are provided to the U.S. department and its two divisions is one important way of the U.S. Government handling the department well and being successful concerning all involved with the department and its two divisions.

As I have said, the United States Government is the owner of the department and its two divisions, but a logical question to consider is who owns the United States

Government. Of course, the people of the United States, who are United States Citizens, own the United States Government. The government is of the people, by the people, and for the people. The phrase of “the people” here is meant as being the people of the United States and who are United States Citizens. The people working in and for the government are people working for the people of the United States, who are the owners accordingly of the United States Government.

In addition, most of the people working in and for the United States Government are simultaneously people of the United States and United States citizens and, thus also, owners of the United States Government. The people working in and for the United States Government work in various professional positions and on various professional levels, have professional skills and credentials, and deserve and have to be able to function on appropriate professional levels as compared to everyone else. There is rank, authority, knowledge, experience, and expertise, and sometimes status can be very important for ensuring there is what there is supposed to be and is a right situation. I myself am not the exclusive owner of the United States Department of Sovereignty or its two divisions of the Sovereign Service and the Sovereign Space Agency. I am the founder and director, though, so have and have to have significant rank and authority concerning the department and its divisions.

For the rank and authority, or anything involving the department, to be taken seriously or known and treated as being credible, an equivalency has to be maintained with anyone of anywhere in the United States Government or anywhere else. The department and its divisions are a part of the United States Government and belong to the United States Government and the American people, but my status has to be respected and honored

concerning the administration of the department. That means that for the best of all involved, everything cannot be left up to everyone else, and what I express officially, and perhaps for many valid and important reasons, has to stand and be upheld following. I am handling a newly established department of the United States along with the department's two divisions. I administer something that is significant directly and indirectly to the country, the United States Government, and masses of American people.

Investment into Collaborative Projects

The department needs funding from the United States treasury. The Trump administration needs to achieve more notable accomplishments, such as progression of the bolstering of the United States/Mexico boundary line. I propose that parts of the Department of Sovereignty be funded for projects such as the Sovereignty Department superhighway along parts of the United States/Mexican border. Other parts will have Department of Sovereignty shopping malls and sports stadiums. Some areas may have normal walls of various designs. In other areas will be invisible walls made of electronics, signs, and surveillance and warning mechanisms.

To work out these areas would be a way for the United States Government to provide appropriate funding to the Department of Sovereignty and to work out the United States/Mexico boundary wall situation at the same time. Further, there would be important work being done and accomplishments being achieved, so any question of removing involved people from office would be far surpassed. In addition, this country's reputation among other countries would improve and enable this country to have more influence among other countries. Funding the United States Department of Sovereignty is a wise investment for the United States Government to make, and that is in addition to the United

States providing due pay and reward earned meritoriously. I will float out an estimate of \$5 billion for a reasonable funding amount that should be as efficiently as possible paid from the United States treasury to the United States Department of Sovereignty.

Pay to Personnel

The United States Department of Sovereignty needs the \$5 billion secured as soon as possible because the personnel members have to be paid. In addition to standard pay, there has to be an ability for the department to include incentives, rewards, and honors. An adequacy of a progressively successful career level has to be included, which means there has to not only be a quality career continuance presently but also promotions possible. One of the incentives necessary to include immediately is student loan payments and payoffs. The only way any of this appropriate national level professional functioning is going to be possible for the recently established U.S. Department of Sovereignty, along with its divisions, is if the U.S. Government secures the start-up funding of \$5 billion.

Funding Goes to Department

By the way, when the United States Government pays the \$5 billion to the U.S. Department of Sovereignty, that money is not paid to me personally. That is not money for me myself. I want my pay of my salary, which has been at the rate of \$135,000 annually, as I previously presented in the invoice that I sent a few months ago. I want the first \$100,000 to go to the student loans, as I previously communicated about, and so that I can get a *Student Loans Relinquishment Honor* for the rest of my student loans. With me saying about, and requesting that, the U.S. Government pay \$5 billion to the U.S. Department of Sovereignty, I am just doing my job as director of the department. The department needs its

start-up funding so that a full and successful establishment is possible on United States national levels.

Caution as to Appropriateness for Major Claims and Requests

I understand any concern there may be with claims and requests for large money amounts. As you of the Trump administration should certainly know by now after more than two years of materials from me, I am well proven, and the department is well founded. There still should be and would be questions about any other party, person, or group making such claims. If any party, person, or group makes any claims or requests like mine, the situation is absolutely highly suspicious and could be against many laws and rights of the United States. I declare full appropriateness for such suspicions and warnings, but also, at the same time and because of as long as I have already been through with so much from me, I declare and expect full immunities and authority for me concerning the United States Government or any other party.

Not Military

I also wanted to express about the U.S. Department of Sovereignty not being militaristic. This department is not a military area or unit and is, rather, a department advanced to higher levels than needing to be militaristic. The department is an advanced civilization division. This department is where all of history is known and understood to the fullest degrees possible and is where the higher qualities of life are preserved and kept functional on proper levels and in right times and places. Ideals, such as knowledge, wisdom, truth, happiness, prosperity, freedom, fairness, and honorability, are maintained.

This department is not against the United States military but has a view and practice of the military not being dominant and, instead, being something turned to only when needed and to ensure being needed as little as possible. The department views and uses the United States military as guards and as there always in the background somewhere on call for when and if needed. Everything of the United States society cannot be militaristic and was never meant to be. The military life and military society are not new and better ways, and the better ways are the peaceful, prosperous, happy, progressive, and fulfilling times and form of society.

This department does not rule out everything else, though. The intent is to advance another level so that higher levels can be achieved and maintained in unison with this department, the U.S. Department of Sovereignty. I myself am the director of this department, and I have to be understood as having a rank and status comparable to any United States military leader/commander. In fact, eventually after my directorship has advanced and continued successfully for a good amount of time and after the Trump administration, I may myself be worked with concerning the U.S. Presidency and have all military leaders report to me. As the U.S. Constitution describes, the military leaders would report to me, provide me with their important information, views and abilities, and do their jobs on their levels as I myself do not do.

The U.S. Department of Sovereignty has all its favorable qualities and will maintain them. Nothing involved is to be thought too little of or treated as being on any lesser level than right. There is a serious side of everything. Proper respect and honor are already due the department although everything is not and never will be fully expressed all the time. More than a quarter-century was gone through before this department was established, and

there were many very difficult times that were more than usual and were not just what would be thought.

The idea was to overcome all that was bad and to keep it overcome. The intent is to never let these negative things ever happen again. There have been the various branches, divisions, and agencies of the U.S. Government along with many other entities/establishments of the society, but they do not serve all purposes. None of them are ruled out, and some of their services may be used. Originally, many directions were gone, although adequate recognition or opportunities were scarce, and seasons and years were gone through before relevant work was done.

Not Judicial Branch of Government

This department is just not the military, and that is like the branch of government is not the judicial branch of government. The law is not ruled out, but we are not just working with the law. This is not a court and, as said, is not the judicial branch of government. Services from other areas, such as the judicial branch, may be sought and used, and there may be many equivalencies, but this department is separate from these other areas while also accordingly unified and with equal to if not greater authority.

Not Congress or Legislative Branch of Government

Further, since I am making these descriptions, this department is not the legislative branch of government. Everything here, including anything that could be considered equivalent to law, does not have to go through the Congress for approval or advisory. This department is a self-regulating entity and is in a separate branch of government. This department does respect the Congress and all involved with the legislative branch of

government, and may seek and use that branch's services but, also, is separate and expects reciprocity from the Congress and the legislative branch of government. In summation, the U.S. Department of Sovereignty is not the military, the judicial branch of government, the Congress, or the legislative branch of government, but is simultaneously unified and independent and is bestowed with equivalent authority.

Conclusion

You of the Trump administration should achieve some progress here in my direction. Some advancement is needed and can be achieved without doing everything all at once. I continue working as I have been and would not do anything else without having something to base notice on. I would give adequate notice and advance the situation at the right pace if I had a basis for such action. I request that you provide me with adequate confirmation of your good intent, mutuality, and contribution to this side, our side, and the real United States by the end of the day before Thanksgiving 2019.

I will then follow-up with that basis ongoing. If that basis is not there, I will necessarily follow-up with that, which will be nothing new and the same situation. You of the Trump administration should achieve better than that same situation, and if you do, I will efficiently work with your presentation. There would then be continued progress and, most likely, at an increasing pace into and through the year of 2020. You of the Trump administration affect your situation along with mine and the situation of others, so please do provide me with my requested confirmation before Thanksgiving 2019.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

11-01-2019

November 5, 2019 concerning US - Director - b

Remember that I request a response before Thanksgiving 2019.

Thank you

11-05-2019

November 13, 2019 concerning US - Director - c

Hello John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I am not sure how long the Trump administration will need to secure the start-up funding of \$5 billion for the newly established United States Department of Sovereignty, so something adequately successful should be worked out in the meantime and before Thanksgiving Day 2019. There is much important that the Department of Sovereignty has to accomplish but cannot fully work at until the department is sufficiently funded. Being that these areas are so important, I request that the relevant White House personnel reasonably converse about these areas and that there be a relevant meeting or two among the key White House staff, including Donald Trump. Donald Trump has to be responsible in these areas because it is his job and this is the Trump administration, and these areas are significantly effectual to the present and continuing United States Presidency. I recommend that these U.S. Department of Sovereignty matters be immediately reported to Donald Trump, and I am continuing to act accordingly upon that reasonable expectation of any White House affiliates in positions of responsibility being far more than well enough informed.

The borderland wall area has to be advanced at being planned out. No plan or designs are adequate without the U.S. Sovereignty Department's official stamp of approval. All kinds of developments, such as a superhighway, sports stadiums, shopping malls, national parks, farms/ranches, and amusement resorts, have to be considered and laid out in some kind of preliminary blueprints. The United States Government can begin the work and advance it but cannot do all the work because American local and national companies have to make their bids at having involvement. The independent enterprises will be throughout the borderland and the U.S. Government oversees/protects and is paid taxes when the companies are making money.

This borderland area will be so inspiring in so many ways that Mexico will want to contribute. Mexico will feel ashamed and embarrassed if not contributing to its side of the border along the entire borderland. Further, Mexico would not feel right or welcome to do business there or engage in any activity there if it does not also contribute to the development of the borderland. We can let Mexico contribute and welcome Mexico to contribute reasonably, mutually, and successfully. Other countries will want to and can contribute also by establishing some worthy form of international business and establishments.

Much is good, nice, and favorable about this borderland design the U.S. Department of Sovereignty proclaims, but some security and rights aspects still have to be taken into consideration. The entire borderland area will continue having full United States protections concerning national security and immigration. The United States of America side of the border has to stay the American (American nationality, U.S.A. American) side of the border and fully be American. The language used officially and predominantly has to remain the American language, which is the form of language derived from old English. In addition, American money will remain the primary currency used.

Other issues have to be advanced at settling, too. Fires should not reach an out of control level in California. Such fires should be detected and extinguished when they are small and at an early stage, and there should be no homelessness or excessive lack of shelter in any area of the United States. The state of American education has to be settled along with the student loans issue, and the student loans situation has also been communicated about to here, the Trump administration, through the past more than two years and needs to be accounted for responsibly. The national debt has to be gotten into manageable control, too, and everything going in these directions will tremendously help the natural environment, as nothing else people can do will.

I eagerly anticipate progress being made in these important directions and emphatically express to present a sufficiency of relevant communication to me before Thanksgiving 2019. Please ensure, as reasonably expected, that Donald Trump receives this vital information and is conversed with about these areas communicated to the Trump Administration through the past more than 2 years, and I again request a relevant response by before Thanksgiving 2019 (Thursday, November 28, 2019), which is the third Thanksgiving in this present era with a constructive response due me.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

11-13-2019

November 20, 2019 concerning US - Director - d

I still have to receive communication back. I did not receive any additional communication yet. I just wanted to remind you that I requested communication back by before the end of the day of November 27, 2019.

Please send your communication to me at my email address.

Thanks

11/20/2019

November 25, 2019 concerning US - Director - e

I can be reached at my email address anytime today.

Thanks

11/25/2019

November 26, 2019 concerning US - Director - f

Again today, I am reachable by email. Telephone is possible, too, and a message can be left if I do not answer.

I am saying about my reachability because I requested a response by before the end of the day of November 27th, which the day before Thanksgiving 2019, the third Thanksgiving directly relevant. Additionally, on November 1st, 2019, I originally made this request for a timely response.

The postal service can also be used, but the timing would be a factor. The only way to assuredly be efficient would be to use the email or telephone.

Thanks

11/26/2019

November 27, 2019a concerning US - Director - g

I can be communicated back to at any time today. Business hours are until 5:00 PM. The only way you can get through to me within business hours today would be if you call or email.

You can still use the same communication means for after 5:00 PM. An email still gets through, and a message can be left on the telephone. The timeliness aspect, though, would be to communicate relevantly before 5:00 PM today. The reason for the timeliness aspect of before 5:00 PM today is that I made this request on November 1st and specified the November 27th time limit, for the third year and concerning important official, professional, and national matters.

Thanks

11/27/2019

November 27, 2019b concerning US - Director - h

I did not receive a response from you or anyone of the Trump administration.

You can still respond by means of email, telephone, or postal service. The response will not be timely, however.

Remember, there are important national, professional, and official matters involved and that have to be taken care of successfully. I would have preferred a timely response, and that is why I said about that since November 1st, 2019 and emphasized that this was the third Thanksgiving in this same way in the present era. The claim I made has to be taken care of, along with the associated offer; the student loans have to be taken care of, and the significant aspects of the past two years of relevant information have to be addressed and settled. These issues, and all others accordingly, remain requiring successful advancement. Some right things done would be best.

A relevant response can be made to me if intending anything other than or in addition to my emphasis to you here of the Trump administration.

Thank you,

Director

11/27/2019-b

DECEMBER 2019 - Reporting from Director

December 1, 2019 concerning US - Director - a

Hello Donald Trump, John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

Important matters actively requiring and effectual to people have to be taken care of responsibly whether or not assigned people and systems are not serving their purposes. Excessive amounts of time cannot just be let to merely go by without a situation being right, reasonable, or acceptable. The situational circumstances of the times should be with far more than enough success and with plenty in right ways concerning people involved. People with rights and interests and who are well proven have to be able to stand their ground and have a say without being skewed or discredited. When seasons and years go by with something requiring attention, the time passage is too long and something right has to be responsibly done, as was done here and expressed in the following that has to stand and be upheld.

U.S. Constitutional Laws the U.S. Department of Sovereignty Uses for Authority

The legislative branch of the United States Government makes laws (U.S. Const., art. 1). The judicial branch of the United States Government evaluates laws (U.S. Const., art. 3). The executive branch of the United States Government executes laws (U.S. Const., art. 2). The Department of Sovereignty is a self-regulating, independent, and unified department of the United States and is within the Executive branch of the United States Government (U.S. Const., Amendments 9 & 10). The U.S. Department of Sovereignty is the execution of laws, functioning of laws, preservation and upholding of laws, and is based on, built on, founded and established on many laws and including U.S. Constitutional laws, Articles 1 to 3, and Amendments 9 and 10.

The Department of Sovereignty is the law in action, essence, and form. The department works at upholding, preserving, proclaiming, administering, defending, advancing, and securing the U.S. law of years, decades, and centuries far beyond any short-term contrasts, skews, or negations. The legal basis of the U.S. Department of Sovereignty is law made in the legislature (1789), interpreted in the judicial branch, and enforced in the executive branch of the United States Government.

The Department of Sovereignty does not only work with law. The U.S. Department of Sovereignty is the law and does not have to always work with the law to be or function with the law. The department naturally carries out the law that the department was based on and is of its essence. The department may on occasion be caused to work with the law. When everything that is not just law is caused to be not enough, the department may be caused to work with law, as was made necessary.

Purely Good Nature of Department

Rights, wealth, ideals, successes, and advancements are also involved with the Department of Sovereignty. The department is loaded with good qualities and good intents. The foundation of this department is pure goodness and the core policy includes always maintaining the founding virtues and original intents. The purpose of the department will always be to do

good things and to steadfastly continue with noble characteristics. The department was truly designed to be all the best for all the best, and that means truly and beyond anything contrary.

United States Department of Sovereignty not to be Walked on or Treated Contemptuously

The United States Department of Sovereignty will continue as it is and doing what it does in all the good and favorable ways, but also, an adequacy of respect and honor is always due. An excessive contrast is never appropriate or wise because there will ultimately always be what there is supposed to be concerning the department. There should not be any person, group, or entity acting with bad intent concerning the department. No one should try to get over on the department or attempt to take advantage of the department because any such party will incur loss far greater than any gain. Like the department is a form of law, the department is a form of justice, and, thus, any end result of the department will be justice.

When it comes to anything involving the department, a person, group, or entity just has to have right intent and do good things. Then everything will be alright and at best concerning the person, group, or entity and the department. The department strives for consistency in these ways. Maintaining steadiness and consistency in these ways is a part of the nature of the department. How any person, group, or entity does things is how things concerning or involving the department will be done to that person, group, or entity.

Rights of People

The following is a law that involves rights of people (Americans/U.S. Citizens). The Congress made the law back in approximately 1789. This is the amendment that says the people have rights and that nothing in the constitution voids or lessens those rights.

Amendment 9 – The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.

Therefore, understood is to be that anything in the U.S. Constitution does not negate or lessen other rights of people. Nothing of the Constitution was meant to take away from any rights people have or to nullify rights.

Power of People

The following law involves power of people. The Congress also made this law back in approximately 1789. The amendment says that powers the Constitution does not allocate to the United States or does not disallow are preserved for the states, and if not to the states, then following to the people.

Amendment 10 – The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

Therefore, understood is that powers the Constitution does not give to the United States, or disallow, stay with the states firstly or to the people secondly.

Status of U.S. Department of Sovereignty

These areas mentioned about concerning the U.S. Constitution are not just of right now or sometime in the future. The present times and future times are included, but also the Department of Sovereignty is already based on a foundation of the past more than a quarter-century. These areas have gone through the appropriate local levels of cities and states. These areas have gone through United States levels of Congressional. These areas have gone through judicial levels of U.S. Courts up to the Supreme Court of the United States, and additionally, these areas have more than a quarter-century background foundation involving the White House in Washington, D.C. These U.S. Department of Sovereignty areas were handled in the ways I described and nothing better and nothing worse. Therefore, expressed was as said in that this is the law and is the United States. The Department of Sovereignty was some of the latest action taken and necessarily so. Because this action was necessarily taken, it has to be dealt with respectfully and honorably. That is the law and the United States.

With this being described as the law and the United States, nothing inappropriate is meant. Nothing here is contrasting or against the law or the United States. The opposite is the situation. This action done, and established in the 2019 summer season, is in favor of the law and the United States. To do well in these areas would make everything involved with the United States more lawful and prosperous.

This is the side of the law and of the United States. More than a quarter-century is involved with it, and it took more than a quarter-century for it to reach its current level and state. All here involved with the U.S. Department of Sovereignty is not fully secure yet. The level the newly established department is on was reached and further securing is requiring and essential. The department has not yet even been funded, but a request for \$5 billion start-up funding was already made and is still presently advancing, although there is not yet a definite completion time.

The U.S. Department of Sovereignty, along with its two arms - Sovereign Service of the United States of America and the Sovereign Space Agency of the United States of America, is nothing to contrast or argue about. The Department of Sovereignty is something to treat well and to advance as much as possible. The department is something that is a positive addition, a success achieved, and a very substantial reality that has much great potential in and for the United States. All kinds of advancements are possible with the U.S. Department of Sovereignty and its benefits added to the United States and civilization.

Form of Security concerning U.S. Department of Sovereignty

As said in other places, the department of Sovereignty is a part of the U.S. Government. The department is a separate, independent, and unified division of the United States Government and is within the Executive branch of the government. The department is, is based on, preserves,

and executes law, laws, and justice of and concerning the United States. The department is not limited to law and does not exclusively work with law because of not being the or within the judicial or legislative branches of the U.S. Government. The department of Sovereignty should always adequately be treated and regarded appropriately.

The U.S. Department of Sovereignty is a part of the United States and its government. Thus, the department belongs to the United States, but that is the real United States and its government. If any person, group, or entity does not deal right or well with the department, that person, group, or entity is not dealing well with the United States. If the person, group, or entity is not dealing with the real United States, the person, group, or entity cannot deal with the Department of Sovereignty. The Department of Sovereignty has to be dealt with, though, and not the person, group, or entity not dealing with the real United States or the Department of Sovereignty.

All areas of the U.S. Department of Sovereignty are subject to review, adjustment, starting, or stopping by the department founding director. The same applies to interactions of the Department of Sovereignty with the other divisions of the executive branch and the other branches of the U.S. Government. The same applies to the external people, groups, or entities of the United States or elsewhere, media included. This department's background went through more than 25 years before the founding establishment in the summer of 2019 so has to, including by law, be beyond arbitrariness or momentary situations. The department has to truly be and be treated as being a Sovereign Department of the United States, nothing else or less.

Conclusion

I hereby **challenge** Donald Trump himself, along with the rest of the Trump administration, to communicate back to me by before Christmas 2019, which is the third Christmas in this same way in the present era. I dare you to put more than nothing there by before the end of December 24th, 2019. Do not be scared or misdirected. You should not be afraid to or misguided from doing something good, constructive, successful, and worthy. You are hereby notified of this **challenge** directly made to you, Donald Trump, along with the rest of the Trump administration, to relevantly communicate back to me and put more than nothing there before Christmas 2019, the third Christmas in this same way in the present era.

Eagerly awaiting your presentation,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

12-01-2019

December 6, 2019 concerning US - Director - b

Attachments were supposed to be included here but were not. I am resending this material with the attachments included, and I also additionally express a little more as follows.

Hello Donald Trump, John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

As you are aware, I challenged Donald Trump to relevantly communicate back to me before Christmas 2019. I, again, dare Donald Trump to appropriately communicate to me before Christmas 2019. This Christmas is the third Christmas in this same way in the present era concerning Donald Trump, the Trump administration, along with myself and the rest of the United States.

Come on now; take up the challenge. I can say for sure that if Donald Trump, along with the Trump administration, relevantly communicates to me before Christmas 2019, that shows worthiness of being there in the important and high-ranking position. Logically, if Donald Trump, along with the Trump administration, does not relevantly communicate to me before Christmas 2019, that would show unworthiness of being there in the important and high-ranking position of the U.S. Presidency. Such reasoning is not difficult to understand. These are important national matters, and seasons and years should not pass by without a sufficiency of handling the concerns involved.

As said, this is the third Christmas in this same way in the present era with the issues relevant to me being active and with successful and important material from me at the White House. This is the third Christmas with issues relevant to me involving Donald Trump, the Trump administration, and the United States. This is the third Christmas that you here of the Trump administration directly know that these issues are active and requiring. You also directly

know that these issues are not only involving Christmas or this year's Christmas but, also, went through the whole year and the past three years, too. I hope you get some relevant things done before you of the Trump administration, Donald Trump included, go on a holiday and that you do not just get nothing done in these important directions and then go on a holiday.

You understand that you do not have the situation secured. You have many important matters left actively requiring and in serious jeopardy. The jeopardy is unsettling and is not anything to take lightly or contentedly. Too long an amount of time is involved, has gone by, and affects the situation, and unquestionably, the amount of time involved is unacceptable. All this of the past quarter-century involved is not just in Donald Trump's or the Trump administration's directions, but this is now in the present era three years of these aspects in Donald Trump's and the Trump administration's directions.

Before the summer of 2017, I communicated appropriately to the White House concerning a relevant professional position involving me. All was appropriate on my part. I followed-up appropriately and accordingly. Appropriate follow-up includes through 2017 to Christmas 2017, through the entire year of 2018 to Christmas, and then continuing through the year of 2019 to now, which is coming up on the third Christmas in the present era. I request that you, Donald Trump and the Trump administration, relevantly respond to me to show you belong continuing on U.S. Presidency levels rather than showing the opposite of that.

Before the 2019 summer season, I said that the summer is coming up and what about working out something relevant. There is the Fourth of July and more time some people, such as myself, have in the summer. Nothing was worked out instead, and only other things that were totally irrelevant were done instead while everyone truly involved was left out of everything and still not even dealt with at all. I then necessarily so took action and established the United States

Department of Sovereignty, along with its two arms, Sovereign Service and Sovereign Space Agency of the United States. Because of you of the Trump administration, I had to exercise my United States official powers and put forth the Department of Sovereignty, and now you have to deal with it.

I also made a claim and an offer. The claim is for my salary as a United States Director. The offer was to work out my pay along with the student loans requiring. Student loans are another one of the important national issues that have been actively requiring through the past three years. When a United States director's pay and a Student Loans Relinquishment Honor are involved, the situation has to be taken seriously and worked out successfully.

I stay busy and successful. I do not have time to waste on insufficiencies, misinformation, or misguidance. I will continue looking in these relevant directions and will either see something there or not, including before Christmas 2019. I recommend for Donald Trump and the Trump administration to communicate relevantly back to me by before Christmas 2019. If you of the Trump administration do communicate back to me, I will review it and deal with it accordingly, but if you do not, please consider for yourselves what team you are on and what you are doing there.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

December 10, 2019 concerning US - Director - c

Is there anything additional here yet? Is there any relevant communication that you have for me yet?

I still request relevant communication back by before Christmas 2019, which is the third Christmas in this same way in the present era. By the same way, I mean that these areas I communicated about are active and requiring rewards and honors due since the beginning of the year and before Christmas, a major holiday at the end of the year.

(2017, 2018, 2019)

Professional position

Invoice (with time of almost three years involved)

Claim (made after almost three years)

Offer (made after more than two years, upheld since summer 2019, and under my discretion)

Student loans (including in regard to professional position, invoice, claim, and offer)

Official communication

Any other area

History

Credentials

U.S. Department of Sovereignty (with two agencies)

You can still communicate back to me by email. The postal service can be followed-up with, too, but email should be used for anything with peak speed of receipt intended.

12/10/2019

December 12, 2019 concerning US - Director - d

Hello Donald Trump, John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

What is it going to be? Will there be something by Christmas 2019 or not in this Trump administration direction? What I mean by something being there is more than nothing relevant being presented to me in regard of my materials of the past years. I mean something that adds to the situation and enables progress and an improving situation. I mean something presented to me in an acceptable way, something that reaches me directly, something that is in my interests and mutual with me, and something that I would regard, be impressed by, and work with presently and continuing.

I presented a book of materials to here through the past approximately three years. Some of the materials have content that includes up to more than a quarter-century. Such time involved should be perceived wisely and understood in right ways. I handled everything involved as successfully as possible and as well, if not better, than anyone else would have and through all those times when I was not dealt with yet concerning any merits involved. I preserved everything optimally, and much that I preserved is important, remains important, and affects other areas. Much that I preserved would not be around or known about by other people if I had not done my job, which includes preservation of key components that are societally significant.

Because the present time involves the third Christmas coming up in this same way of relevant and important material from me there at the White House, I requested an adequacy of relevant communication be made back to me before Christmas 2019. I challenged and dared Donald Trump to put more than nothing there before Christmas 2019, and again, by more than nothing there I understandably meant something relevant, mutual, and constructive concerning the issues involved and the materials I presented. I am someone who is supposed to be pleased, rewarded, and honored. I earned my honors. I deserve and am worthy of my honors. A part of who I am and my character and personality is in terms of proper rewards and honors bestowed to me and me being pleased. That is who I am and how I am, and because of that and the time involved (third Christmas coming up) I reasonably want to and expect to see a sufficiency of what I should see by Christmas 2019.

If anything shows up for me to see and work with, I will efficiently review it and act on it in whatever way appropriate. If nothing shows up for me to see and work with, I will report about that and follow-up in that way. How hard is it to communicate to me? How difficult is it to deal with one person who is requiring to be dealt with and should be dealt with in rewarding and honorable ways? How long should a person have to go before being treated right and receiving

rewards and honors due? Remember that
I said about more than a quarter-century involved and this being the third Christmas in the
present era.

I request relevant communication being made back to me before Christmas 2019.

Up to December 12th, 2019

No communication made to me yet

Nothing presented to me

Nothing worked out with me

Relevant communication made to me

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

Relevant presentation made to me

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

What was worked out relevant to me

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -
Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -
United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

jmallon@Comcast.net
215-279-8580

12/12/2019

December 16, 2019 concerning US - Director - e

Hello Donald Trump, John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

The issues involved here should be taken care of responsibly in the immediate and continuing times. In the present era, I have had my material here at the White House since before the summer of 2017, more than two years straight through. I exhibited, through the more than two years, my credentials, knowledge, experience, rights, and potential concerning a director position with the United States Government. I made an invoice because the professional aspects, such as time and finances, have to be handled. I made a claim, and I also made an offer to pay \$100,000 on my student loans from my pay for being a director and contingent on me also receiving a *Student Loans Relinquishment Honor*.

I had to use my official authority as a United States Director to establish the United States Department of Sovereignty, along with two arms of the department. The department is a department of the United States but has not yet been funded or fully secured. I requested 5 billion dollars for start-up funding for the newly founded department of the United States. I continued with up to more than 25 years, more than a quarter-century, involving my work. I continued communicating and reporting to the White House through the past more than two years and maintained open communication lines for if anything was going to be communicated to me from anyone relevant to the Trump administration, including Donald Trump.

On November 1st, 2019, and continuing through November, I requested relevant communication be made to me before Thanksgiving, and there was nothing. That request for relevant communication was not just made right before Thanksgiving but was made through the entire month of November 2019. In the very beginning of December 2019, I communicated appropriately to the White House and, again, requested relevant communication be made back to me by before Christmas 2019. With that request, I challenged and dared Donald Trump to relevantly communicate back to me by before Christmas 2019. The relevant communication from Donald Trump or the Trump administration is presently still not in existence yet.

Christmas 2019 is coming up soon, but still no relevant communication has been made to me. None of these issues involved have been worked out on the part of the Trump administration, although everything requires as the time passes by, and this is the third Christmas coming up in this same way. There should be plenty more than nothing there by Christmas 2019. The Trump administration does not belong doing other things instead of taking care of these issues requiring or without including these issues in the important considerations. The Trump administration does

not belong damaging aspects involved with these issues by doing other things without dealing with these issues and regarding the important rights and interests involved.

You should communicate relevantly to me before Christmas 2019.

The issues that need to be addressed and worked out are (1) my professional position of a United States director, (2) the claim I made, (3) work involving up to more than a quarter-century, (4) student loans in terms of my offer, me receiving my *Student Loans Relinquishment Honor*, and the state of American education, (5) all involved with my excellent dissertation being worked out and my status being on an advanced doctoral level, (6) U.S. Department of Sovereignty being fully secured and funded (\$5 billion to start), and (7) my status and authority on United States professional levels.

Part Two

Christmas is coming up fast. This week is the last full week before Christmas. Is there going to be anything on the part of Donald Trump along with the Trump administration before Christmas 2019? There is nothing that Donald Trump or the Trump administration presented to me yet. I am still watching. I will continue watching, and if something relevant does show up presented to me, I will efficiently review it and follow-up accordingly.

With whatever there is, I continue to do my work faithfully. I continue in my official professional position of a United States director (Director of the U.S. Department of Sovereignty). I still presently continue without adequate support or funding, so I also continue to add on to my pay from the United States before the United States is reasonably paying me payments of my salary as the weeks go by. I continue to work in times of before the \$5 billion start-up funding for the newly established department has been paid. In these current times, I still have to continue working before I have been relevantly communicated to by Donald Trump or the Trump administration in more than 2 years or since before the summer of 2017.

The working is difficult like that. I work everything out, though. I keep on and maintain my United States director levels. I have to keep working with how things are, and I will not stray from this practice. I keep working for there to be better and progress, and I would prefer that, but it has to be there for real and can be there only if the matters involved are correctly and adequately dealt with. I have worked through the past more than a quarter-century in these ways, so I will continue until there is better and progress is made.

I have to continue working on United States director levels when no one is working with me or regarding me on such levels. I have to continue evaluating the situation of Donald Trump and the Trump administration dealing with the matters in these directions or not, and then I have to work out everything involved as best as can be for the situation. I have to continue preserving all involved with the background and potential of the meritorious aspects active and requiring in the years. I have to continue doing all this and more, as I have been for a very long time, and I have to decipher the occurrences and keep and make up to date and accurate reports. I have to continue my work of a U.S. director, even though presently predominantly concealed and camouflaged, in the times before I have been rewarded and honored, and I have to keep on looking for and earning the rewards and honors due me.

I have to stay concerned about everything. I have to stay aware of everything. I have to continue to know everything accurately beyond any inaccuracy on the part of other people. I have to stay on top of everything and remain active and successful. I have to also stay alert to the time elements involved.

My invoice that I previously sent goes to the end of the year, 2019. The money amount there is just over \$180,000. This money amount is catching up to the full amount of my student loans. The full amount of my student loans is not supposed to be just paid, though. I offered \$100,000 to be paid on my student loans from my accumulated director's pay, if the rest of the student loan amount is appropriately set as included in a well-deserved and vitally important ***Student Loans Relinquishment Honor.***

I also want a staff of people working in various capacities with the United States Department of Sovereignty. Some of these capacities could be with working for nothing in the department as the money for pay accumulates to pay off all or most of the person's student loans. As the person is not getting paid directly or actively by the United States or the U.S. Department of Sovereignty, the person can work at other jobs (nonconflicting jobs) and make money to afford expenses. After the student loans have been paid, the person can work on the person's level with the United States Department of Sovereignty and receive pay directly and actively. This process is another one of the student loans incentive mechanisms I want to have active for people working within the U.S. Department of Sovereignty and to add to securing the situation of student loans and education.

Plus, I still have an excellent dissertation that is not fully rewarded or honored yet as it was supposed to have been through the past years since 2012. I have to receive my doctorate I earned in 2012 and be regarded and secured as having done post-doctoral work through the recent 7

years to points of completion in June 2019. I have to securely have set my authority and status. I have to have my proper roles of honor, and perhaps, some honorary positions have to deliberately be worked out to set the situation on course. I have to be able to function on my levels, and being known and understood as already being on advanced doctoral levels, without needing to explain about and do everything all over again, is a purpose of the educational merits.

All this has been communicated about to here, the Trump administration at the White House, for a long time of seasons and years. I recently communicated since November 1st, 2019 and requested communication back. Through this whole month of December, I continued to request communication back, and now is a little more than a week before Christmas 2019. This Christmas 2019 is the third Christmas like this and is not the first, so my requests for relevant communication back are very reasonable and appropriate. For the second straight year, I challenged and dared Donald Trump, along with the Trump administration, to relevantly communicate back to me by before Christmas, and now Christmas 2019 will be here in only a little more than a week.

Watching and reporting accordingly,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -Λ-

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -Λ-

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

jmallon@comcast.net - 215-279-8580 - 15 N. School Lane, Souderton, PA 18964 -12-16-2019

December 18, 2019 concerning US - Director - f

I did not yet receive any communication back. If anyone from the Trump administration, including Donald Trump, wants to improve or advance the situation, relevant communication has to be made to me, preferably before Christmas 2019.

Thanks

12/18/2019

December 19, 2019 concerning US - Director - g

Hello Donald Trump, John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

The Christmas holiday is coming up quickly. I have still not yet received any relevant communication from anyone here of the Trump administration. Whatever you have here to present to me, you will have to do it soon, if you are going to make the presentation before Christmas 2019. Such a presentation would have to be made to me before Christmas 2019 even in order for Donald Trump to be successful with my challenge I made to him. For Donald Trump, along with the Trump administration, to be successful and exhibit good faith efforts, an adequacy of relevant communication has to be made to me before Christmas 2019, the third Christmas in this same way.

You here know that I presented material through the past more than two years concerning an important professional position involving the United States government. I had the extensive background, credentials, and potential at that time in the beginning of the present era. I upheld everything on my part through the more than two years and advanced everything on my levels consistently and steadily through all that time. I necessarily remained working independently through that time. For many reasons, relevant communication from here to me is more than due and appropriate and with my United States government director status being acknowledged and regarded.

I strongly recommend against merely taking or continuing with inaction. The action of inaction does not accomplish the achievements necessary or successful. Making everything here seem like it is not important or actively involved is not an ethical or meritorious practice. Obfuscation is not a right way of doing things when successful accomplishments have to be achieved. Obfuscating does no good to something new, different, or unsettled, and no one will be successful or content if you merely keep on taking inaction and obfuscating as if no one knows the difference or can do anything about it.

Important matters actively involved are requiring to be successfully maintained and worked out as the time passes by. The student loans issue in this country is one example. The student loans situation in its present form is rare in history and is presently continuing like this in after more than two years. Two recent law cases have been involved, and one of the law cases focused on the time passing by for more than two years without resolution as being excessive. My work, including as presented to the White House, also says of the more than two years involved and went through the time so has built in solutions to significantly contribute to handling national issues such as the student loans.

The U.S. Department of Sovereignty is one of the solutions. The department has a director (myself), and my student loans have to be worked out with my official professional position of director and me receiving my *Student Loans Relinquishment Honor*. The department needs people to work there from anywhere and everywhere in the country and including while continuing to do whatever kind of work the American/U.S. Citizen people do. Handling and eliminating student loans debt is one form of incentives the department can offer. Further, the department has two arms, so that is even more possibilities Americans/U.S. Citizens have by working with and for the U.S Department of Sovereignty.

I recommend doing better than continuing to take action of inaction and continuing to obfuscate everything or anything meritorious involved. Christmas is coming up, and there truly should be plenty in a right way for Christmas. Everything here honorable and worthy has gone through the whole year and requires recognition and advancement by Christmas so that the major holiday can truly be celebrated and enjoyed. If Donald Trump does not take part in excessive inaction and obfuscating the vital elements involved, then Trump, along with the Trump administration, would be successful at meeting my challenge to relevantly communicate to me by Christmas 2019. I presently am continuing to watch for the relevant communication, which will either be there or not by Christmas 2019, the third Christmas directly involved.

Watching and encouraging the best,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

jmallon@comcast.net - 215-279-8580 - 15 N. School Lane, Souderton, PA 18964 -12-19-2019

December 20, 2019 concerning US - Director - h

Because of the issues and time of the year being so important, I am resending you the email I sent yesterday.

I can be communicated back to at any time today by sending an email to me.

December 23, 2019 concerning US - Director - I

I still have open communication lines to receive relevant communication from the Trump administration.

I presently have still not received any relevant communication from anyone here of the Trump administration. There are only two more days left before the Christmas holiday of 2019. I can receive communication by email through this day. Tomorrow would be the last day before the Christmas holiday and would be the last point within the active time limits of before the Christmas holiday.

I continue to look for relevant communication back, especially because this is the third Christmas holiday coming up with these active issues requiring and communicated about to here through the previous days and months of the year. Even before this third Christmas, the communication has been consistent since 11-01-2019.

Please communicate relevantly to me any time today by sending an email.

Thank you

12/23/2019

December 24, 2019 concerning US - Director - j

Hello Donald Trump, John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

Today is technically the last day to communicate to me in a timely manner. The time limit set for Donald Trump and the Trump administration was by before Christmas 2019, which meant before the end of the business day on December 24, 2019.

I know that most people here of the Trump administration, including Donald Trump, already went on the holiday. Honestly speaking, professional people are not acting responsibly when not getting the work done and then going on a holiday. The work should be done before the holiday, and then you go on the holiday. With the Internet, there is still a possibility of working remotely and for Donald Trump, or someone of the Trump administration, to send an email to me before the end of the business day of December 24, 2019. I will not get my hopes up, but there is certainly the ability and, therefore, a possibility of the Trump administration to get an adequacy of the active work done.

There is nothing wrong with using the email. The postal service or the telephone can also still be used. A telephone call can be made to me. The postal service is possible, but there is some extra time involved with using the postal service. Additional to remember, though, is that this work and communication from me, has actively continued in this holiday season since November 1st, 2019.

The Trump administration is not being successful by not dealing adequately with the important matters involved in these directions. Take a look around, and see what has been going on. Most of what you see is not a result of the Trump administration achieving success, but there would be plenty of success to see if the Trump administration was truly being successful,

especially in these directions I have been communicating about. As a matter of policy and ethics, you do not leave people unsettled, not dealt with, abandoned, neglected, with nothing, and empty for Christmas. What kind of practice is that?

This Christmas is the third Christmas, and I abundantly emphasized that. To fail to deal with the important matters in my direction is not being successful. I do not know fully if Donald Trump himself is causing this situation or if it is being caused by the personnel department at the White House. The personnel department at the White House should not be negatively affecting American history because of not accomplishing its necessary achievements, not doing its work adequately, and not having the right people being set in the professional positions functionally in the active times.

I communicated about my work, but I am not dealt with yet. My work is not dealt with yet. I made a claim and an offer, but the claim and offer are not secured yet. Nothing is secured yet, and just about everything remains in jeopardy and requiring after seasons and years. I still am not giving notice to anyone or anywhere. I still have nothing to base any notice on for anytime soon, such as the new year or any close time thereafter. That is, at least, still the situation so far.

I requested communication back. You have not communicated back. By action of inaction, and not communicating back, all the issues do not just disappear, but rather, everything lingers on requiring and accumulating. Everything gets stuck or stagnant at the point of the party supposed to have done the work, added the input, or done the party's part. The good or success involved could be ruined by such occurrences. I requested communication back to alleviate this situation, but I did not receive any communication back yet. The present time is the last day

before Christmas and the third Christmas in this same way of work and issues from the whole year up to Christmas.

I can still be reached today by email or telephone. The preferred method for this purpose is email. If communication reaches me, I will efficiently work with it. If communication does not reach me by 5:00 PM today, nothing is there for me to work with and Donald Trump along with the Trump administration has not successfully met the challenge of adequately communicating to me before Christmas 2019. I am presently watching for if communication is made to me before Christmas 2019.

Merry Christmas,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

12/24/2019

December 24, 2019 concerning US - Director - k

I received no communication from Donald Trump or anyone of the Trump administration.

Trump, along with the Trump administration, has not successfully met the time limit of communicating back to me before Christmas 2019.

The situation was not secured before the Christmas holiday and was left still requiring and as described since before November 2019.

This was now the third Christmas without a sufficiency on the part of Donald Trump or the Trump administration and which caused even I myself to again hold back for Christmas and not present or work with the historically significant aspects involved.

For success to be achieved concerning the active issues, there cannot be such a lack of mutuality and lack of good faith efforts made.

Too much is left out right now and which leaves that much missing from adding to situations or aspects involved.

All continues as previously specified, but also, the matters involved have to be dealt with, including with appropriate rewards and honors bestowed and without merely excessive time going by.

Donald Trump or anyone of the Trump administration did not even say "Merry Christmas" to me.

Relevant communication back from Donald Trump, or someone representative of the Trump administration, is still requested.

Merry Christmas,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

jmallon@comcast.net - 215-279-8580

Appendix A: Claim and Offer

My claim is for the amount of money stated on my invoice, \$122,884.65 as of July 20, 2019. My offer is to pay my student loans or to a *Student Loans Solutions Fund* with that money after taxes and as long as the rest is discharged as a *Student Loans Relinquishment Honor*. The United States Government would pay me a gross pay of \$122,884.65 for my director position with the United States through the past 11 months. Appropriate taxes would be taken out of my gross pay, and I would be left with a net pay of approximately \$100,000. The \$100,000 from my net pay would be paid on my student loans or to a *Student Loans Solutions Fund*. The remainder of the student loans amount would be discharged as a *Student Loans Relinquishment Honor*.

07-01-2019

Claim and Offer (Updated)

My claim is for the amount of money stated on my invoice, \$122,884.65 as of July 20, 2019 (invoice money amount continues accumulating until claim is paid). My offer is to pay my student loans or to a *Student Loans Solutions Fund* with that money after taxes and as long as the rest is discharged as a *Student Loans Relinquishment Honor*. The United States Government would pay me a gross pay of \$122,884.65 (which continues to accumulate until claim is paid) for my director position with the United States through the past 11 months (now is December 2019, 16 months). Appropriate taxes would be taken out of my gross pay, and I would be left with a net pay of approximately \$100,000. The \$100,000 from my net pay would be paid on my student loans or to a *Student Loans Solutions Fund*. The remainder of the student loans amount would be discharged as a *Student Loans Relinquishment Honor*.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -\/-

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -\/-

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

07-01-2019

12-01-2019 – Claim amount as of end of December 2019 = \$190,000.06

Appendix B: Invoice

Invoice**Director - United States**

	11 Months	Annual pay rate of \$130,000, Sept. 2018 to Dec. 2018 and \$135,000 for Jan. through April 2019	Could and Should be paid
Weeks of Month		Pay rate of \$130,000 Yearly	Biweekly
	SEPTEMBER 2018		
	– Reporting from Director		
September 1, 2018	Official Communication in September 2018 - September 7, 2018	3 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,000.00
September 15, 2018	September 26, 2018: Communicated to University and White House		\$ 5,000.00
September 29, 2018	University doctoral program materials –		\$ 5,000.00

including a Formal
Complaint and
Judgment made

OCTOBER 2018

Reporting from

Director

October 13, 2018	October 10, 2018	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,000.00
October 27, 2018			\$ 5,000.00

NOVEMBER 2018

Reporting from

Director

November 10, 2018	November 1, 2018	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,000.00
	November 6, 2018		
November 24, 2018	November 14, 2018		\$ 5,000.00

November 20, 2018

November 22, 2018

November 28, 2018

DECEMBER 2018

Reporting from**Director**

December 8, 2018	December 3, 2018	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,000.00
	December 10, 2018		
	December 18, 2018		
December 22, 2018	December 24, 2018		\$ 5,000.00
	December 25, 2018		

JANUARY 2019**Reporting from****Pay rate of \$135,000 Yearly****Director**

January 2, 2019	1-7-2019 and 1-23- 2019	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,192.31
January 19, 2019	Auto Reply Received		\$ 5,192.31

FEBRUARY 2019**Reporting from****Director**

February 2, 2019	February 21, 2019 to into March 2019 –	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,192.31
------------------	---	-------------	-------------

State of Education in
United States

February 16, 2019 \$ 5,192.31

MARCH 2019

Reporting from

Director

March 2, 2019 March 7, 2019 3 Pay Weeks \$ 5,192.31

March 16, 2019 \$ 5,192.31

March 30, 2019 \$ 5,192.31

APRIL 2019

Reporting from

Director

April 13, 2019 April 3, 2019 2 Pay Weeks \$ 5,192.31

April 27, 2019 \$ 5,192.31

Total

Total Salary \$ 91,730.79

Could and Should be paid

MAY 2019**Reporting from****Director**

May 11, 2019	May 1, 2019	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,192.31
--------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

May 25, 2019			\$ 5,192.31
--------------	--	--	-------------

Total

Total Salary	\$ 102,115.41
---------------------	----------------------

Could and Should be paid**JUNE 2019****Reporting from****Director**

June 8, 2019	June 6, 2019	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,192.31
--------------	--------------	-------------	-------------

June 22, 2019			\$ 5,192.31
---------------	--	--	-------------

Total

Total Salary	\$ 112,500.03
---------------------	----------------------

Could and Should be paid**JULY 2019****Reporting from****Director**

July 06, 2019	July 1, 2019	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,192.31
---------------	--------------	-------------	-------------

July 20, 2019			\$ 5,192.31
---------------	--	--	-------------

Total

Total Salary \$ 122,884.65

Could and Should be paid

AUGUST 2019

Made to ongoing be

Fridays instead of

Saturdays

Reporting from

Director

August 02, 2019	August 1, 2019	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,192.31
-----------------	----------------	-------------	-------------

August 16, 2019			\$ 5,192.31
-----------------	--	--	-------------

August 30, 2019			\$ 5,192.31
-----------------	--	--	-------------

Total

Total Salary \$ 138,461.58

Could and Should be paid

SEPTEMBER 2019

Fridays instead of

Saturdays

Reporting from**Director**

September 13, 2019	September 12, 2019	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,192.31
September 27, 2019			\$ 5,192.31

Total**Total Salary \$ 148,846.20****Could and Should be paid****OCTOBER 2019***Fridays instead of**Saturdays***Reporting from****Director**

October 11, 2019	October 1, 2019	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,192.31
October 25, 2019			\$ 5,192.31

Total**Total Salary \$ 159,230.82****Could and Should be paid**

NOVEMBER 2019

Reporting from

Director

November 8, 2019	November 1, 2019	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,192.31
	November 5, 2019		
	November 20, 2019		
November 22, 2019	November 25, 2019		\$ 5,192.31
	November 26, 2019		
	November 27, 2019		

Total

Total Salary \$ 169,615.44

Could and Should be paid

DECEMBER 2019

Reporting from

Director

December 6, 2019	December 1, 2019	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,192.31
	December 6, 2019		
	December 10, 2019		

	December 12, 2019	
	December 16, 2019	
	December 18, 2019	
	December 19, 2019	
December 20, 2019	December 20, 2019	\$ 5,192.31
	December 23, 2019	
	December 24, 2019a	
	December 24, 2019b	
	December 25, 2019	Christmas Bonus \$ 10,000.00
		Total Salary \$ 190,000.06

Could and Should be paid

Appendix C: Records of Emails Sent to the Director of U.S. Presidential Personnel

The screenshot shows the 'Sent' folder in Xfinity Connect. The list contains 20 entries, all sent to 'PPO'. The subject lines are variations of 'December 2019 concerning US - Director - [letter]'. The dates range from 10/2/2019 to 12/25/2019. File sizes range from 2.1 MB to 332.2 KB.

From	Subject	Size	Status	Date
ppo@who.eop.gov	December 2019 concerning US - Director - k	186.6 KB	Sent	12/25/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	December 2019 concerning US - Director - j	178.6 KB	Sent	12/24/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	December 2019 concerning US - Director - i	164.2 KB	Sent	12/23/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	December 2019 concerning US - Director - h	157.8 KB	Sent	12/20/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	December 2019 concerning US - Director - g	153.2 KB	Sent	12/19/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	December 2019 concerning US - Director - f	87.3 KB	Sent	12/18/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	December 2019 concerning US - Director - e	202.6 KB	Sent	12/16/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	December 2019 concerning US - Director - d	59.0 KB	Sent	12/12/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	December 2019 concerning US - Director - c	2.1 MB	Sent	12/10/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	December 2019 concerning US - Director - b	2.1 MB	Sent	12/6/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	December 2019 concerning US - Director	2.0 MB	Sent	12/2/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	Fwd: November 2019 concerning US - Director - h	149.8 KB	Sent	11/27/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	November 2019 concerning US - Director - g	145.0 KB	Sent	11/27/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	November 2019 concerning US - Director - f	141.3 KB	Sent	11/26/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	November 2019 concerning US - Director - e	138.1 KB	Sent	11/25/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	Fwd: November 2019 concerning US - Director - d	136.2 KB	Sent	11/20/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	November 2019 concerning US - Director - c	133.5 KB	Sent	11/13/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	Fwd: November 2019 concerning US - Director	332.2 KB	Sent	11/5/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	November 2019 concerning US - Director	330.0 KB	Sent	11/1/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	Fwd: October 2019 concerning US - Director	1.6 MB	Sent	10/2/2019

The screenshot shows the 'Sent' folder in Xfinity Connect. The list contains 20 entries, all sent to 'PPO'. The subject lines range from 'Fwd: October 2019 concerning US - Director' to 'Information for points of interest - February to March 2019'. The dates range from 2/21/2019 to 10/2/2019. File sizes range from 21.7 KB to 455.7 KB.

From	Subject	Size	Status	Date
ppo@who.eop.gov	Fwd: October 2019 concerning US - Director	1.6 MB	Sent	10/2/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	October 2019 concerning US - Director	1.6 MB	Sent	10/1/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	September 2019 concerning US - Director	118.5 KB	Sent	9/13/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	August 2019 concerning US - Director 8-28-19	198.3 KB	Sent	8/28/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	August 2019 concerning US - Director 8-15-19	197.3 KB	Sent	8/15/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	August 2019 concerning US - Director	345.1 KB	Sent	8/1/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	July 2019 concerning US - Director - DAY EIGHTEEN	333.8 KB	Sent	7/25/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	July 2019 concerning US - Director - DAY FOURTEEN	598.2 KB	Sent	7/19/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	July 2019 concerning US - Director - DAY ELEVEN	275.0 KB	Sent	7/16/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	July 2019 concerning US - Director - DAY TEN	33.5 KB	Sent	7/15/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	July 2019 concerning US - Director - DAY NINE	31.2 KB	Sent	7/12/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	July 2019 concerning US - Director - DAY SEVEN	25.2 KB	Sent	7/10/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	Fwd: July 2019 concerning US - Director - DAY FIVE	21.7 KB	Sent	7/8/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	July 2019 concerning US - Director	455.7 KB	Sent	7/1/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	June 2019 concerning US - Director	883.7 KB	Sent	6/6/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	Letter to a University for your information	434.7 KB	Sent	5/30/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	May 2019 concerning US - Director	2.3 MB	Sent	5/1/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	April 2019 concerning US - Director	3.6 MB	Sent	4/3/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	March 2019 concerning US - Director	2.5 MB	Sent	3/7/2019
ppo@who.eop.gov	Information for points of interest - February to March 2019	70.3 KB	Sent	2/21/2019

Sent - Mail - jmallon@comcast... x +

Not secure | https://connect.xfinity.com/appsuite/#!/app=io.ox/mail&folder=default0/witq5yfy

Xfinity Connect Mail Address Book Voice JOSEPH Sign Out

To PPO x Sent

Inbox 999+ Drafts 60 Sent 37 Spam 37 Trash

My folders: Est PM, Junk E-mail, Miscellaneous saved 20, Miscellaneous saved 20, Stored-M.CG

Advertisement

Compose	Undo	Redo	Reply	Reply All	Forward	Print	More	View
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	All
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Information for points of interest - February to March 2019 70.3 KB Sent 2/21/2019
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	February 2019 concerning US - Director 2.6 MB Sent 2/14/2019
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	January 2019 concerning US - Director 1 2.5 MB Sent 1/23/2019
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	January 2019 concerning US - Director 1 2.5 MB Sent 1/7/2019
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	December 2018 concerning US - 5 246.5 KB Sent 12/25/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	December 2018 concerning US - 4 234.8 KB Sent 12/24/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	December 2018 concerning US - 3 2.6 MB Sent 12/18/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	December 2018 concerning US - 2 2.8 MB Sent 12/10/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	December 2018 concerning US - 1 2.9 MB Sent 12/3/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	November 2018 concerning US - 6 942.2 KB Sent 11/28/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	November 2018 concerning US - 5 921.3 KB Sent 11/22/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	November 2018 concerning US - 4 3.4 MB Sent 11/20/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	November 2018 concerning US - 3 3.4 MB Sent 11/14/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fwd: November 2018 concerning US - 2 3.3 MB Sent 11/6/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	November 2018 concerning US 2.6 MB Sent 11/1/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	October 2018 concerning US 2.5 MB Sent 10/10/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	State of United States education 1,022.6 KB Sent 9/27/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Information about Educational Situation of United States 976.0 KB Sent 9/26/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FW: September 2018 2.6 MB Sent 9/7/2018

Xfinity Connect x +

Not secure | https://connect.xfinity.com/appsuite/#!/app=io.ox/mail

Xfinity Connect Mail Address Book Voice JOSEPH Sign Out

To PPO x Sent

Inbox 999+ Drafts 60 Sent 37 Spam 37 Trash

My folders: Est PM, Junk E-mail, Miscellaneous saved 20, Miscellaneous saved 20, Stored-M.CG

Advertisement

Compose	Undo	Redo	Reply	Reply All	Forward	Print	More	View
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	All
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FW: September 2018 Sent 9/7/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	September 2018 Sent 9/4/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	August 2018 concerning US Sent 8/8/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	July 2018 concerning US Sent 7/2/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	June 2018 - Position of Director Sent 6/5/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FW: Month of March 2018 - Worthiness for securing professional position of Directo... Sent 5/4/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FW: Month of March 2018 - Worthiness for securing professional position of Direct... Sent 4/4/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Month of March 2018 - Worthiness for securing professional position of Director Sent 3/12/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Month of February 2018 gone into - Worthiness for securing professional position ... Sent 2/5/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Month of January 2018 gone into - Worthiness for securing professional position of ... Sent 1/23/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Month of January 2018 gone into - Worthiness for securing professional position of ... Sent 1/9/2018
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fwd: Month of December 2017 - worthiness for securing professional position Sent 12/14/2017
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Month of December 2017 - worthiness for securing professional position Sent 12/12/2017
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Month of November 2017 - worthiness for securing professional position Sent 11/15/2017
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Month of October 2017 - worthiness for securing professional position Sent 10/25/2017
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Following up a little further Sent 9/27/2017
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Report on the season Sent 8/29/2017
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Thought I would check in Sent 7/28/2017
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Happy Fourth of July Sent 6/30/2017
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Letter received Sent 6/17/2017