

Hello Donald Trump, John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

My work continued through this year of 2020 to the present and remains active, strong, successful, and requiring its due honor and reward. I repeat my claim I made in July 2019, which is for my professional work and status to be regarded and rewarded, including in accord with my presented invoice (see Appendixes A & D). The U.S. Department of Sovereignty continues doing well also, and remains with tremendous potential (see Appendix B). Official communication remained active on my part with communication outwardly made and communication lines open through the past times to the present. Active long-term national issues, such as student loans and the state of education, remained kept-up with and monitored for accurately evaluating the appropriate continuance when not being in full control or power. In these times of myself being previous to receiving due benefits, rewards, honor, support, or facilitation, optimal success was achieved on all fronts, thus further justifying better experiences, functionality, and accommodating.

Better than Nothing Worked Out Still Necessary

As I have expressed through the past to the present, nothing was worked out mutually with me, although there has been obligation and appropriateness for parties involved to endeavor to work out the situation within reasonable amounts of time. These areas involve the real United States along with humanity and civilization. These areas and their continuance go far beyond the Republican party, the Democratic party, and the U.S. Presidential election of November 2020, especially when so long has been gone through before the issues involved have been reasonably worked out. There should not be any longer gone without an adequacy in these areas of this nation's vital interests. Nation-level punishments, such as plagues, fires, and wars, cannot be let to get worse and must be reversed.

U.S. Presidential Election 2020

The U.S. Presidential election situation appears to have Joe Biden (Biden) as winning the election. Trump has legal proceedings initiated, so their outcomes would depend on if anything comes of the legal proceedings. Something would have to come of them, though, for the situation to be anything other than Biden continuing as having won the election. I still say everything I previously expressed and pointedly say that the real reason Trump did not win the election is because of my material, along with myself, still not dealt with yet. My sphere is a lot to leave out and obviously, was too much to leave out.

To be brief, my material was around before Trump's four years in the U.S. Presidency, went through the four years, and still is present and requiring. My ultimate levels go up to involving history, America, and humanity and civilization, and my professional levels have evidenced U.S. government executive levels through the past four years. My student loans defense remained active through the past four years to the present, and I made some directly relevant legal proclamations, in addition to making inclusions in U.S. government executive director reports. I also maintained two editions of an advanced and completed dissertation, the first one on a doctoral level and the second on a post-doctoral level, and the 5th chapter of my dissertation was presented to the Trump administration at the White House to express about the propriety of me being issued my doctorate and post-doctorate.

I still have to be dealt with in regard to my emphasis and specifics of through the past four years, but I am not sure about how the present continuance will go with Biden. I do not know whether I will be dealt with or not, and I do not have any contacts with the Biden administration, as I did with the Trump administration. That is, at least, the present situation, and with me still not dealt with yet, I am not sure how much I will be able to do. My career and

historic United States involvement come from Biden's early times of before and when (Jimmy) Carter was in the U.S. Presidency, and everything was fully developed and present as of in the (Ronald) Reagan's times. Biden was dealt with through all those years, as was the old American style, but I have not been dealt with yet, as became more and more the American style in the following years since in the 1980s.

Will this situation improve? That is what was wanted in the beginning of the Trump administration times. That is why all that material from me was there and remained there through the past four years. I will keep checking my indicators. Presently, though, I do not have any contacts with the Biden administration, the United States does not have my professional position secured, and there is presently no telling whether Biden or his administration would regard anything in these directions.

Department of the United States

I would like to point out that the U.S. Department of Sovereignty is a department of the United States and exists as a self-regulating organization and an independent division of the executive branch of the U.S. Government. This department is well-founded on the U.S. Constitution and rights and interests of Americans and America. The foundation of the department is tried and true through up to more than a quarter-century and is thus already extensively seasoned and long-enduring since before its recent official establishment in July 2019 (see Appendix C). The department of Sovereignty has authority of the United States sovereignty because this department is the manifestation of the sovereignty of the United States. This authority of sovereignty includes comparability to eminent domain rights concerning all of the United States geographical area because, again remember that, this is the United States.

U.S. Executive Director Personal Involvement with Education and United States

Important areas such as these educational and professional issues cannot just keep on going neglected, mistreated, skewed, or lessened, and instead, these areas have to receive their appropriate attention due. I worked straight through another summer season in 2020 and said about the fall season coming up concerning everything and including education, but I was left still not dealt with by in September 2020. I presented to the White House the fifth chapter of my post-doctorate edition of my dissertation and questioned about a university working with my dissertation and myself so that there could be completion of the academic end of the doctoral and post-doctoral programs. I ended up into September and through October 2020 without these academics being worked out with me, out of two editions of a whole dissertation and a doctoral and post-doctoral program and highest levels of the country. I had to maneuver and do more, but that is still not these areas being taken care of and is just myself maneuvering and doing more. Way too much remains still not dealt with and in too long an amount of time.

Student Loans Defense Legal Proceedings Update

The settlement was voided (“Alsup slams Dept. of Education’s...,” 2020; “In new ruling...,” 2020; Minsky, 2020). The settlement was voided because the U.S. Department of Education acted against the settlement immediately after its start. The settlement was in preliminary times leading up to its finalization in a fairness hearing, six months later (April to October 1, 2020). The settlement was originally favorable as forward moving to all parties involved, but when the U.S. Department of Education rejected the defenses of the other parties involved with the settlement, the settlement was no longer mutual and could not be agreed with realistically (Binkley, 2020; Halperin, 2020). Notices were provided and were upheld to the point of the fairness hearing and to the current judicial order to void the settlement.

Next declared possible are depositions of U.S. Department of Education officials and updating the legal discovery (“In new ruling...,” 2020). There may be, as there should be, a voiding of the arbitrary denials (“In new ruling...,” 2020; “Student borrowers...,” 2020; Murakami, 2020). These denials were only to the intent of destroying the student loan defenses that thousands of people had established (Lambe, 2020; Minsky, 2020). A consideration was made “In new ruling...” (2020) that everyone did not deserve the student loan debt elimination, but with so many people deserving for so long, a natural situation would be for the remedy to also reach some a little less deserving. Student loan debt elimination does not have to be stopped because some people do not deserve to have their student loan debt eliminated. Rather, the student loan debt can be eliminated because so many people do deserve student loan debt elimination.

The old saying is that the rain falls on all the people and over all the land to provide the rain’s benefits even though some inhabitants of the land may not be so deserving. Everything is not based on those who do not deserve. Everything is based on those who do deserve. With everyone getting more of what they do deserve, that would benefit everyone and including some less deserving. Perhaps the people less deserving are, or would sometime be, more deserving or more deserving in other ways, which may add the other way around in other times. If there is not what there should be concerning who does deserve what should be seen and obtained, the further benefits will never have a chance to happen.

I have been waiting a long time with my material in the White House. With my material in the White House being worked with adequately, I could more than afford to fully pay off my student loans completely (see Appendixes A & D). This material lingers on not sufficiently worked with or rewarded. I made an offer to pay off my student loans and have not been worked

with honorably yet concerning my offer, although the present time is now more than a year later. I do not need to wait longer like that to have my student loans paid off precisely according to every dollar, with interest included, rather than having all the student loan debt fully eliminated for everyone, including myself.

I said before that if my material is going to be left neglected and not dealt with or honored as the time passes by and with the bad intent to ruin everything from me, then there is no reason why the student loans should be paid back in full and within terms of mutually good business. The good business is being, or has been, ruined, so other terms apply to equate to fairness. My work still has to be rewarded and honored, and the same is so for my United States executive directorship, but I do not need to rely on student loans being paid in honorable ways for me to be successful and have merits. I have other merits and successes. I just had many ways to be successful with the student loans. I was, though, not let to be successful with the student loans when my offer went ignored, my materials went neglected, and my professional position, which included my United States Government executive directorship, went unrewarded.

The student loans issue has to be settled so that other issues can be advanced, too. My materials that have been in the White House through the past years have to be worked out. I have an excellent dissertation that has to be rewarded and honored and that has been sitting there neglected and not treated right along with the student loans issue and the rest of my materials at the White House. My dissertation is a first edition that was done in 2012 and which earned me my doctorate, and I have a second edition of my dissertation that was completed in 2019 and earned me my post-doctorate. I earned my doctorate and my post-doctorate, but still have not received either.

Another consideration was about irreparable harm (Douglas-Gabriel, 2020; Minsky, 2020). There has been much irreparable harm through the past years. There should not be, and never should have been, any irreparable harm. The better directions do have to be gone. I have been thinking that maybe when there are other law cases, these areas can be more specifically worked on because the student loans law case is not all about these other areas. There has, though, been only this student loans law case, *Sweet v. DeVos*, No. 19-cv-3674 (N.D. Cal.), that had some relevancy to me and that remotely connected to these other areas involving me, such as my materials in the White House through the past years. My materials are up to date and active right now and ongoing. All involved on my part continues to strive beyond and to prevent irreparable harm, which is serious, needs to be taken seriously and understood as being what it is so that at least as best as possible is possible.

A coincidental thing was that the term “Kafkaesque” was used (“In new ruling...,” 2020; Choi, 2020; Derysh, 2020; Lambe, 2020; Stratford, 2020). Back in 2017 I was talking with a person about the involved university and what had been going on there. The person used the same word, “Kafkaesque,” and I said that such occurrences had been going on. The backend faculty members of the university were making endless endeavors to be done for no real reason and to just keep on doing more and more endless endeavors. Nothing would lead to an end-point or completion, and everything would lead to more to do. Of course, the continuance in actuality through the next seasons went on like the meaning of this word, “Kafkaesque” (“In new ruling...,” 2020), and I then proclaimed a final point and no more of such a continuance as of in 2018. My materials remained in the White House since then, in addition to this student loan defense area active and requiring, and I included in my executive director reports communication about this situation all along the way.

The completion of the updated discovery process was set for December 24th, 2020 (“In new ruling...,” 2020). Requesting a summary judgment was set due January 7th, 2021 (“In new ruling...,” 2020). I wonder what the motion for the summary judgment will be for on January 7th, 2021. The settlement is nullified (Douglas-Gabriel, 2020; Iovino, 2020; McAfee, 2020). Will a request be made to send everything back to the U.S. Department of Education for immediate and continuing work on deciding on each student loan request, which would be putting everything through another questionable decision (“Student borrowers...,” 2020)? An optimal outcome could be to just settle everything with this issue by discharging all the student loans and then just having the student loans and educational funding issues further worked on constructively.

Continuing with Active Areas

I continue to watch this area of the student loan defenses. I would like to do more, but with me not yet dealt with securely on my levels, making these executive director reports was what was right for me to do. The continuance will be further evaluated. As previously noted, the U.S. Department of Education officials will be reached, but the White House officials in consideration of my executive director materials and their relation to the student loans issues still would not have been reached. I will be continuing to watch my indicators and to remain active and successful, and I will be specifically watching for any relevant information following December 24th, 2020 and January 7th, 2021.

Awareness of Parties Most Involved

The parties primarily involved knew these issues were actively requiring. These parties had been extensively and consistently communicated to through a long time. They knew of

materials reported to the White House, and they knew of various legal actions already taken officially. They still continued, though, with non-mutual, non-constructive, or non-progressive actions, results, and time delays. Instead of enough being enough and the contrasts stopping or lessening, there was more caused to be necessary and longer caused to have to be gone through.

Intrinsic to U.S. Department of Sovereignty

The injustice has to be stopped. There can be no more unjustified contrasts or careless negligence. There has to at least be good faith efforts being made. Much in these areas is too much to easily explain and will not be just easily worked out so will likely be built into the functioning, existence, and practices of the Sovereignty department. Laws and law cases will not be able to always be around and worked with, but the laws, law enforcement, administration, and upholding will be natural with the United States Department of Sovereignty itself.

Continuance of November 2020 to into 2021

In the continuance of November 2020 to into 2021, the U.S. Presidential election winning regime has obligations to respect, regard, and advance the United States functioning of and with the United States Department of Sovereignty (also see Appendixes B, C, & D). The issues requiring and worked on through the past four years have to be advanced in right ways and on right levels. I myself have to receive rewards and honors due me, including with me being secure on U.S. Executive Director levels of the United States Government. My requirements, rights, honor, integrity, and authority have to be respected and upheld, including with professional rules of no quotas, no oversights, no health requirements, no religious stipulations, no age stipulations, no gender stipulations, no cultural stipulations, no multiple time requirements, and no opposing powers. In other words, there has to be full freedom, naturalness, talent, potential, development,

advancement, status, honor, rights, incentives, and history built into the job and without anything else or less.

Conclusion

I still eagerly await communication back. Any kind of relevant presentation can be made to me. I will do an efficient review and follow-up in the active times. My communication lines remain active and are as I have expressed through the past times to the present, with telephone and email included.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

jmallon@comcast.net - 215-279-8580 - Pennsylvania - 11-01-2020

References

“Alsup slams Dept. of Education’s...” (2020). Alsup slams Dept. of Education’s student loan relief deal. *Law360*. Retrieved from

<https://www.law360.com/consumerprotection/articles/1321097/alsup-slams-dept-of-education-s-student-loan-relief-deal>

“In new ruling...” (2020). In new ruling, judge denies borrower defense settlement over

Department of Education’s ‘perfunctory alarmingly-curt denials.’ - Press release. *The Project on Predatory Student Lending*. Retrieved from

<https://predatorystudentlending.org/news/press-releases/in-new-ruling-judge-denies-borrower-defense-settlement-over-department-of-educations-perfunctory-alarmingly-curt-denials-press-release/>

“Student borrowers...” (2020). Student borrowers to judge: Department of Education can’t be trusted to process borrower defense claims fairly. - Press release. *The Project on*

Predatory Student Lending. Retrieved from

<https://predatorystudentlending.org/news/press-releases/student-borrowers-to-judge-department-of-education-cant-be-trusted-to-process-borrower-defense-claims-fairly-press-release/>

Binkley, C. (2020). Judge slams DeVos for rejecting 94% of loan relief claims. *Associated Press*.

Retrieved from [https://apnews.com/article/politics-betsy-devos-](https://apnews.com/article/politics-betsy-devos-b7f850303fdbfd9aed132628613c903b)

[b7f850303fdbfd9aed132628613c903b](https://apnews.com/article/politics-betsy-devos-b7f850303fdbfd9aed132628613c903b)

Choi, J. (2020). Judge calls DeVos student loan forgiveness process “disturbingly Kafkaesque.” *The Hill*. Retrieved from <https://thehill.com/homenews/administration/521971-judge-calls-devos-student-loan-forgiveness-process-disturbingly>

Derysh, I. (2020). Disturbingly Kafkaesque’: Judge rips Betsy DeVos for denying 94% of student loan debt forgiveness claims. *Salon*. Retrieved from <https://www.salon.com/2020/10/21/disturbingly-kafkaesque-judge-rips-betsy-devos-for-denying-94-of-student-debt-forgiveness-claims/>

Douglas-Gabriel, D. (2020). Judge rejects settlement over stalled student loan debt relief claims, Blames DeVos for harming borrowers. *Washington Post*. Retrieved from <https://www.washingtonpost.com/education/2020/10/20/student-debt-claims-settlement-denied/>

Halperin, D. (2020). After students testify, judge slaps DeVos for wholesale rejection of loan relief. *Republic Report*. Retrieved from <https://www.republicreport.org/2020/after-students-testify-judge-slaps-devos-for-wholesale-rejection-of-loan-relief/>

Iovino, N. (2020). Judge rejects settlement, Fast-tracks student debt relief class action. *Courthouse News Service*. Retrieved from <https://www.courthousenews.com/judge-rejects-settlement-fast-tracks-student-debt-relief-class-action/>

Lambe, J. (2020). Judge rebukes Betsy DeVos’s ‘disturbingly Kafkaesque’ and ‘potentially unlawful’ denials of students seeking debt relief. *Law and Crime*. Retrieved from <https://lawandcrime.com/awkward/judge-rebukes-betsy-devos-disturbingly-kafkaesque-and-potentially-unlawful-denials-to-students-seeking-debt-relief/>

McAfee, D. (2020). DeVos' settlement with for-profit college borrowers rejected. *Bloomberg Law*. Retrieved from <https://news.bloomberglaw.com/class-action/devos-settlement-with-for-profit-college-borrowers-rejected>

Minsky, Esq., A. S. (2020). Judge slams DeVos for blanket denials of student loan forgiveness, cites 'Irreparable harm' to borrowers. *Forbes*. Retrieved from <https://www.forbes.com/sites/adamminsky/2020/10/20/judge-slams-devos-for-blanket-denials-of-student-loan-forgiveness-cites-irreparable-harm-to-borrowers/#573f2aeb5d2c>

Murakami, K. (2020). Federal judge considers stopping rejection of borrower-defense claims. *Inside Higher Ed*. Retrieved from <https://www.insidehighered.com/quicktakes/2020/10/21/federal-judge-considers-stopping-rejection-borrower-defense-claims>

Stratford, M. (2020). Judge blasts DeVos' sweeping denials of student loan relief claims as 'Disturbingly Kafkaesque.' *Politico*. Retrieved from <https://www.politico.com/news/2020/10/20/judge-blasts-devos-student-loan-relief-430341>

Appendix A

OCTOBER 2020

Reporting from

Director

October 2, 2020 October 1, 2019 3 Pay Weeks \$ 5,769.23

Each Day of Month

as Reported on

October 1st, 2020

October 16, 2020 Will eventually change to 1 \$ 5,769.23
week at a time

October 30, 2020 Pays will eventually be \$ 5,769.23
weekly instead of bi-weekly

October Total **\$17,307.69**

Total Salary since
September 2018 **\$ 325,692.89**

Could and Should be paid

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

jmallon@comcast.net - 215-279-8580 - Pennsylvania - 11-01-2020

Appendix B

United States Department of Sovereignty

<i>Start-up Funding \$3B Spread through Year of 2020</i>					
<u>Month</u>	<u>Pay for Month</u>	<u>Due</u>	<u>Total Due</u>	<u>Paid</u>	<u>Date Paid</u>
<i>Jan-20</i>	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00		
<i>Feb-20</i>	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 500,000,000.00		
<i>Mar-20</i>	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 750,000,000.00		
<i>Apr-20</i>	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 1,000,000,000.00		
<i>May-20</i>	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 1,250,000,000.00		
<i>Jun-20</i>	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 1,500,000,000.00		
<i>Jul-20</i>	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 1,750,000,000.00		
<i>Aug-20</i>	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 2,000,000,000.00		
<i>Sep-20</i>	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 2,250,000,000.00		
<i>Oct-20</i>	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 2,500,000,000.00		
<i>Nov-20</i>	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 2,750,000,000.00		
<i>Dec-20</i>	\$ 250,000,000.00				
Total	\$ 3,000,000,000.00				

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

jmallon@comcast.net - 215-279-8580 - Pennsylvania - 11-01-2020

Appendix C



**Wooden sign of God's Miracle Cross
and a U.S. flag with God's Miracle Cross
1991-1994**

...2020-2021...

Appendix D

NOVEMBER 2020

Reporting from

Director

November 13, 2020 November 1, 2020 2 Pay Weeks \$ 5,769.23

Each Day of Month
as Reported on

Will eventually change to 1
week at a time

November 1st, 2020

November 27, 2020

Pays will eventually be weekly \$ 5,769.23
instead of bi-weekly

Pay rate increase next year,
2021, to \$180,000 yearly, 20%
increase for doing a good job
through whole year of 2020

Total Salary \$11,538.46

\$ 337,231.35

Could and Should be paid

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

jmallon@comcast.net - 215-279-8580 - Pennsylvania - 11-01-2020