

Hello Donald Trump, John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

I have the past four years of activity, rights, and merits from the times of the Trump administration. I was not adequately dealt with or worked with through that time, but I could have and should have been. Much remains very important, actively involved, and requiring due progression. Areas left neglected but maintained include a U.S. executive directorship, which included the professional levels, pay/salary, time and experience, and establishment and running of a department of the U.S. government. A student loans defense was continued through the 4 years, and including with relevant information made and sent to official establishments such the White House, U.S. Department of Education, Harvard Law School, and the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. At the same time, I maintained an excellent dissertation and doctoral and post-doctoral levels, although while unrewarded but worthy of and requiring due reward and honor. Through all this time, I was not dealt with yet and did not yet receive any opportunity that would result in a promotion or upgrade of my status level or standard of living, although much needed, earned, and deserved.

### **Trust Not Earned**

Why I do not just have trust in these directions can be seen. I do not trust everyone, but I still have to function, have there be what there is supposed to be, and advance the true interests that are righteous and worthy. I do not think that these areas will be just easily or fully dealt with, but there still has to be an adequacy in all relevant directions. I have to be treated well and in many good ways, but I cannot be dependent on or susceptible to other people, and I have to have my security and authority of my levels. My independence and sovereignty have to be respected and honored, as should be with the United States or will be without the United States while including the United States.

## **United States is United States**

There are certain particulars that apply to the United States and that do not change arbitrarily according to changes of opinion or people. Rights in these directions remain still requiring and have the earnings and merits of advancing and securing. I am still a historic person of the United States and am not dealt with yet and am supposed to be dealt with. I am a person who many years ago was bestowed with one of the highest honors in existence. I have a more than 25-year career relevant and important to the United States. I have societal involvement on my levels and concerning my areas, so I am supposed to be referred to as “Your Honor” when functioning on official or formal levels. These interactions are politically correct and are supposed to be present and active, and any lesser or contrasting interactions are not what is politically correct and are not supposed to be present or active.

## **Considering United States Still Being the United States**

I consider how these areas can be dealt with reasonably without a Republican person being in the U.S. Presidency. These areas involving me are the ones legitimate, valid, and worthy from the past years to the present and ongoing. These were the issues supposed to be dealt with and still are supposed to be. There never was and never will be supposed to be anything else done, especially in contrast to or in neglect of these areas. I am the one with the merits, rights, knowledge, and experience concerning all involved. I went through the past years of 1992 to 2000, 2008 to 2016 and continuously remained successful without being dealt with and with everything remaining requiring. Many of the areas that went through those years of 1992 to 2000 and 2008 to 2016 did not come from those years and were not limited to those years, as my materials evidence, and I myself went straight through all those years while remaining successful and still requiring the honor due me. Joseph R. Biden, Jr. (Biden) himself requiring to be dealt

with does not come from those years, and the people that most helped Biden to be there do not come from those years actually requiring to be dealt with concerning something nationally significant. As said about my foundation of my career and historic aspects, the building up went through the Jimmy Carter (Carter) times that led to the Ronald Reagan (Reagan) times when everything was done and present to regard, advance, and honor.

### **Heed the Information**

I worked and worked through those years of 1992 to 2000 and 2008 to 2016, and I was not dealt with and not benefited through those years. I was not on my right or appropriate levels through those years, and again, that does not necessarily come from those years but did go through those years. I held up. I proved myself and earned everything through those years and up to now. I prefer a better situation and do not want to just work as much as I did or go through some of the hard times or difficulties that I went through, but I will always do what is right and best. Everyone else was not and will not be beyond me or everything in these directions. People will not do more or better than me. If I am held down, caused to go through many difficulties, and to have my time wasted, what can other people expect for themselves, and what could there be concerning other people when they do not have as much as me? For a reasonable situation for the most people, I have to be pleased and content, too.

Biden was not so decisive as to think that everyone else can be ruled out and walked all over. Biden and associates should not gloat over an unquestionable victory because that is not what happened. That clearly winning was not the way it was, and there were the true factors and situational circumstances involved. If Trump had these areas more dealt with, an increase of enough and more than enough for Trump would have resulted. Without that, Trump had less than enough, a little less than enough and which was the total opposite of otherwise.

People like me cannot just be not dealt with. I am remaining requiring to be dealt with through the continuing times. I will continue working with everything accordingly. I may or may not do various things in the same ways as I have been. I may do some things differently, but I will remain an important person and someone who is supposed to be treated right and well. The better of the situations will always be for me to be pleased and content with what I see, experience, and am involved with in and through the times.

### **How, Where, and When Government Issues Worked On**

I want to know how these U.S. government issues are being worked on now and continuing. I have to have areas where I can communicate to have work done. My communication has to be respected, honored, and treated right. I need assistants, secretaries, staff, personnel, and associates of all ranks, statuses, and skill levels, but I do not need superiors or anyone who looks down to me or makes my work, my communication, or myself seem to be looked down on or inferior. In my areas of expertise, I need and expect people to endeavor to learn and not be attempting to teach, instruct, or criticize me. On my levels, I learn what I do not know, and I need the development on my levels, but others need to and should learn and develop on their levels, which should not lessen or belittle me in any way. There may every now and then be a little innocent friction, but there should not ever be excess negatively in these directions. The U.S. Department of Sovereignty has to have its funding and has to have its staffing. The staff members have to be able to function and work successfully and reasonably. I want to know when the U.S. Department of Sovereignty start-up funds earned through 2020 are going to be paid to the Sovereignty department and where I can effectively—meaningfully—communicate to concerning relevant matters.

## **Issues Remain and Need More than Only Hope through Next Years**

Significant issues remained requiring through the past four years. These issues were not limited to the past four years, were from before those years, and now went through those four years. The original idea was for the matters involved to be advanced at being worked out. “Optimism and hope...” (2020) expressed about hope with Biden, but with Trump, there was hope for that appropriate, rightful, and necessary advancement. In these areas, the situation became one of just hoping through those years without the hope being realized, even out of much more done and more time gone through. Everyone is not going to be just eager to hope, as “Optimism and hope...” (2020) suggested, through the next four years and especially to be left there only hoping without anything else as the time of the years goes by.

Much happens through those times of lingering hopes, as they go along with worthiness and good faith efforts made. The economy going into crisis, as “What Biden’s election could mean...” (2020) described, has happened multiple times in the past years and including recently in this year of 2020. Without an adequate realization of the hopes that enabled opportunity, there is a different situation of disadvantage caused from the devaluation of what was justifying the hoping and should not have been devalued or lessened to leave only despair (“What Biden’s election could mean...,” 2020). There is no reason to think that Biden will just take care of these matters by appropriately working around congress and issuing rightful executive orders as Berman (2020) postulated. There is no real reason to merely be enthused about Biden and what he may efficiently do immediately and ongoing as “Optimism and hope...” (2020) pointed out people want and need. Biden has been there through all those past years with the United States government and is considered as a veteran Democrat (Reklaitis, 2020). Biden did not just take care of all these matters in past years. What is to make anyone think that Biden is going to do

what people want and take care of all these, or any of these, matters now, as of the first day and to improve people's lives (Berman, 2020)?

### **Student Loans Issue of Many Years**

Student loans is one of the areas that have required through the past years to be straightened out. These student loans areas go back many years and not only to the Trump administration times. In 2015, a year before the start of the Trump U.S. Presidency, people were watching and discussing lawfully established authority to cancel student loan debt (Berman, 2020). Before the Trump administration, this student loans issue became one of the largest debts in the country and did in those times become larger than credit card debt and larger than mortgage debt. This student loans debt became so excessive that the government at the time had to do something about it. That was when the most recent official student loan repayment programs were established and, in truth, just marginalized everything with the student loans. Student loan debt marginalizing in present forms would be a practice that "Biden administration can cancel student debt..." (2020), Keshner (2020), and Reklaitis (2020) described as to just take \$10,000 to \$50,000 off of every student loan and setting up some other processes and procedures. This arrangement is not necessarily in mutual best interests. Just marginalizing everything with student loans again now, 10 years later and ongoing, is certainly not a settling solution.

These student loan issues, like other national issues, need to be addressed and worked out correctly, but there is not enthusiasm felt that Biden is going to immediately go strong in the right and necessary ways that people want and need (Berman, 2020). Even though Biden went through college and law school, there presently is no telling how many student loans he had. Most other people who would go through law school in the past quarter-century would have had

many student loans, and some would have had them accumulate more than others, depending on the situation and by no fault of their own (“Optimism and hope...,” 2020). Law jobs devalued through the past quarter-century. The pays and status levels were not as high as they were in previous decades when there was a lower supply of lawyers and a greater demand. Of course, this jobs, careers, status, and life styles situation was not limited to young lawyers or lawyers just starting out before reaching a well-established point. There is no telling how much Biden knows about these areas of having a house amount of debt to pay while having no benefits from that house and not being able to live in that house (Berman, 2020). Biden went into a good job in association with the United States government, and there is nothing saying that he has had any other kind of job in the past. He may not know what anything else is like or what it is like to have something like student loans that have to be taken care of while no one cares or is listening. He may not understand the seriousness and realness of these issues involved.

There is not just good business involved with the student loans. Evidence is that through the past four years, I had professional material at the White House and was still not dealt with in any appropriate or sufficient professional way. I mentioned more than sufficiently about the student loans also. I had the credentials, experience, rights, worthiness, and potential to have my professional position worked out and secured. The student loans were active issues nationally, and with me, the student loans showed that I really had an extensive amount of an academic/educational background, including with graduate credentials up to advanced doctoral levels. This continuance of nothing worked out—the action of inaction—destroyed everything that would have taken care of the student loans, and that occurrence was what was complained about and evidenced here through those times (*Sweet v. DeVos*, No. 19-cv-3674, N.D. Cal.). Further, of course, the time continued going by with the student loans, and all involved, just

remaining and lingering on requiring, just like everything else relevant and only to dishearten everyone and leave them obfuscated with cloudy views of any outlook or future (Sweet v. DeVos, No. 19-cv-3674, N.D. Cal.).

Trump did some things but not enough. This student loans issue was enough known about that Trump did stop payments and interest on student loans for a while (Berman, 2020). He would not have done that if he did not know of this student loans issue as one of the active national issues. A part of the trouble, again as in other directions, was not having enough relevant guidance when so many other people were not there, were being left out, and were not able to meaningfully provide checks and balances. Some skewing of the student loans issue occurred by racializing the issue, as was done to an extent (“Biden administration can cancel student debt...,” 2020; Berman, 2020). This racializing made the national student loans debt seem like a cultural issue and one that many Republicans did not want anything to do with and did not want to support (“Biden administration can cancel student debt...,” 2020; Berman, 2020). That was untrue and a misleading of Trump, other Republicans, and many Democrats, too, away from doing anything significant about the student loans issue. This student loans issue involves people involved in any way with student loans, American education, and American universities, and that is the correct way to put it without misleading people.

### **Biden Will Do What**

How can anyone tell as to whether Biden knows or cares about all these areas. Biden can do something about this student loans issue after being fully in the U.S. Presidency (Keshner, 2020; “Biden administration can cancel student debt...,” 2020; Reklaitis, 2020; Berman, 2020; “What Biden’s election could mean...,” 2020; “Optimism and hope...,” 2020). What will be done and its significance will soon show for sure (Berman, 2020). Trump could still implement



the Higher Education Act (HEA) to eliminate the student loans immediately before anyone else has a chance to do anything (Keshner, 2020; Berman, 2020). Trump would not need congressional approval (“Biden administration can cancel student debt...,” 2020; Berman, 2020). The U.S. District Court judge in California can in the present times do something about the student loans (Sweet v. DeVos, No. 19-cv-3674, N.D. Cal.). Perhaps, everything with the student loans will be politically left for Biden to do something. He may then do something politically, such as taking care of all the student loans by cancelling student loan debt of 10 cents or 50 cents of each student loan, which is the same as cancelling 10 to 50 thousand dollars of every student loan (Keshner, 2020; “Biden administration can cancel student debt...,” 2020; Reklaitis, 2020; Berman, 2020). The student loans issue has to be taken care of for real, and while there can be some politics involved, there cannot be only politics involved. Superficially taking care of the student loans cannot be afforded and will not handle the economic or educational impacts of the student loans issue (Berman, 2020).

There is a consideration that maybe because Biden is a lawyer, he will realize some of the law aspects involved. The meaning is that law aspects such as justice will be considered. These student loan debt occurrences were not just good business. There was not involved just sense, logic, reasonability, or what someone might think but rather there was a deviant Kafkaesque blockage and misleading (“In new ruling...,” 2020; Choi, 2020; Derysh, 2020; Lambe, 2020; Stratford, 2020). There was no good intent. Doing something good does not take so long (“Student borrowers...,” 2020). There was an excessive continuance, a repeating, a non-stopping, and no altering or making up for inappropriate results caused non-mutually and only to obfuscate and cause a seemingly impossible situation (Sweet v. DeVos, No. 19-cv-3674, N.D. Cal.). The action of inaction became atrocious and was entirely negligent and careless of

everyone with legitimate interests involved (*Sweet v. DeVos*, No. 19-cv-3674, N.D. Cal.). There were damages and irreparable harms (Minsky, 2020). The opportunity costs were tremendous, and no one can afford to pay for everything involved (Berman, 2020). In too many directions, to return people to their former state before the injustice became more and more unlikely and impossible. Without truly knowing this information, Biden will not want to or be able to handle the student loans issue. These are some of the aspects here that involve law, working with law, and working as a lawyer, judge, or a U.S. official, but that does not mean that Biden is going to know, understand, act on, or honor these concepts.

Another consideration is of the emphasis that doing everything concerning the student loans debt issue would be to again marginalize the student loans situation. That is a solution that is a false solution and is to make everyone pay on the student loans through the next years, and perhaps, after eliminating \$10,000 to \$50,000 of student loans debt for each person (Keshner, 2020; “Biden administration can cancel student debt...,” 2020; Reklaitis, 2020; Berman, 2020). First, that is not a percentage, as it should be to be fair and not out of proper proportion. Secondly, the larger the student loan debt is for each person does not mean that more of the student loan debt should be left. These people with the larger student loan debt could have, and most likely did, go through more and longer than the people with the lesser amount of student loan debt. Again, look at the evidence, if possible, of the material that was in the White House through the past four years with the student loans involved actively and with advanced level professional positions up to the highest levels of the country also actively involved. Anyone with such material and evidence has certainly gone through more and longer than most other people and including those with smaller student loan amounts. The higher student loan debt amounts have to be more taken care of appropriately and certainly not less in any way. To sum these

points up, of peak importance is to set the perspectives straight and to get on course with what is truly involved and with the truly right ways to go.

### **Another Possible Student Loan Issue Solution for Real**

Everything with the student loans debt should be just put into one big account. There next can be various funds set up to work with the one student loans debt account ongoing through the next five years or so, and these tasks can be professional United States government jobs for qualified people. This student loans debt account can be worked on with intents of full elimination and to the point of full elimination. In addition, the issue of American education funding has to be worked through and set to be going in correct directions. Then in these right ways, progress has to be made. Biden will not do these things or go these ways unless he does for real, and he will not for real unless he adequately listens to and respects other people and the truth, facts, and rights involved.

### **Conclusion**

I request receiving communication back. I expect the communication back to inform me of the continuance here concerning the White House personnel area, the White House, the United States Government, and the Trump administration, whether that be directly or indirectly. I want to know who will continue working on these areas relevant and who I can communicate to in a functionally realistic way. I want to know whether my U.S. Government executive director position is going to be secured officially and if I am going to be paid my director's pay in accord with my invoice (see Appendix A). Is the U.S. Department of Sovereignty going to be allocated its funds earned through the past year of 2020 and as is displayed on the sovereignty department

invoice (see Appendix B)? Please provide me with the answers I am asking for, and timeliness would be appreciated.

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

[jmallon@comcast.net](mailto:jmallon@comcast.net) - 215-279-8580 - Pennsylvania - 12-01-2020

## References

- “Biden administration can cancel student debt...” (2020). Biden administration can cancel student debt on day one. - Press release. *The Project on Predatory Student Lending*. Retrieved from <https://predatorystudentlending.org/news/press-releases/biden-administration-can-cancel-student-debt-on-day-one-press-release/>
- “In new ruling...” (2020). In new ruling, judge denies borrower defense settlement over Department of Education’s ‘perfunctory alarmingly-curt denials.’ - Press release. *The Project on Predatory Student Lending*. Retrieved from <https://predatorystudentlending.org/news/press-releases/in-new-ruling-judge-denies-borrower-defense-settlement-over-department-of-educations-perfunctory-alarmingly-curt-denials-press-release/>
- “Optimism and hope...” (2020). Optimism and hope: ‘Fail state’ forum and panel look ahead. *The Project on Predatory Student Lending*. Retrieved from <https://predatorystudentlending.org/news/>
- “Student borrowers...” (2020). Student borrowers to judge: Department of Education can’t be trusted to process borrower defense claims fairly. - Press release. *The Project on Predatory Student Lending*. Retrieved from <https://predatorystudentlending.org/news/press-releases/student-borrowers-to-judge-department-of-education-cant-be-trusted-to-process-borrower-defense-claims-fairly-press-release/>
- “Sweet v. DeVos” (2020). Sweet v. DeVos, No. 19-cv-3674, N.D. Cal. United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

“What Biden’s election could mean...” (2020). What Biden’s election could mean for student loans. *New York Times*. Retrieved from

<https://www.nytimes.com/2020/11/13/business/biden-student-loans.html>

Berman, J. (2020). President-elect Joe Biden has signaled he’s open to canceling student-loan debt - the question is when and how much. *MarketWatch*. Retrieved from

<https://www.marketwatch.com/story/president-elect-joe-biden-has-signaled-that-hes-open-to-canceling-student-loan-debt-the-question-is-when-and-how-much-11604944524>

Choi, J. (2020). Judge calls DeVos student loan forgiveness process ‘disturbingly Kafkaesque.’

*The Hill*. Retrieved from <https://thehill.com/homenews/administration/521971-judge-calls-devos-student-loan-forgiveness-process-disturbingly>

Derysh, I. (2020). ‘Disturbingly Kafkaesque’: Judge rips Betsy DeVos for denying 94% of student loan debt forgiveness claims. *Salon*. Retrieved from

<https://www.salon.com/2020/10/21/disturbingly-kafkaesque-judge-rips-betsy-devos-for-denying-94-of-student-debt-forgiveness-claims/>

Keshner, A. (2020). What a Joe Biden presidency means for taxes, health care, housing, student debt - and another COVID-19 stimulus package. *MarketWatch*. Retrieved from

<https://www.marketwatch.com/story/joe-biden-is-the-next-president-heres-what-that-could-mean-for-taxes-student-debt-health-care-housing-and-a-stimulus-package-2020-11-07>

Lambe, J. (2020). Judge rebukes Betsy DeVos’s ‘disturbingly Kafkaesque’ and ‘potentially unlawful’ denials of students seeking debt relief. *Law and Crime*. Retrieved from

<https://lawandcrime.com/awkward/judge-rebukes-betsy-devoss-disturbingly-kafkaesque-and-potentially-unlawful-denials-to-students-seeking-debt-relief/>

Minsky, Esq., A. S. (2020). Judge slams DeVos for blanket denials of student loan forgiveness, cites 'Irreparable harm' to borrowers. *Forbes*. Retrieved from <https://www.forbes.com/sites/adamminsky/2020/10/20/judge-slams-devos-for-blanket-denials-of-student-loan-forgiveness-cites-irreparable-harm-to-borrowers/#573f2aeb5d2c>

Reklaitis, V. (2020). Here's what Biden could change just by executive order. *Capitol Report*. Retrieved from <https://www.marketwatch.com/story/heres-what-biden-could-change-just-by-executive-order-11604952956>

Stratford, M. (2020). Judge blasts DeVos' sweeping denials of student loan relief claims as 'Disturbingly Kafkaesque.' *Politico*. Retrieved from <https://www.politico.com/news/2020/10/20/judge-blasts-devos-student-loan-relief-430341>

## Appendix A

**DECEMBER 2020****Reporting from****Director**

December 11, 2020	December 1, 2020	2 Pay Weeks	\$ 5,769.23
Each Day of Month as Reported on December 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2020		Will eventually change to 1 week at a time	
December 25, 2020		Pays will eventually be weekly instead of bi-weekly	\$ 5,769.23
		<i>Pay rate increase next year,</i> 2021, to \$180,000 yearly, 20% increase for doing a good job through whole year of 2020	
		<b>Total Salary</b>	<b>\$11,538.46</b>
			<b>\$ 348,769.81</b>
		<b>Could and Should be paid</b>	

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

[jmallon@comcast.net](mailto:jmallon@comcast.net) - 215-279-8580 - Pennsylvania - 12-01-2020



## Appendix B

<b>United States Department of Sovereignty</b>					
<b>Start-up Funding \$3B Spread through Year of 2020</b>					
<b>Month</b>	<b>Pay for Month</b>	<b>Due</b>	<b>Total Due</b>	<b>Paid</b>	<b>Date Paid</b>
Jan-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00		
Feb-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 500,000,000.00		
Mar-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 750,000,000.00		
Apr-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 1,000,000,000.00		
May-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 1,250,000,000.00		
Jun-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 1,500,000,000.00		
Jul-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 1,750,000,000.00		
Aug-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 2,000,000,000.00		
Sep-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 2,250,000,000.00		
Oct-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 2,500,000,000.00		
Nov-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 2,750,000,000.00		
Dec-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$3,000,000,000.00		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,000,000,000.00</b>				

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -\

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -\

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

[jmallon@comcast.net](mailto:jmallon@comcast.net) - 215-279-8580 - Pennsylvania - 12-01-2020