

## **Report on a Denial still not Dealing with Facts or People Involved**

The particular people acting in effectual capacities while in positions of responsibility (hereinafter “university”) at the university caused additional needless delay until June 2016 without a regard of anything that was emphasized or presented through the previous year of time. The university itself took up all the time and not any other party, such as myself. The university should be accountable and should take on its responsibility when incorrect results are directly caused by the university. The university, though, causes the people causing the problems to be hidden behind innocent people and to be left unaccountable for bad results caused. This university should be held accounting for its real results concerning the real people affected through the past years and should adequately make up to (compensate) those people, academics, and the society.

The university caused an appeal to be necessary to me (the author of this material) to reenter the same program that was still going and that never really stopped. The university caused two months to be involved with that process. The process was started on June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2016 and took until August 12<sup>th</sup>, 2016 to complete and with only a mere denial. Out of two months, the reentry appeal material was fully pending since July 5<sup>th</sup>, 2016. The situation appears to be that the university reviewed the appeal material within an hour and then just hastily denied everything.

How much work was involved with only denying everything and leaving there be nothing involved regarded or worked out concerning the attendant circumstances. None of the emphasis made in the appeal was regarded. All significant points were nullified. No rebuttal, defense, or guiding or righteous voice was enabled. The university heavily manipulates its associated people in this way and does not perform ethically with this practice.

The university mistreated a successful doctoral program. The university mistreated a successful doctoral student and person who did well with the person’s own work that the person was supposed to do. The university mistreated a more than acceptable dissertation that should have been more than sufficient to serve the doctoral program purposes. The university mistreated the previous instructors of the doctoral program courses by causing all the work done previously to be dishonored and to be not enough. The university mistreated all the people involved with academics and the society by not performing ethically and responsibly within a reasonable amount of time.

The university caused more than 4 years of totally wasted and needless time to be on the backend of an already successfully completed doctoral program. The more than passable dissertation was first submitted into the QRF (Quality Review Final) area in March 2012, and there is no competent way on the part of the

university that the doctoral program should not have been fully completed on the university's part by the end of the year of 2012, December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2012. The program truly should have been finished up in the summer of 2012, and there is no valid reason the university has for why that did not happen. The university has not been taking facts or people seriously or treating them as being what they are in effectual times. The university needs to be responsible for treating people ethically with real facts and results taken into consideration and with any stakeholder having a true and respected say.

The university has committed gross negligence, malpractice, misrepresentation of facts, and Faculty Code of Conduct violations. The university owes every stakeholder improvement, among other things. Nothing here with this proclamation's emphasis is in question, and the only questions remain with the university's actions, results, and improvements.

Joseph Mallon, Honorable Dissertation Author -/\-

Dated: 08/12/2016