

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Honorable Joseph Mallon, MBA

Plaintiff,

v.

Honorable John R. Padova, United States District Court Judge, et al.

+ 10 others, including the United States
Defendant(s)

)Civil Action

)Number: 92-5825

)92-5885, 92-5886,

)92-5887, 92-5889,

)92-5890, 92-5891,

)92-5892, 92-5893,

)92-5981, 92-5982

)

)Philadelphia,

)Pennsylvania

) November 3, 1992

) 10:00 a.m.

REVISED TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL NONJURY TRIAL
BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE IN THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

INDEX

EXHIBITS

		Marked	Received
P-1	Paper filed by Mr. Mallon	8	30
P-6	Law research done by Mr. Mallon	10	30
P-7	Statements re: Mr. Mallon's counsel	10	30

I first said that the person named in my filings representing prosecution I made was present in the Court, and that was myself, whom was present in the court on that occasion. The reason I say prosecution here was because these legal issues concerned the United States, and consideration to me is valid in terms of active duty concerning the United States, so my work was the prosecution. I made the law cases. They were my law cases I made, and nothing contrary is valid at all. This view needs comprehension as the appropriate perspective. Understanding the material will then be possible.

I said the following about papers I submitted before this hearing.

* I submitted the papers. I submitted these papers without the law maxims, but here the law maxims are included, so this was additionally filed.

* The books I did not file. Upstairs, in the Appeals Court, Third Circuit, I filed the book, called, Advancing Law and Life. That case now in the Appeals Court was the original case. That case actually involved these parties—the defendants—that these other cases were made concerning. I had to appeal. There was only delay caused, so that is why I made the individual cases. Now, I say about this here in this document – do you want me to read it?

* The document is four pages and...

* The Court should regard, respect, and honor these law cases I made and each document I filed because these were cases necessary to be worked out. If I make a case, I had a lot of reasons why I made it. These were all matters requiring to be dealt with for years and worked on by me every day for the entire summer of 1992. Because of the delay, I had to keep on working and continued to do so through September 1992; that is the law cases.

* I was in law school, and I wrote a book. I wanted the book work done.

* I was in Widener University School of Law.

* I did a lot of substantial work, and it was necessary for what I was actually involved with to be dealt with, to be actually worked with.

* I could not continue there at the law school without that work done. I did my work and then made efforts to have that work dealt with.

* That work dealing with my work was not done yet, and that includes there at the law school. I also substantially dealt with Harvard Law School. That is why one of the cases involves Harvard Law School. I made a judgment myself pertaining to Harvard Law School.

I answered about employment in the times.

* I do have a job.

* I just work at a research company, which is like a minimum wage type of job, which is far below my proper quality levels. The company is located in Philadelphia, and that is stated in my original documents I filed.

I said a statement about a paper I had with me.

* I will give you this copy, because I have a copy of it on my computer.

* I have a few other papers too.

I then described more.

* Those papers I filed on the day I saw the order. I came in with those documents.

I questioned if marking the papers was good to do.

* Do you want me to mark them?

I continued.

* Exhibit Two and Three are documents that I submitted on the day that I saw the order. The time was before I actually knew that there was going to be this order there.

* I left them documents on that day. The following day I submitted Exhibit Four, along with the first document I already submitted here, in regard to dealing with the order and the memorandum; no, actually dealing with the statement that there was a memorandum. I did not read the memorandum until a few days later. That was because of taking a while before I received the memorandum. This Exhibit Seven is just statements concerning my counsel. Exhibit Six is law research work I did on this case. Exhibit Five is just a statement saying that I did not file papers one day when it was not appropriate for me to do so. When I do file papers, it is only because it is appropriate and necessary.

I said a statement about not presently having more to say.

* I have no more to say. Everything is all in my papers.

I made an objection to a negative allegation unfounded.

Later I said more relevant to the law cases I made to stop illegality happening in this jurisdiction and concerning this country.

* Mainly, all my cases are appropriate. They are requiring to be worked out, and that is why I made them. They were involved with an original case that was delayed. That is why nothing was worked out, so I made individual law cases.

I was asked if I would file additional actions in this Court.

* Actually, I did not want to. I want the original case worked out. That was the whole purpose.

* I had important matters that had to be dealt with, such as a discovery, which is God's Miracle Cross. That is a discovery; it was the only one in this country, and I was with it myself. It involved other people and including the defendants of these cases. They would not regard anything, and they would not even communicate to me, but I had to keep on doing the work, including the work that was in their favor and in their best interests. I have rights to be appropriately regarded and dealt with by them, but they did not do that. I wanted the Court to facilitate the relationship – the communication and the business between the parties of my cases, and including myself.

I was questioned about believing the aspects involving my above average identity.

* It is not a matter of belief. It is a matter of facts that have to be properly regarded and dealt with right. Why is because I am the discoverer of God's Miracle Cross. That was not put here by me. That was an actual miracle. That has to be worked on, and I have rights pertaining to it. That is what was involved with my cases.

I was asked if those cases were dismissed and are now on appeal.

* The first one is such a case, the original one.

I then was asked about the 11 other cases I filed and said about the parties of those cases.

* The other 11 cases were involving the parties that were involved with the first case.

I was then asked about this case involving the Federal Court judge.

* I filed this case for the same reasons, for dismissing the case and not working the matters out.

I was asked about making more cases in this Court following this hearing and my appeals made in the Appeals Court.

* In regard to these matters involved? No, something more would have to happen to make that cause be necessary, some kind of wrong that would justify a grounds for a law case.

The active Federal Court judge then asked me if I had been involved with litigation previously in the United States Courts.

* I was in law school; I did law work.

* That was a long time ago.

My age was questioned.

* I am over 30 (and under 40).

* I earned my law degrees and my lawyer's license.

* I wanted that worked on.

* I earned my law credentials by my books but no law school...worked on it yet.

* That is why Harvard Law School...

I was asked about having a lawyer's license from the state (of Pennsylvania).

* That is right; I do not have one, but I earned one.

* That is why I wanted the law school to work on that, and that is why Harvard Law School is a party of one of these 11 cases and the original case.

* That is why I came to the Court. Otherwise, I would not have. I was in law school, so I came to the Court. I was doing law work.

I was asked if I filed cases in any other courts, state courts or courts in any other countries.

* I have filed cases in the U.S. Supreme Court.

The active Federal judge made a questioning statement about me filing cases in the U.S. Supreme Court, and I said, yeah, that is right.

* Yeah, I made...

* I sent a petition for an extraordinary writ. I sent that, but it just recently got there.

* Also – let me tell you something about my documents. They are documents that are made by a person of proven qualifications. I mean that I write a book; I am proving that I earned my law

degrees, for example, and I want the book worked on and dealt with in honoring ways, such as my law degrees being issued to me. There is a lot of money involved too, and I have rights to be paid money.

* This having right to be paid money is what I am saying too.

* I made a formal brief upstairs, in the higher Court. That was the first formal brief I ever made, and that was a successful accomplishment in itself and a lot of merits included. I made this petition to the Supreme Court of the United States. That was the first petition I ever made. Again, that is successful work to achieve. I am also proving, and did with my books and all involved with these cases, that I already earned a lot of rewards and honors such as law degrees and my lawyer's license and money. They were my main points, and I have rights to security in law too, so that I am not detrimented in any way by any criminality or any kind of wrong.

The active federal judge asked me if I had anything additional I wanted to say.

* No, they are my main points, and everything, including what I said and everything more, is what is on my papers and in my books.

* Thanks. Oh, I did want to say...that my cross – God's Miracle Cross, is patented, too; it is the only one in this country, and the design is patented, thus proving that the design is unique and is the only one in this country.

Re-Dated: February 14, 2016

Revised paraphrasing and direct quoting: Tuesday, August 4, 2009

Originally from: November 3, 1992