

Hello Donald Trump, John DeStefano (and the Trump administration),

As you of the Trump administration are or should be aware of, I communicated to here through your entire administration time. Do you not think that it is about time to get the matters of these areas worked out successfully? You are supposed to do your jobs and work on your levels concerning these areas. You are supposed to do your parts in these directions because that is what your jobs and levels are for and is what is in the best interests of all involved with this country and yourselves of the Trump administration included. I communicated and did my part through the past years before you or anyone here of the Trump administration contributed or facilitated anything in these directions, so you doing some of what you are supposed to do is requiring and expected.

Other people of this country have been doing what they are supposed to do, but you people here of the U.S. Government have not been doing what you are supposed to do. You pushed everything in the media through the past years, and everyone was subjected to that, but you did not include any other people with issues requiring to be dealt with and who were worthy of recognition, support, and honor. You subjected everyone to a pandemic by not preventing it enough, and everyone went along with you and did what they were supposed to do, but you still did not do what you were supposed to do by connecting with and dealing with the American people and issues. People paid their taxes, which are society debts, but you did not pay your society debts or do your jobs that were paid for and expected with the taxes, among other things.

People with the student loan defenses waited for up to three years or longer to have the student loans issues addressed and the student loans worked out, but you of the Trump administration left them waiting through the time and then just denied everything. You of this administration left these people with nothing instead of the student loans being taken care of as was expected, especially after 6 months (180 days) passed by, let alone years. These student loan defenses were hastily denied, too, because of intending to just get rid of as many student loan defenses as possible as quickly as possible instead of actually working out the student loan defense cases. The most that could be done after that point was to make a request for reconsideration, but that was another thing that had to be done and had to be worked through. The student loans situation, issues, and ordeals were not done, and everything remained in jeopardy when, really, longer should not have had to be gone through without being contentedly settled.

As I have been saying, I myself went through the past three years with my student loan defense in, active, and worthy. At the same time, I had professional official material at the White House concerning my appropriate professional position of U.S. executive director with the United States government. I was not dealt with in the three years, nothing was worked out with me, and I received no benefits that I should have received and, otherwise, would have received. I maintained everything as best as possible, did my job(s), and balanced with the situation while remaining successful. I paid my taxes through the past years and a

very significant amount of taxes last year for 2019, but I have not been getting what I have been paying for and what I expect from the United States Government.

As you know, I have to be dealt with, and including concerning my professional position with the United States government. My professional position with the United States government is a high-ranking position and one that has prestige and authority. I have to be treated consistently and securely as being on such levels, as I truthfully have been on through the past years before I received any such honorable treatment or benefits. You here of the Trump administration took the past three years to deal with these areas, and the United States government overall took more than a quarter-century to deal with these areas. This means that the people currently associated with the United States government cannot just quickly, abruptly, or hastily deal with these matters or not as they want to direct and within the bounds of a half-hour or 15 minutes.

Because I have to be dealt with concerning the United States government, in regard of my meritorious and honorable involvement with the United States, nothing can be left up to the people currently associated with the United States government. Nothing can be as they intend or want to direct, and everything and anything has to be presented to me for my approval. I have to be dealt with on my terms. People who will take seasons or years to deal with something and then turn around and not deal with everything involved, and leave everyone involved with nothing instead, cannot be trusted to have an ultimate say. Nothing can be just left up to these people, you people, or any such people, and the other people, such as

myself, have to have a say, including one with this being the United States and its government.

Therefore, I have to be dealt with by and concerning the United States government. I have to be dealt with on my terms. Anything that is anything relevant has to be presented to me for my approval. People currently associated with the U.S. government have to do their jobs and without saying to or about everyone else. For the rest of this document, I will continue with the information, but also, I request and expect, that relevant communication be made to me in this month of August 2020.

Cannot be Left as Only One Doing Relevant Work

The situation of me being left as the only one doing the relevant work and knowing what is going on in these important national areas is unacceptable. You here of the Trump administration have to do your work, see to it that others do their relevant work, or enable me to ensure that more than enough people do relevant work and adequately know what is going on in these important national areas. One area is education in the United States. My student loans situation has to be settled, and I have to receive my doctorate from a qualified American university. Because of the student loans along with my official and professional U.S. executive director materials presented to the White House through the past years, the responsibility of my doctorate being issued to me is imposed on you here of the Trump administration at the White House.

You have to organize people to do their work on the issuing of my doctorate to me. My dissertation has to be formally and officially confirmed and published as a completed dissertation. My dissertation went through all the doctoral program inclusions and went beyond that, too. Going beyond the doctoral program bounds has to be adequately taken into consideration because, remember, I had to keep working after I earned my doctorate, and I also completed post-doctoral levels by July 2019. The post-doctoral levels can be confirmed at some time after my doctorate is issued to me.

You have to organize, or enable to be organized, a committee of four or five people to review my dissertation and to sign off on my dissertation meeting and exceeding all doctoral dissertation standards. These people have to have a doctorate level credential from a qualified American university. After these people—who are equivalent to a dissertation committee chair person and dissertation committee members—sign off on my dissertation, there has to be another person with the status of a school/university dean, who signs off on my dissertation. My dissertation then has to be published on all honorable doctoral levels, and my doctorate has to be issued to me.

Doctorate and Post-Doctorate Package

In addition, the appropriate situation may be to work on my dissertation and doctorate as a package of both the doctorate and post-doctoral designation. This package inclusion is appropriate because I earned my doctorate in 2012, and I then did more work following through the next years to in July 2019 when I completed

my post-doctoral work. I have my dissertation from 2012, and that has to be worked with to reward my doctorate to me. I have my 2019 edition of my dissertation, which was working further with my original dissertation in every appropriate, relevant, honorable, successful, and advanced doctoral way, and with this 2019 edition of my dissertation honored, my post-doctorate can be justifiably issued to me.

Because of this doctoral work being far beyond what I wanted or intended to do, the situation is right and just for some people being obligated to do this appropriate and necessary academic/educational work. In addition, while I had to do the necessary advanced doctoral level work, I had professional U.S. executive director material with the United States government, including at the White House through the years of the Trump administration, before I was dealt with, rewarded, or honored. Thus, there is full justification to impose the Trump administration to be responsible and accountable for working out my dissertation being fully worked on appropriately and officially and for my doctorate and post-doctorate being issued to me. My dissertation and doctoral work have to be worked on in ways adequately respectful to me and with intent to complete the work and not prolong, contrast, or discredit the work. I have to be regarded as being the expert, teacher, authority, and doctor in my areas of expertise, and I have to be able to be on, be developing on, and be maintaining a good outlook on my advanced levels.

Precedent for 40 Million People with Student Loans

For many reasons well described and presented through the previous years, I have to be dealt with appropriately concerning my student loans. The ways I am dealt with concerning my student loans set precedent for the other 40 million people with student loans, including especially all those masses of people who have in student loan defenses. Good faith effort has to be made to set and maintain mutuality with each person who has a student loan. Nothing against a student loan holder can be done, and anything done has to be after obtaining an agreement with or consent from the student loan holder.

If a student loan holder says no to something or objects to something or some kind of action, then that issue has to be addressed and the action cannot be done. Nothing additional can be done until and unless the student loan holder agrees or consents. Negotiations or discussions would have to be worked out with the student loan holder to the point of agreement and subject to continued agreement and being pleased and mutual with the situation—business arrangement. Each account has to be set and kept being worked on—serviced—individually so that the masses of student loan holders are continuing as a whole with good business practices. Nothing against or unacceptable to a student loan holder is permissible, and merely communicating to the student loan service area has to be all a student loan holder has to do to resolve any issue or concern.

There can be no negative reporting to a credit bureau, no negative collections activities, no wage garnishments or tax refund blockages, and no negative legal

proceedings for student loans. All student loan holders have to be placed and continued on unremovable administrative forbearance set for the next 50 years or longer. Interest added onto student loans can be only 3 to 10 percent on the original or principle student loan, and no continuing interest may be charged. For example, if a student loan of \$20,000 is obtained, the interest, if set at 5% for instance, can be once—a one-time charge—of \$1,000 (and in consideration accordingly with a 10 or 20-year payoff time span), and no additional interest may be added to the total ongoing student loan debt of \$21,000 (and set accordingly for the payoff period). Each additional student loan is to be handled in the same way, which is with a one-time interest charge for the amount of money borrowed in the form of a student loan.

All past and future student loans can be reviewed and set in these ways. Some adjustments may need to be made to past student loans accumulated amounts and their continuances. Some student loan consolidations or consolidation loans may have been for a higher than fair amount so can and should also be further reviewed for adjusting. Some student loan holders of the past may have overpaid on their student loans in these ways, too, or may have had unfairly high student loan consolidations, so they can present their claims for being paid back or refunded overpayments. This is another way of implementing the student loans defense at being fair to all people who had, have, or will have student loans.

Another point to add in here is that the U.S. Department of Education was not the one originally accused of wrongdoing, and it was the schools and

universities that were accused of wrongdoing. There is no intent to overwhelm the U.S. Department of Education and make it or people associated with it act frantically and then be involved with wrongdoing. The U.S. Department of Education has to stay neutral and remain with core policies of education, fairness, truth, justice, integrity, and the American way. The U.S. Department of Education has to continue to be around for doing and being able to do something about such a burdensome and unacceptable situation that excessive, much-complained about and long-tolerated, rampant, and society-wide educational establishment wrongdoing has caused. The U.S. Department of Education has to remain doing right things that people alone by themselves cannot do and should not do concerning the field of education and educational establishments throughout and of the United States.

With me, I am owed my doctorate, and my post-doctorate work has to be rewarded, too. Thus, if any people are owed educational/academic rewards and honors, they have rights to receive them from a qualified educational establishment with obligations to regard the issues and to do the appropriate work involved. If any person is supposed to be regarded and worked with concerning educational / academic work, the educational establishments have a duty to do their work and let the work involved be what it is and receive its fitting rewards and honors. There does not always have to be everything in the world concerning everything, but there often has to be and should be enough of what there is supposed to be and not less than enough, especially excessively. After many years, I have rights to see an adequacy of propriety concerning this concept, and precedent is established for

educational/academic establishments to treat the masses of present, past, and future student loan holders right in these ways.

Guidelines of this Section - Precedent

Paragraph 1

1. Good faith effort has to be made to set and maintain mutuality with each person who has a student loan.
2. Nothing against a student loan holder can be done, and anything done has to be after obtaining an agreement with or consent from the student loan holder.

Paragraph 2

1. If a student loan holder says no to something or objects to something or some kind of action, then that issue has to be addressed and the action cannot be done.
 - a. Nothing additional can be done until and unless the student loan holder agrees or consents.
 - b. Negotiations or discussions would have to be worked out with the student loan holder to the point of agreement and subject to continued agreement and being pleased and mutual with the situation—business arrangement.
2. Each student loan holder account has to be set and kept being worked on—serviced—individually so that the masses of student loan holders are continuing as a whole with good business practices.

3. Nothing against or unacceptable to a student loan holder is permissible, and merely communicating to the student loan service area is to be all a student loan holder has to do to resolve any issue or concern.

Paragraph 3

1. There can be no negative reporting to a credit bureau, no negative collections activities, no wage garnishments or tax refund blockages, and no negative legal proceedings for student loans.

2. All student loan holders have to be placed and continued on unremovable administrative forbearance set for the next 50 years or longer.

3. Interest added onto student loans can be only 3 to 10 percent on the original or principle student loan, and no continuing interest may be charged.

For example, if a student loan of \$20,000 is obtained, the interest, if set at 5% for instance, can be once—a one-time charge—of \$1,000 (and in consideration accordingly with a 10 or 20-year payoff time span), and no additional interest may be added to the total ongoing student loan debt of \$21,000 (and set accordingly for the payoff period).

4. Each additional student loan is to be handled in the same way, which is with a one-time interest charge (set accordingly for the payoff period) for the amount of money borrowed in the form of a student loan.

Paragraph 4

1. All past and future student loans must be reviewed and set in these ways of following the prescribed guidelines.
2. Some adjustments must be made to past student loans accumulated amounts and their continuances.
3. Student loan consolidations or consolidation loans that were for a higher than fair amount must be further reviewed for adjusting.
4. Student loan holders of the past who overpaid on their student loans in these ways described herein, or who had unfairly high student loan consolidations, can present their claims for being paid back or refunded overpayments.
5. The student loans defense is to be implemented fairly to all people who had, have, or will have student loans.

Handling Masses of Valid Student Loan Defenses

The significant power of the student loan defense was that it could eliminate the student loans entirely when an educational establishment was involved with wrongdoing against a student. The wrongdoing was described in various laws and then with more and more incidents occurring in the years. The eliminating of student loans helps student loan holders get rid of student loan debt, which could have been far beyond a reasonable amount of debt. The trouble was that the educational establishments involved with the wrongdoing were not necessarily penalized to pay the debts instead or to pay more than those debts in penalties. This

lack of penalizing wrongdoing educational establishments left the U.S. Department of Education with the unpaid student loans.

While the U.S. Department of Education did guarantee the student loans against default, there was not a fathoming of the educational establishments being involved with such widespread wrongdoing as occurred with the skyrocketing increase of online education and for-profit universities. When education became abundant in the society and on many levels, student loan holders were no longer getting the successful paying jobs or job opportunities following the education. These student loan holders paid just as much if not more for the education as though they were really going to work or were working on those successful paying levels. With this situation reaching extremes, the student loans situation grew to the monstrous levels it reached, and the U.S. Department of Education was potentially being left with far more than ever expected from a few wrongdoing schools or students defaulting. There are many options available for the U.S. Department of Education to be kept able to handle its duties of protecting against wrongdoing and injustice in education, and some need to be applied.

The U.S. Department of Education cannot be let to stray or sway from its honorable beginnings and even with the current student loans situation. The student loan defenses were held for a long time and were built up to be huge without them being hastily wiped out. They could have been wiped out in the early times, and student loan defenses have now, after three years, started being frantically wiped out, but these occurrences have to be reversed, and the student

loan defenses cannot be let to be wiped out. The U.S. Department of Education has to be kept able to continue honorably at upholding the student loan defenses and safeguarding against wrongdoing in the field of education throughout the United States society. Funding resources need to be accessed for contributing; other U.S. departments have to contribute; businesses should contribute with offers and opportunities, and some educational establishments should pay their fair share of penalties, and others should make their according contributions.

Realness of Student Loan Defenses

The student loan defenses were not all inappropriate and were not without merits. These situations justifying discharging the student loans went on often and for a long time, and it was good when there was truly something to do about the burdensome and wrongful situation. I myself had so much present that I could have taken care of my more than \$250,000 in student loans in a few ways. Which was the right way, was a consideration, and also, at the same time, I ongoing could have been caused to not be able to take care of my student loans at all, as I was originally complaining about formally. I did not want everything in jeopardy all the time. I wanted the student loans situation settled in one of the ways that would truly settle the situation and not just leave everything the same or remaining in jeopardy.

There were many people with these student loan defenses who went through up to three years or longer with the student loan defense purpose of completely discharging the student loans. There was a built-up expectancy of the student loan defense serving its purpose, which was to complete discharging the student loans.

There were U.S. Presidential candidates campaigning with plans to completely discharge all student loans. A couple things that Donald Trump did while acting within the position of the U.S. Presidency just prior to the first pandemic-related economic stimulus package was that he stopped payments and interest of student loans. With such continuances legitimately, the people with the student loan defenses in the present era deserve more than not being pleased with the results produced by the U.S. Department of Education and United States Government.

With me myself, the student loans situation has to be considered. I have had my student loan defense present for 3 years, and at the same time I had professional and official U.S. executive director material with the White House. I was on a doctoral and executive director level through the whole time of the three years and was not yet dealt with or benefited concerning the merits or anything else involved. I, like others with the student loan defenses, had expectancies of the situation being worked out mutually, agreeably, honorably, and pleasingly. I do not want to be disappointed.

Student Loans Servicer Letter Received

I received a letter from the student loans servicer saying of its next position (see Appendix B). The servicer is like a drone. The servicer only follows what the U.S. Department of Education programs it to do. The direction of the letter was with the repeated rhetoric of the Department of Education denying my student loan defense and was not taking anything else into account, including my reconsideration request. The rhetoric made everything of the student loan defense

seem meritless and like that is gone and the student loans will just naturally and understandably be continued on with like usual, and of course, that was not the true situation.

The servicer description was only the rhetoric, but also, rhetoric that could be too effectual in the circumstances. The letter went on to describe a subtraction of interest charged during the time of the student loan defense continuance to the present. The description was like everything was accounted for and over with as far the student loan defense goes, and nothing was mentioned of interest credit being a part of the Trump stoppage of student loans interest. The interest credit amounted to more than \$38,000, which at first may seem good, but within 3 years, the \$38,000 will add right back onto the student loans if the student loans are not truly taken care of correctly. The \$38,000 could not be settled for instead of the \$260,000 and taking care of the student loans for real.

Crediting the interest that accumulated through the first three years of the student loan defenses may be one thing done but cannot be the only thing done. The \$38,000 less of my approximately \$260,000 accumulated student loans total is approximately 15% less, and that would make 85% left to go. Subtracting \$38,000 from \$260,000 leaves \$222,000 remaining to be taken care of correctly. Further work in directions of eliminating the \$222,000 can be done, or the \$38,000 can be put back on, and the whole amount can be taken care of correctly. One way to correctly take care of the whole amount is with the offer I made a year ago, which is for my claim to be honored and for me to pay \$100,000 of my student loans and have

the rest included in a *Student Loans Relinquishment Honor* bestowed to me. Before this servicer letter, I already made a reconsideration request, in addition to a document that included my comments to a U.S. District Court involved (Northern California), so there still is potential for the student loans situation to be fully taken care of correctly.

I did also call the student loan defense customer service phone line and questioned about my reconsideration request and the current status of my account. I was told that the reconsideration is noted and that the account status is still on administrative forbearance. That was good. I asked about any time limitations and was told there are none set, which was also good. This means that everything is still on hold, like it should be, and my reconsideration request has to be worked with, and so do my comments to the Court involved.

I am looking for favorable outcomes. I want to be pleased. I am a person who is supposed to be and has to be rewarded and honored. I did well and am a successful person, and I have to be able to do well and be a successful person and without everything being negated and caused to be of no benefit instead. I want to see what I am supposed to be seeing in the times and through the times.

Functional Improvement Necessary

There has to get to being an adequacy of real communication that is not overdone but, also, that is not underdone, either. I am a person who was not dealt with previously, and too long went by like that because of some people taking

advantage of the situation while other people did not know what was going on. I maintained everything as best as possible, as I always did, but I still have to be dealt with like I am supposed to be, and that means that I have to be regarded, rewarded, and honored on levels right for me. I am a person who is supposed to be and has to be adequately rewarded and honored. That is how I am and how America is, and I cannot have to be saying about that and explaining about that all the time.

Offers were supposed to be made to me, and opportunities were supposed to be provided. That was especially true with me through the times when I was not dealt with yet. Not being dealt with when I was supposed to be, not receiving rewards and honors due me, and not having opportunities I should have had, caused many very difficult and unjust situations on me. A long amount of time was also caused to be involved, and every time anything like that excessive disregard happens, another long amount of time is caused to be involved. This occurrence is evidenced in the recent era of my active status and work being of your entire administration time (the Trump administration time, with this being the fourth year) and also with the past more than a quarter-century being involved with my active status and work.

You can see that I am referring to my active status and work going through your entire administration time and which, of course, had the United States involved. The same is the situation with the past more than a quarter-century. You have to think about that and regard that appropriately because there is no reason why anyone should push themselves as superior. Such unworthy exaltation would

not only insult me, but also, may interfere with some important areas being taken care of appropriately, and that would not be good for anyone. You can also see that I have true seniority and veteran status concerning the United States, not necessarily militaristic or war-laden, but assuredly, professionally, and rightfully the United States governance and country.

Situation of the Present Times

As you know, I recently sent material to here and to a few other relevant places. I communicated to here as I have been and to provide information as to what is going on and where advancements are needed. Everything here is overdue at this point, and the overdue situation has been causing many problems, difficulties, and concerns that there would not be if the issues involved were adequately worked out among the parties involved. The other places have been informed, too, so that a little more could be known about what has been going on and that is relevant to them and their work. Longer could not be gone with everything only at the White House and not enough coming of the material or anything involved, as activity is going on and affects are being caused, thus, necessitating an adequacy of informing for awareness.

The Trump administration has to get adequately caught up in these areas requiring because there is not a sufficiency presently and the present time is very late. Many things should have been done by last year at the latest in order for there to be reasonable timing. These areas are such as the professional position, student loans, and political campaigning. Going into the year of 2020 with these areas still

not adequately taken care of was advised against. The advisory presently is to catch up in these areas during the continuance of 2020, and that is going to be the best that can be done for the situation and success levels to be at best.

With my professional position, enough has to be worked out with me to the point where I see an adequacy for providing notice that there is my professional position that I am working more fully in. I would provide notice two weeks to a month in advance and would continue providing enough information. Such information being provided is the opposite of me not doing that at all, as I would do if I do not see a sufficiency. Instead, I would just keep on being successful as I have been and with the situation being as I already described, although I would prefer better and progress.

Professional Considerations involving Student Loans

My back pay has to be paid to me, and my ongoing pay has to be set to be actively paid to me (also see July 2020 invoice, Appendix A). If you here of the Trump administration do not want to take care of the student loans situation, then pay me my money, and I will pay off my student loans myself without you doing anything. Then of course, all involved still has to be worked on concerning the real student loans situation, and that includes all issues of non-mutuality, delays, and undue difficulties caused by so much not being dealt with for so long. Everything cannot just be left lingering in jeopardy, though, any longer at all. My back pay has now surpassed my total student loans, and I insist that you do your jobs or you

remain responsible for the continuance of the student loans being unpaid and accumulating interest.

Regarding Recent Legal Comments Made and Precedent Intended to be Set

I sent comments and objections to the Court involved with a law case concerning the student loans (Sweet v. DeVos, No. 19-cv-3674, N.D. Cal.). The law case was a class action case that had some relevancy to me but did not involve me in any other way. Being that the law case was a class action case, which involves many people and not just myself, I have to also generalize and make some expressions relevant to the mass of people with student loans. With that particular law case, I commented and objected that the U.S. Department of Education was engaging in non-mutual activity and producing non-mutual results as the class action law case was in preliminary settlement times and was not yet confirmed as fully settled. The U.S. Department of Education non-mutual actions and results tainted the settlement and made the settlement be something that could not be settled for as it was.

I requested that all non-mutual actions and results of the U.S. Department of Education be reversed and that the settlement be further negotiated. The U.S. Department of Education cannot do any actions that will produce a non-mutual result to any of the student loan class action case members. No result can be non-mutual or not agreed with by the parties involved, which include each class action case member. Nothing effectual can be done by the U.S. Department of Education prior to agreement with the class action case member involved. There cannot be

something done by the U.S. Department of Education that a class action case member would have to argue about, fight against, or endeavor to have altered, and there needs to be enough security that the U.S. Department of Education does not do any such things.

My other emphasis, and my original emphasis, was that I did not want any of my materials lessened, negated, or excluded by anything involved with the settlement. I had materials previously presented and important to working out active issues requiring through the past years, just like and including, the student loans. I was not worked with directly concerning the class action case, so while I supported the merits of the class action case, I did not want the class action case to lessen anything on my part. I would not be in agreement with any reduction of my materials that were not directly included in this class action case. These materials I am referring to are not the materials of the class action law case but are the materials I had presented to the White House through the past years.

As examples, I included three director reports. These director reports, like the rest of my materials, have to be honored regardless of and in addition to anything involved with the class action law case. I still have to have my job and status, with authority included, with or without anything involved with the class action law case and whatever is involved with student loans. Everything with me is not based on student loans or the outcomes or situations involving student loans, and it is just that student loans have been involved and are a national issue. I still need my professional position that is what the credentials, knowledge, experience,

and merits are for, that pays my expenses including and beyond student loans, and that takes care of things on my levels.

Director Reports of the U.S. Department of Sovereignty

Further, in consideration of the class action case and the numerous people involved with that and student loans in general, my director reports can be applied. The director position is for a department of the United States. The department is one that was established to fill a long requiring area of the United States. The area is the United States being the United States and being a full United States as it is supposed to be and has to be for itself and its people. The area covered or secured is sovereignty of the United States because people of the United States have rights and interests to a full United States without worrying about or doing so much, which cannot reasonably be done.

The U.S. Department of Sovereignty has not yet been paid its start-up funding of \$3 billion for the year of 2020. The current amount due the Sovereignty Department is \$2,000,000,000, which is for the eight months of this year so far (see Sovereignty Department August 2020 invoice, Appendix C). With this money being paid to the department, as is due, much can be done. Personnel can be added, and projects can be taken on and advanced. A couple of such projects could, for example, be student loans and the national debt.

These types of projects involve all U.S. divisions and not only the U.S. Department of Sovereignty. The Sovereignty Department does this type of work and

works in interests of all U.S divisions. The Department of Sovereignty is not against other departments, and no U.S. Department can be against the U.S. Department of Sovereignty. The U.S. Department of Sovereignty can work with other U.S. departments such as the U.S. Department of Education to take care of student loans and the U.S. Treasury and Federal Reserve banks to take care of the national debt. The U.S. Department of Sovereignty can handle the sovereignty issues of the country and can help other United States divisions and the United States as a whole, but the U.S. Department of Sovereignty has to receive its honor due.

I will add in here that the United States Department of Sovereignty is important concerning the United States, but also, this department affects and influences the other countries and people of the world. This department enables the United States to be better off and to function more as a leader and role model for other countries of the world. This department provides much guidance and persuasive authority to other countries of the world. This department adding to this country being successful enables this country to do better with other countries and provides for an optimal situation with the people of this country and other countries. The U.S. Department of Sovereignty better enabling the United States to be successful nationally is essential because this country has to be being successful for itself and its own people but also for the sakes of other countries of the world and their people.

Conclusion

As said earlier, I have to be dealt with by and concerning the United States Government. I have to be dealt with on my terms. Anything that is anything relevant has to be presented to me for my approval. People currently associated with the U.S. Government have to do their jobs and without saying to or about everyone else. I request and expect, that relevant communication, including with rewarding offers and opportunities, be made to me in this month of August 2020.

Thank you,

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -/

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

jmallon@comcast.net - 215-279-8580 - Pennsylvania - 08-01-2020

Appendix A

JULY 2020

Reporting from

Director

July 10, 2020 July 1, 2019 2 Pay Weeks \$ 5,769.23

Each Day of Month

as Reported on July

1st, 2020

July 24, 2020 \$ 5,769.23

Each Day of Month

as Reported on July

1st, 2020

July Total **\$11,538.46**

Total Salary since

September 2018

\$ 282,307.74

Could and Should be paid

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

jmallon@comcast.net - 215-279-8580 - Pennsylvania - 08-01-2020

Appendix B

NAVIENT

Department of Education
Loan Servicing



U.S. Department of Education
Information about your federal student loan

PO BOX 9635

WILKES-BARRE, PA 18773-9635

(800) 722-1300

JO
SE
PH
M
AL
LO
N
P
O
B
O
X
52
60
2

PHILADELPHIA PA 19115-7602

Dear JOSEPH MALLON:

07/14/20

The U.S. Department of Education (ED) recently communicated with you through an email from noreply@studentloans.gov or a mailed letter about your application for borrower defense discharge. ED informed you that we, as your servicer, would contact you in follow up to ED's determination that your application is ineligible for relief based on review of the facts of your claim and the regulatory criteria for relief.

WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW

Given ED's determination, your Direct Loans were not discharged. You must repay those loans.

ED instructed us to apply a **credit in the amount of \$38,496.02** to your account because it took ED an extended period of time to review your claim. We applied the credit first toward unpaid interest and then toward principal if no unpaid interest remained.

Note: This interest exemption does not apply to privately-held loans from the Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL) Program that you may have. It applies only to your Direct Loans and/or government-held FFEL Program loans.

WHAT YOU NEED TO DO

The only thing you need to do now is watch for other communications from us. Those communications will provide you with information about the loans we service for you on ED's behalf and inform you when action is needed.

HOW TO CONTACT US

We're available to help you understand this information. You can contact us using the contact information below:

Visit us online at Navient.com or give us a call at 800-722-1300, Monday – Thursday 8 a.m. to 9 p.m., Friday 8 a.m. to 8 p.m., and Saturday 8 a.m. to 12 p.m.

Sin

cer

ely,

Nav

ient

Appendix C

United States Department of Sovereignty					
Start-up Funding \$3B Spread through Year of 2020					
Month	Pay for Month	Due	Total Due	Paid	Date Paid
Jan-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00		
Feb-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 500,000,000.00		
Mar-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 750,000,000.00		
Apr-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 1,000,000,000.00		
May-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 1,250,000,000.00		
Jun-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 1,500,000,000.00		
Jul-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 1,750,000,000.00		
Aug-20	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 250,000,000.00	\$ 2,000,000,000.00		
Sep-20	\$ 250,000,000.00				
Oct-20	\$ 250,000,000.00				
Nov-20	\$ 250,000,000.00				
Dec-20	\$ 250,000,000.00				
Total	\$ 3,000,000,000.00				

Joseph Mallon - Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

Honorable Joseph Mallon, DBA-c, FLMI, FFSI -~~^~~

United States Department of Sovereignty, Director

jmallon@comcast.net - 215-279-8580 - Pennsylvania - 08-01-2020